

#### **Environmental Protection Authority**

EPA REFERRAL FORM PROPONENT

Referral of a Proposal by the Proponent to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

#### PURPOSE OF THIS FORM

Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) provides that where a development proposal is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, a proponent may refer the proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for a decision on whether or not it requires assessment under the EP Act. This form sets out the information requirements for the referral of a proposal by a proponent.

Proponents are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the EPA's *General Guide* on *Referral of Proposals* [see Environmental Impact Assessment/Referral of Proposals and Schemes] before completing this form.

A referral under section 38(1) of the EP Act by a proponent to the EPA must be made on this form. A request to the EPA for a declaration under section 39B (derived proposal) must be made on this form. This form will be treated as a referral provided all information required by Part A has been included and all information requested by Part B has been provided to the extent that it is pertinent to the proposal being referred. Referral documents are to be submitted in two formats — hard copy and electronic copy. The electronic copy of the referral will be provided for public comment for a period of 7 days, prior to the EPA making its decision on whether or not to assess the proposal.

#### CHECKLIST

Before you submit this form, please check that you have:

	Yes	No
Completed all the questions in Part A (essential).	Х	
Completed all applicable questions in Part B.	Х	
Included Attachment 1 – location maps.	Х	
Included Attachment 2 – additional document(s) the proponent wishes	Х	
to provide (if applicable).		
Included Attachment 3 – confidential information (if applicable).	N/A	
Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial	Х	
data and contextual mapping but excluding confidential information.		

Following a review of the information presented in this form, please consider the following question (a response is optional).			
Do you consider the proposal requires formal environmental impact assessment?			
☐ Yes ☐ Not sure			
If yes, what level of assessment?			
Assessment on Proponent Information Public Environmental Review			
PROPONENT DECLARATION (to be completed by the proponent)  I, RUELT MUNICIPAL (full name) declare that I am authorised on behalf of Mice Coefficient (being the person responsible for the proposal) to submit this form and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.			
Signature Revisely Name (print) Refer declarate			
Position ACTING MANAGEC COMPANY LATER CORPORATION			
Date 19 10 pm/rx 2012			

## PART A - PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

(All fields of Part A must be completed for this document to be treated as a referral)

## 1 PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

## 1.1 Proponent

Name	Water Corporation
Joint Venture parties (if applicable)	N/A
Australian Company Number (if applicable)	28 003 434 917
Postal Address	629 Newcastle Street
(where the proponent is a corporation or an association of	Leederville
persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State)	Western Australia 6007
Key proponent contact for the proposal:	Andrew Baker
• name	629 Newcastle Street
• address	Leederville WA 6007
• phone	(08) 9420 2114
• email	Andrew.baker@watercorporation.com
Consultant for the proposal (if applicable):	GHD Pty Ltd
• name	239 Adelaide Terrace
address	Perth 6004 WA
• phone	(08) 6222 8560
• email	Graeme.eade@GHD.com

## 1.2 Proposal

Dawesville Areas 1R 5A & Dumn
Dawesville Areas 1B, 5A & Pump
Station(No.7) and Pressure Main and
Area 4A & Pump Station (No.13) and
Pressure Main
The Water Corporation proposes to
construct a gravity-fed reticulated
sewer system in the suburb of
Dawesville, south of Mandurah. The
sewer system will be part of Water
Corporation's Infill Sewerage
Program whereby established areas
using septic tanks for wastewater
disposal are connected to the
existing sewerage scheme. The
reticulated sewer system will enable
sewerage to gravitate from residential
buildings to the proposed pump
stations 7 or 13 (see Figure 1;
Attachment 1). Majority of the sewer
will be installed in the Water
Corporation easement on private
properties or in Road Reserves (see
Attachment 2).

The sewerage pipes will be 150 and 225 mm in diameter and will be installed using a combination of open trenching and trenchless technology. Open trenching will involve installing pipes (both sewer and pressure mains) into trenches left open for a period of time. Installation of pipes (both sewer and pressure mains) by trenchless tunnelling is a 'no dig' method whereby pipes are installed using micro-tunnelling boring machine in approximately 60 to 100 m sections.

Dewatering will be required during construction works, in particular for open trench excavations pipelines. access chambers. and both pump stations. To limit excavations and dewatering operations for this infrastructure, circular caissons (4.2 m diameter and to 6.0 m depth) will constructed.

Excess water from pipe and caisson installation works will be pumped back into the existing sewerage system via temporary pipes and fed to the Caddadup Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP).

