



Environmental Protection Authority

EPA REFERRAL
FORM

Referral of a Proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

PURPOSE OF THIS FORM

Section 38 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) makes provision for the referral to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) of a proposal (significant proposals, strategic proposals and proposals under an assessed scheme) by a proponent, a decision making authority (DMA), or any other person.

The purpose of this form is to ensure that EPA has sufficient information about a proposal to make a decision about the nature of the proposal and whether or not the proposal should be assessed under Part IV of the EP Act. Information provided in the referral form must be brief (no more than 30 pages), sharp and succinct to achieve the purposes of this form.

This form does not prevent the referrer from providing a supplementary referral report. Should a referrer choose to submit a supplementary referral report please ensure the following.

- i. Information is short, sharp and succinct.
- ii. Attachments are below eight megabytes (8 MB) as they will be published on the EPA's website (exemptions apply) for public comment. To minimise file size, "flatten" maps and optimise pdf files.
- iii. Cross-references are provided in the referral form to the appropriate section/s in the supplementary referral report.

This form is to be used for all proposals¹ which can be referred to the EPA under section 38 of the EP Act; i.e. referrals from: **proponents** of proposals (significant proposals, strategic proposals, derived proposals, proposals under an assessed scheme); **DMAs** (significant proposals); and **third parties** (significant proposals).

This form is divided into several sections, including; Referral requirements and Declaration; Part A - Information of the proposal and proponent; and Part B Environmental Factors. Guidance on successfully completing this form is provided throughout the form and is also available in the EPA's *Environmental Assessment Guideline for Referral of a Proposal under s38 of the EP Act (EAG 16)*.

Send completed forms to

Office of the Environmental Protection Authority
Locked Bag 10, East Perth WA 6892

or

Email: Registrar@epa.wa.gov.au

Enquiries

Office of the Environmental Protection Authority
Locked Bag 10, East Perth WA 6892

Telephone: 6145 0800

Fax: 6145 0895

Email: info@epa.wa.gov.au

Website: www.epa.wa.gov.au

¹ Please note that this form consolidates and replaces the following forms: *Referral of a Proposal by the Proponent to the EPA under section 38(1) of the EP Act*; *Referral of a Proposal by a third party to the EPA under section 38(1) of the EP Act*; and *Referral of a development proposal to the EPA by the decision making authority*.

Referral requirements and Declaration

The following section outlines the referral information required from a proponent, decision making authority and third party.

(a) Proponents

Proponents are expected to complete all sections of the form and provide GIS spatial data to enable the EPA to consider the referral. Spatial GIS data is necessary to inform the EPA's decision.

The EPA expects that a proponent will address Part B of the form as thoroughly as possible to demonstrate whether or not the EPA's objectives for environmental factors can be met.

If insufficient information is provided the EPA will request more information and processing of the referral will commence once the information is provided or the EPA decides to make a precautionary determination on the available information.

Proponent to complete before submitting form	
Completed all the questions in Part A (essential)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Completed all the questions in Part B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Completed all other applicable questions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Included Attachment 1 – any additional document(s) the proponent wishes to provide	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Included Attachment 2 – confidential information (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial data and contextual mapping but clearly separating any confidential information	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Completed the Declaration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
What is the type of proposal being referred? <i>* a referred proposal seeking to be declared a derived proposal</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> significant <input type="checkbox"/> strategic <input type="checkbox"/> derived* <input type="checkbox"/> under an assessed scheme
Do you consider the proposal requires formal environmental impact assessment?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, what level of assessment? <i>API = Assessment of Proponent Information</i> <i>PER = Public Environmental Review</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> API Category A <input type="checkbox"/> API Category B <input type="checkbox"/> PER


NB: The EPA may apply an Assessment on Proponent Information (API) level of assessment when the proponent has provided sufficient information about:

- the proposal;
- the proposed environmental impacts;