Three temporary pump stations and their associated pressure mains are to be decommissioned upon completion of the proposal (see Figure 2). Decommissioning of the temporary pressure mains will involve flushing the pipes with clean water prior to being disconnected from the sewerage scheme. The internal systems of each temporary pump station will also removed be (including above ground electrical boxes) after which each of the voids will be backfilled with clean sand fill.

Extent (area) of proposed ground disturbance.

Length of proposed alignment Trenchless: 3.30 km Open Trench: 9.66 km

Total area of ground disturbance (excluding trenchless) is approximately 3.60 hectares (ha)

Timeframe in which the activity or development is proposed to occur (including start and finish dates where applicable).	March 2013 to June 2014
Details of any staging of the proposal.	Staged construction of the proposal will occur to allow pressure mains to be brought online as construction progresses for use to dispose dewatered product.
Is the proposal a strategic proposal?	No
Is the proponent requesting a declaration that the proposal is a derived proposal?  If so, provide the following information on the strategic assessment within which the referred	No
<ul> <li>proposal was identified:</li> <li>title of the strategic assessment; and</li> <li>Ministerial Statement number.</li> </ul>	
Please indicate whether, and in what way, the proposal is related to other proposals in the region.	The proposal is not related to any other proposals in the region.
Does the proponent own the land on which the proposal is to be established? If not, what other arrangements have been established to access the land?	Water Corporation does not own the land upon which the proposal is to be established. Consultation has occurred between the Water Corporation, property owners, City of Mandurah, Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC), Peel Inlet Management Authority, Main Roads WA, Department of Planning and other relevant stakeholders.
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	The proposal extends across the following land uses:

#### 1.3 Location

Name of the Shire in which the proposal is located.	City of Mandurah
For urban areas:	Suburb: Dawesville
<ul><li>street address;</li></ul>	
<ul><li>lot number;</li></ul>	See Attachment 2 for Lot Numbers
suburb; and	and Reserve Numbers.
nearest road intersection.	
For remote localities:	Not Applicable
<ul><li>nearest town; and</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.</li> </ul>	
Electronic copy of spatial data - GIS or CAD,	l l
geo-referenced and conforming to the following	, ,
parameters:	Figure 2 – Environmental Context
GIS: polygons representing all activities and	
named;	Electronic copy of spatial data
CAD: simple closed polygons representing	attached.
all activities and named;	
• datum: GDA94;	,
projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude)     or Man Grid of Australia (MGA):	
or Map Grid of Australia (MGA); • format: Arcview shapefile, Arcinfo	
coverages, Microstation or AutoCAD.	

## 1.4 Confidential Information

Does the proponent wish to request the EPA to allow any part of the referral information to be treated as confidential?	
If yes, is confidential information attached as a	
separate document in hard copy?	No

## 1.5 Government Approvals

Is rezoning of any la proposal can be implemented in the proposal can be implemented by the provide details and the proposal can be implemented by the proposal can be a second or can b		No	
or State Government ag for any part of the propo		Yes	
If yes, please complete	the table below.		
Agency/Authority	Approval required	Application lodged Yes / No	Agency/Local Authority contact(s) for proposal
City of Mandurah	To construct sewers in reserves vested with the council	Preliminary approval resulting from Preliminaries to Works notification	CEO City of Mandurah

## PART B - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

#### 2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Des ans	cribe wering	the impacts of the the questions co	e prontain	oposal on t ed in Sectio	he following elements of the environment, by ons 2.1-2.11:		
	2.1	flora and vegetat	ion;				
	2.2	fauna;					
	2.3	rivers, creeks, we	etland	ds and estu	aries;		
	2.4	significant areas	and/	or land feat	ures;		
	2.5	coastal zone area	as;				
	2.6	marine areas and	biot	ta;			
	2.7	water supply and	drai	nage catchr	nents;		
	2.8	pollution;					
	2.9	greenhouse gas	greenhouse gas emissions;				
	2.10	contamination; ar	nd				
	2.11	social surroundin	gs.				
The	se fea	tures should be sl	nowr	on the site	plan, where appropriate.		
		ormation, please ir					
)	(a)	the source of the	infor	mation; and	ı		
	(b)	the currency of th	e inf	ormation.			
- 1							
2.1		a and Vegetation					
2.1.	1 Do	you propose to cle	ear a	ny native flo	ora and vegetation as a part of this proposal?		
	the 200	EP Act (Environr	nent	al Protection	may require a clearing permit under Part V of n (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations nt of Environment and Conservation (DEC) for		
		(please tick)		Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.		
			$\boxtimes$	No	If no, go to the next section		

- 2.1.2 How much vegetation are you proposing to clear (in hectares)?
- 2.1.3 Have you submitted an application to clear native vegetation to the DEC (unless you are exempt from such a requirement)?

☐ Yes	⊠ No	If yes, on what date and to which office was the
		application submitted of the DEC?

2.1.4	by this proposal?	surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed
	S	f yes, please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).
	b	<b>f no</b> , please do not arrange to have any piological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.
2.1.5	5 Has a search of DEC records for threatened ecological communities	known occurrences of rare or priority flora or been conducted for the site?
	for red pri co	you are proposing to clear native vegetation r any part of your proposal, a search of DEC cords of known occurrences of rare or iority flora and threatened ecological mmunities will be required. Please contact EC for more information.
	identify the presence of rare or presented in <i>NatureMap</i> is drawn	Map database was queried in August 2012 to priority flora within the Project area. Information wn from a wide variety of sources, in particular ustralian Herbarium and Western Australian
	(NRM: SLIP) database was und of threatened ecological comm	Management: Shared Land Information Platform lertaken in August 2012 to identify the presence unities. Information presented in NRM: SLIP is ined by the DEC and is updated as information mum).
2.1.6	Are there any known occurrences communities on the site?	of rare or priority flora or threatened ecological
	an	<b>yes</b> , please indicate which species or mmunities are involved and provide copies of y correspondence with DEC regarding these atters.
	database identified a large Thre	agement: Shared Land Information Platform eatened Ecological Community (TEC) (ID 1347) iated with the Yalgorup National Park, situated I.
		Map databases did not identify any records of gical communities within the proposal area.

#### References

Department of Agriculture and Food W.A (2012) *Natural Resource Management: Shared Land Information Platform*. Available from <a href="http://spatial.agric.wa.gov.au/slip/contacts.asp">http://spatial.agric.wa.gov.au/slip/contacts.asp</a>

2.1.7	or adjacent to a liste	ed Bush F	ppolitan Region, is the proposed development within Forever Site? (You will need to contact the Bush nt for Planning and Infrastructure)
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<b>If yes</b> , please indicate which Bush Forever Site is affected (site number and name of site where appropriate).
2.1.8	What is the condition	of the veg	etation at the site?
	development primarily oproperties. The condition	contained on of the v	ablished residential suburb of Dawesville, with the within cleared road reserves and within private egetation across the site is mainly disturbed or erves and lawns). Sewer is located in firebreak
2.2	Fauna		
2.2.1	Do you expect that an	y fauna or	fauna habitat will be impacted by the proposal?
	(please tick)		If yes, complete the rest of this section.
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.
			in the established residential area of Dawesville. proposal will be linear in nature.
	Approximately 3.3 km's technology in an attempfauna and fauna habita	ot to minim	installation will be installed using trenchless rise ground disturbance. Negligible impacts to ipated.
2.2.2	Describe the nature a	nd extent o	of the expected impact.
	Approximately, 9.66 k	m of open	s required to install pipes, 150 mm in diameter. trench excavation will be undertaken within cleared s and road surfaces and some cleared firebreak
2.2.3	Are you aware of a disturbed by this proper		fauna surveys carried out over the area to be
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<b>If yes</b> , please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).
			<b>If no</b> , please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.
2.2.4	Has a search of DE (threatened) fauna be		s for known occurrences of Specially Protected ted for the site?
		☐ No	(please tick)
			database was undertaken in August 2012 to identify auna. Information presented in NRM: SLIP is

	derived from datal becomes available		ntained by the DEC and is updated as information ninimum).
2.2.5	Are there any known site?	occurrence	es of Specially Protected (threatened) fauna on the
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<b>If yes</b> , please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.
	A search of the N fauna within the p		database did not identify any records of threatened ea.
2.3 I	Rivers, Creeks, Wetla	nds and E	stuaries
2.3.1	Will the development	occur withi	n 200 metres of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?
	(please tick)	∑ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.
2.3.2	Will the development	result in the	e clearing of vegetation within the 200 metre zone?  If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.
	trenchless technology	y as show	ed using a combination of open trenches and wn in see Figure 2. As illustrated in Figure 2, arried out along the foreshore, where possible, to
2.3.3	Will the development estuary?	result in tl	he filling or excavation of a river, creek, wetland or
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact.
2.3.4	Will the development estuary?	t result in	the impoundment of a river, creek, wetland or
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact.
2.3.5	Will the development	result in dr	aining to a river, creek, wetland or estuary?
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.
2.3.6			Il impact on a river, creek, wetland or estuary (or its g categories? (please tick)

	Conservation Categ	ory Wetland			⊠ Yes	☐ No	Unsur	€
	Environmental P Agricultural Zone W	rotection etlands) Pol	(South icy 1998	West	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Unsure	<b>e</b>
	Perth's Bush Foreve	er site			☐ Yes	⊠ No	Unsur	9
	Environmental Pro Rivers) Policy 1998	tection (Sw	an & C	anning	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Unsur	e
	The management a Swan River Trust A		ed in s4(1	) of the	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Unsure	9
	Which is subject to because of the imp waterbirds and wate JAMBA, CAMBA)	portance of	the wetla	and for	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Unsure	Э
; 	Approximately 100 m area mapped as beir pipeline being installe	ig a Conserved via both o	ation Cat pen trenc	egory Ŵ h and tr	/etland. Th	nis includ	es sections o	
2.4	Significant Areas ar	nd/ or Land	Features					
2.4.1	Is the proposed dev National Park or Na			hin or a	djacent to	an exist	ing or propo	sed
				10000 n	rovido dot	oilo		
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<b>If yes</b> , p	iease p	iovide det	alis.		
2.4.2	Yes  Are you aware of a under section 51E development?	ny Environm	entally Se	ensitive	Areas (as	declared		
2.4.2	Are you aware of a under section 51E	ny Environm	entally Se P Act) t	ensitive hat will	Areas (as	declared		
2.4.2	Are you aware of a under section 51E development?	ny Environm  of the E  No  extends acr	entally SeP Act) tentally SeP	ensitive hat will lease p	Areas (as be impa rovide deta nentally S	declared acted by ails.	the propo	sed
	Are you aware of a under section 51E development?   Yes  The proposal area	ny Environm  of the E  of No  extends acrutal Protection	entally SeP Act) to If yes, poss the Econ (Peel Internal actual)	ensitive hat will lease p Environn nlet – Ha	Areas (as be imparovide details Servey Estu	declared acted by ails. ensitive Aiary) Police	The proportion of the proporti	sed
	Are you aware of a under section 51E development?  Yes  The proposal area with the Environment Are you aware of a	ny Environm  of the E  of No  extends acrutal Protection	lentally Se P Act) t If yes, p loss the E on (Peel land) It natural d develop	ensitive hat will lease penvironne halet – Halet end fearment?	Areas (as be imparovide details Servey Estu	declared by ails. ensitive Aiary) Police caves,	The proportion of the proporti	sed

2.5	Coastal Zone Areas (Coastal Dunes and Beaches)						
2.5.1	Will the development	occur withi	in 300metres of a coastal area?				
	(please tick)	☐ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.				
		⊠ No	If no, go to the next section.				
2.5.2	What is the expected the primary dune?	l setback o	f the development from the high tide level and from				
2.5.3	Will the development beach ridge plain, cus	t impact or spate head	n coastal areas with significant landforms including land, coastal dunes or karst?				
	☐ Yes	☐ No	<b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact.				
2.5.4	Is the development like	cely to impa	act on mangroves?				
	Yes	☐ No	<b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact.				
2.6 I	Marine Areas and Bio	ta					
		kely to imp	pact on an area of sensitive benthic communities, or mangroves?				
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.				
2.6.2	Is the development recommended for res	servation (a	npact on marine conservation reserves or areas as described in <i>A Representative Marine Reserve</i> ALM, 1994)?				
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact.				
2.6.3	Is the development lik or for commercial fish		act on marine areas used extensively for recreation es?				
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<b>If yes</b> , please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).				

2.7 Water Supply and Drainage Catchments							
2.7.1 Are you in a proclaimed or proposed groundwater or surface water protection area?							
(You may need to contact the Department of Water (DoW) for more information on the requirements for your location, including the requirement for licences for water abstraction. Also, refer to the DoW website)							
Yes No If yes, please describe what category of area.							
The proposal is located within the South-West Coastal proclaimed groundwater area, proclaimed under the <i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914</i> (RIWI Act). The proposal is not situated within a proclaimed Surface Water protection area.							
The Water Corporation is not required to obtain either a Section 5C or Section 26D licence under the RIWI Act in regards to dewatering. The power given to the Water Corporation by Section 83(2)(b) of the <i>Water Agencies (Powers) Act 1984</i> .							
2.7.2 Are you in an existing or proposed Underground Water Supply and Pollution Control area?							
(You may need to contact the DoW for more information on the requirements for your location, including the requirement for licences for water abstraction. Also, refer to the DoW website)							
☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, please describe what category of area.							
2.7.3 Are you in a Public Drinking Water Supply Area (PDWSA)?							
(You may need to contact the DoW for more information or refer to the DoW website. A proposal to clear vegetation within a PDWSA requires approval from DoW.)							
☐ Yes							
2.7.4 Is there sufficient water available for the proposal?							
(Please consult with the DoW as to whether approvals are required to source water as you propose. Where necessary, please provide a letter of intent from the DoW)							
2.7.5 Will the proposal require drainage of the land?							
Yes							
Thirty-two water-tight caissons (4 m diameter and up to 6.m depth) will be constructed to allow for the trenchless installation of the pipeline network. The construction of each caisson may require initial dewatering during caisson installation, however the volume of dewatering will be limited to the volume inside the caisson only. The dewatering effluent will be discharged into existing Water Corporation sewer mains and treated at Caddadup Wastewater Treatment Plant							

2.7.6 Is there a water requirement for the construction and/ or operation of this proposal?

(WWTP).

(please tick)		If yes, complete the rest of this section.				
	☐ No	If no, go to the next section.				

2.7.7 What is the water requirement for the construction and operation of this proposal, in kilolitres per year?

1,000kL per year

2.7.8 What is the proposed source of water for the proposal? (e.g. dam, bore, surface water etc.)

Water Corporation supply system

2.8	Pollution		
2.8.1	Is there likely to be noise, vibration, gap pollutants?	e any dischai aseous emiss	rge of pollutants from this development, such as sions, dust, liquid effluent, solid waste or other
	(please tick)		If yes, complete the rest of this section.
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.
	however these impac	ts are expecte	st emissions are anticipated during construction, ed to be localised and minor. Noise, vibration and in accordance with a Construction Environmental
2.8.2	ls the proposal a Regulations 1987?	a prescribed	premise, under the Environmental Protection
			uide for Referral of Proposals to the EPA under for more information)
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<b>If yes</b> , please describe what category of prescribed premise.
2.8.3	Will the proposal res	sult in gaseou	s emissions to air?
		☐ No	If yes, please briefly describe.
	Gaseous emissions vof vehicles and mach		ed to vehicle emissions associated with operation construction.
2.8.4			analysis to demonstrate that air quality standards ation of cumulative impacts from other emission
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please briefly describe.
2.8.5	Will the proposal res	sult in liquid e	ffluent discharge?
		☐ No	<b>If yes</b> , please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and receiving environment.
	sewer main, situated brought on-line to the Limited dewatering fro existing Water Corporate	to the west of existing sewe om pipe instal ration sewer r	al is to start from the existing Water Corporation the proposal. As constructed, the proposal will be er main as stages of proposal become available. Ilation works will be discharged into the nearest main via a temporary pipe. The dewatering ted at the Caddadup WWTP along with existing
2.8.6	analysis been done	e to demons	to a watercourse or marine environment, has any trate that the State Water Quality Management adards will be able to be met?
	☐ Yes		If yes, please describe.

2.8.7	Will the proposal p	roduce or resu	ılt in solid wastes?
		☐ No	If yes, please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and disposal location/ method.
$\epsilon$	excavated soil during	g the construc	ome general construction waste and excess tion and installation of the proposal. All wastes n appropriate waste disposal facility.
2.8.8	Will the proposal re	esult in signific	ant off-site noise emissions?
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please briefly describe.
2.8.9	Will the develope Regulations 1997?		bject to the Environmental Protection (Noise)
	⊠ Yes	☐ No	If yes, has any analysis been carried out to demonstrate that the proposal will comply with the Regulations?
			Please attach the analysis.
F 7 V i	Regulations, however 7.00 am and 7.00 provill be carried out in Section 6 of <i>Austr</i>	er, construction n on any day, accordance w alian Standard	o demonstrate that the proposal will comply with n work is anticipated to be carried out between which is not a Sunday or public holiday. The works with control of environmental noise practices set out at (AS) 2436-2010 Guide to Noise and Vibration on and Maintenance Sites.
2.8.10	odour or another "sensitive premise	pollutant tha es" such as s	tential to generate off-site, air quality impacts, dust, it may affect the amenity of residents and other schools and hospitals (proposals in this category e, aquaculture, marinas, mines and quarries etc.)?
	⊠ Yes	☐ No	<b>If yes</b> , please describe and provide the distance to residences and other "sensitive premises".
a	as anticipated excav	ation works m	temporarily affect the amenity of nearby residents, ay generate off-site, air quality impacts, dust odour ed, dust suppression using water trucks will be
2.8.11			al component or involves "sensitive premises", is it y discharge a pollutant?
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Not Applicable
			If yes, please describe and provide the distance to the potential pollution source
2.9	Greenhouse Gas E	missions	
2.9.1		•	substantial greenhouse gas emissions (greater of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions)?
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please provide an estimate of the annual gross emissions in absolute and in carbon dioxide equivalent figures.

2.9.2	sink enhar	yes, please on cement action	ons propos	oposed med to offs	et emission	minimis ns.	e emissions	s, and any
			,					
							E	
					·			
			,					

2.10 Contamination
2.10.1 Has the property on which the proposal is to be located been used in the past for activities which may have caused soil or groundwater contamination?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure <b>If yes</b> , please describe.
A search of the DEC's Contaminated Sites database identified no registered contamination sites within the proposal area.
2.10.2 Has any assessment been done for soil or groundwater contamination on the site?
An Acid Sulfate Soil (ASS) and Groundwater Investigation was undertaken by GHD in 2011. The ASS investigation indicated that potential acid sulphate soils (PASS) are present from approximately $0.5\ m-3\ m$ below ground level along Estuary drive. Deep pipelines along this area will be constructed using trenchless techniques to reduce the amount of ASS and groundwater removal and treatment.
PASS has the potential to become acidic when exposed to oxygen, however is should be noted that limestone is present below the acidic layer. Previous surveys conducted by GHD, in 2005, identified ASS present at Pump Station 7 and 13.
An ASS management plan has been prepared as result of the potential acidity in soils present along the proposed alignment. The management plan will allow the development works to commence with minimal environmental impact.
References GHD (2011a) Report for Dawesville 5A: Acid Sulphate Soils and Groundwate Investigation. Unpublished Report for Water Corporation.
GHD (2011b) Report for Dawesville 4A: Acid Sulphate Soils and Groundwater Investigation. Unpublished Report for Water Corporation
2.10.3 Has the site been registered as a contaminated site under the <i>Contaminated Sites</i> Act 2003? (on finalisation of the CS Regulations and proclamation of the CS Act)
☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, please describe.
2.11 Social Surroundings
2.11.1 Is the proposal on a property which contains or is near a site of Aborigina ethnographic or archaeological significance that may be disturbed?
A search of the Department of Indigenous Affairs: Aboriginal Heritage Inquiry System (August, 2012) identified four sites upon which the proposal overlaps (see Table below).

Table 1

Aboriginal ethnographic and/or archaeological sites overlapping the proposal (Department of Indigenous Affairs, 2012)

STORES TO SHARE THE ST	proposal (Dopartmont of Margonicae / Mario, 2012)							
Site ID	Status	Site Name	Site Type	Additional Info				
3239	Stored Data	Harvey Estuary 09:yu	Artefacts / Scatter	Camp, [other: ?]				
3288	Insufficient Information	Harvey Estuary 07: seaview2	Artefacts / Scatter	Camp, [other: ?]				
3287	Insufficient Information	Harvey Estuary 06: seaview1	Artefacts / Scatter	Camp, [other: ?]				
3242	Stored Data	Harvey Estuary 12: crossrds	Artefacts / Scatter	Camp, [other: ?]				

The status of site ID's 3239 and 3242 indicate these heritage sites need not be

	of site I not have of the A	D's 3288 ar e sufficient	nd 3287 indic information to	ral Material Committee for assessment. The state that information lodged with the Registrar do complete an assessment; however the provision 1972 apply to these places until they are asses	loes ions
2.11.2				which contains or is near a site of high public inter r natural scenic feature)?	rest
	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No	If yes, please describe.	
	pipeline public techniqu	alignment recreational	see Figure) area will b used during c	he area is situated adjacent part of the propo e 2). Proposed construction activities around be restricted during holiday periods. Trench construction to reduce the duration and impact u	this less
2.11.3			result in or of the local a	require substantial transport of goods, which rarea?	may
		Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please describe.	

## 3. PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

## 3.1 Principles of Environmental Protection

.1 Have you considered how your project gives attention to the following Principles as set out in section 4A of the EP Act? (For information on the Principles of Environmental Protection, please see EPA Position Statement No. 7, available or the EPA website)								
1. The precautionary principle.	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No					
2. The principle of intergenerational equity.	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No					
3. The principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity.	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No					
4. Principles relating to improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms.		Yes	☐ No					
5. The principle of waste minimisation.	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No					
Bulletins/Position Statements and Environ	men	ital Ass	Protection sessment					
Consultation								
		nsulted and response	attach on a					
by the Water Corporation on the 7th June 2012 to the 0	City o	of Mandural	officers					
City of Mandurah;								
Peel Inlet Management Authority;								
Office of Environmental Protection Authority;								
Department of Water; and								
	as set out in section 4A of the EP Act? (For informa Environmental Protection, please see EPA Position State the EPA website)  1. The precautionary principle. 2. The principle of intergenerational equity. 3. The principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity. 4. Principles relating to improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms. 5. The principle of waste minimisation.  Is the proposal consistent with the EPA's Engulletins/Position Statements and Environ Guidelines/Guidance Statements (available on the EPA was Yes No  Consultation  Has public consultation taken place (such as with other community groups or neighbours), or is it intended the place?  Yes No If yes, please list those comments or summan separate sheet.  A letter and plan of the proposed sewerage works was owners and government agencies affected by the work issues have been resolved.  Additionally, a presentation of the Dawesville Infill Sewerate by the Water Corporation on the 7th June 2012 to the Canad government agencies. The presentation was well reparties listed below:  City of Mandurah;  Peel Inlet Management Authority;  Office of Environmental Protection Authority;	as set out in section 4A of the EP Act? (For information Environmental Protection, please see EPA Position Statement the EPA website)  1. The precautionary principle.  2. The principle of intergenerational equity.  3. The principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity.  4. Principles relating to improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms.  5. The principle of waste minimisation.  Is the proposal consistent with the EPA's Environment Guidelines/Guidance Statements (available on the EPA websity Yes No  Consultation  Has public consultation taken place (such as with other good community groups or neighbours), or is it intended that complace?  Yes No If yes, please list those concomments or summarise separate sheet.  A letter and plan of the proposed sewerage works was mowners and government agencies affected by the works for issues have been resolved.  Additionally, a presentation of the Dawesville Infill Sewerage possible by the Water Corporation on the 7th June 2012 to the City of and government agencies. The presentation was well receiparties listed below:  City of Mandurah;  Peel Inlet Management Authority;  Office of Environmental Protection Authority;	as set out in section 4A of the EP Act? (For information on the Prin Environmental Protection, please see EPA Position Statement No. 7, avaited the EPA website)  1. The precautionary principle.  2. The principle of intergenerational equity.  3. The principle of the conservation of biological Yes diversity and ecological integrity.  4. Principles relating to improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms.  5. The principle of waste minimisation.  3. Yes  4. Is the proposal consistent with the EPA's Environmental Fe Bulletins/Position Statements and Environmental Ast Guidelines/Guidance Statements (available on the EPA website)?  4. Yes  5. The principle of waste minimisation.  6. Yes  6. In the proposal consistent with the EPA's Environmental Ast Guidelines/Guidance Statements (available on the EPA website)?  6. Yes  7. No  7. No  8. Yes  8. No  8. Yes  9. No  9. If yes, please list those consulted and comments or summarise response separate sheet.  9. A letter and plan of the proposed sewerage works was mailed to all owners and government agencies affected by the works for comment issues have been resolved.  9. Additionally, a presentation of the Dawesville Infill Sewerage project was by the Water Corporation on the 7th June 2012 to the City of Mandural and government agencies. The presentation was well received by all a parties listed below:  1. City of Mandurah;  1. Peel Inlet Management Authority;  1. Office of Environmental Protection Authority;					

• Department of Planning.

Affected residents within the suburb of Dawesville have been notified of the proposal and will continue to be informed of upcoming works via letterbox drops prior to proposed construction.

## Attachment 1

## Figures

# Attachment 2 Intersecting Lot Numbers and Land Types

Land Type	Lot Number / Reserve Number / Strata Number
CROWN	2025
CROWN	1695
CROWN	2359
CROWN	2216
CROWN	1664
CROWN	1656
FREEHOLD	207
FREEHOLD	209
FREEHOLD	106
FREEHOLD	157
FREEHOLD	85
FREEHOLD	46
FREEHOLD	32
FREEHOLD	504
FREEHOLD	215
FREEHOLD	214
FREEHOLD	112
FREEHOLD	37
FREEHOLD	1
FREEHOLD	40
FREEHOLD	147
FREEHOLD	229
FREEHOLD	156
FREEHOLD	39
FREEHOLD	22
FREEHOLD	46
FREEHOLD	60
FREEHOLD	110
FREEHOLD	58
FREEHOLD	11
FREEHOLD	2
FREEHOLD	147
FREEHOLD	100
FREEHOLD	36
FREEHOLD	45
FREEHOLD	53
FREEHOLD	110
FREEHOLD	60
FREEHOLD	52
FREEHOLD	240
FREEHOLD	52
FREEHOLD	44
FREEHOLD	.42
FREEHOLD	211
FREEHOLD	107
FREEHOLD	114
FREEHOLD	88

	Lot Number / Reserve Number / Strata Number
FREEHOLD :	159
FREEHOLD	43
FREEHOLD	91
FREEHOLD	61
FREEHOLD	33
FREEHOLD !	502
FREEHOLD	41
FREEHOLD	22
FREEHOLD 2	205
FREEHOLD 2	203
FREEHOLD :	16
FREEHOLD !	57
FREEHOLD	48
	66
FREEHOLD 2	216
FREEHOLD	213
FREEHOLD	128
FREEHOLD 8	84
FREEHOLD	200
FREEHOLD	1
FREEHOLD	117
FREEHOLD	208
FREEHOLD	238
FREEHOLD 8	89
FREEHOLD	41
FREEHOLD	42
FREEHOLD	4
FREEHOLD	66
FREEHOLD	43
FREEHOLD 2	24
FREEHOLD	54
FREEHOLD 2	27
FREEHOLD 1	108
FREEHOLD 1	146
FREEHOLD 1	109
FREEHOLD 1	112
FREEHOLD /	40
FREEHOLD 4	41
FREEHOLD 5	58
	126
FREEHOLD 8	86
	87
FREEHOLD 2	202
	26
	55
	143
FREEHOLD 1	17

Land Type	Lot Number / Reserve Number Strata Number
FREEHOLD	118
FREEHOLD	60
FREEHOLD	42
FREEHOLD	242
FREEHOLD	148
FREEHOLD	116
FREEHOLD	500
FREEHOLD	56
FREEHOLD	218
FREEHOLD	106
FREEHOLD	127
FREEHOLD	107
FREEHOLD	125
FREEHOLD	502
FREEHOLD	149
FREEHOLD	220
FREEHOLD	109
FREEHOLD	113
FREEHOLD	52
FREEHOLD	5
FREEHOLD	62
FREEHOLD	801
FREEHOLD	154
FREEHOLD	200
FREEHOLD	111
FREEHOLD	71
FREEHOLD	241
FREEHOLD	93
FREEHOLD	23
FREEHOLD	306
FREEHOLD	91
FREEHOLD	38
FREEHOLD	10
FREEHOLD	152
FREEHOLD	145
FREEHOLD	206
FREEHOLD	108
FREEHOLD	19
FREEHOLD	86
FREEHOLD	35
FREEHOLD	29
FREEHOLD	35
FREEHOLD	101
FREEHOLD	47
FREEHOLD	44
FREEHOLD	19
FREEHOLD	30

Land Type	Lot Number / Reserve Number / Strata Number
FREEHOLD	38
FREEHOLD	43
FREEHOLD	9500
FREEHOLD	20
FREEHOLD	201
FREEHOLD	132
FREEHOLD	94
FREEHOLD	113
FREEHOLD	503
FREEHOLD	25
FREEHOLD	101
FREEHOLD	501
FREEHOLD	212
FREEHOLD	102
FREEHOLD	501
FREEHOLD	160
FREEHOLD	210
FREEHOLD	113
FREEHOLD	81
FREEHOLD	126
FREEHOLD	36
FREEHOLD	47
FREEHOLD	31
FREEHOLD	26
FREEHOLD	144
FREEHOLD	125
FREEHOLD	115
FREEHOLD	61
FREEHOLD	61
FREEHOLD	24
FREEHOLD	50
FREEHOLD	217
FREEHOLD	111
FREEHOLD	208
FREEHOLD	37
FREEHOLD	25
FREEHOLD	4002
FREEHOLD	101
FREEHOLD	25
FREEHOLD	111
FREEHOLD	36
FREEHOLD	36
FREEHOLD	110
FREEHOLD	207
FREEHOLD	155
FREEHOLD	148
FREEHOLD	112

Land Type	Lot Number / Reserve Number / Strata Number
FREEHOLD	18
FREEHOLD	23
FREEHOLD	21
FREEHOLD	20
FREEHOLD	26
FREEHOLD	115
FREEHOLD	22
FREEHOLD	21
FREEHOLD	20
FREEHOLD	124
FREEHOLD	105
FREEHOLD	101
FREEHOLD	203
FREEHOLD	501
FREEHOLD	243
FREEHOLD	64
FREEHOLD	51
FREEHOLD	51
FREEHOLD	25
FREEHOLD	45
FREEHOLD	43
RESERVE	R33128
RESERVE	R48803
RESERVE	R48027
RESERVE	R34226
RESERVE	R2851
RESERVE	R2851
RESERVE	R36027
BUILDING STRATA	S008700
BUILDING STRATA	S043327
BUILDING STRATA	S011670
BUILDING STRATA	S009903
BUILDING STRATA	S030930
BUILDING STRATA	S029358
BUILDING STRATA	S014644
BUILDING STRATA	S039259
EASEMENT	0
ROAD	0 .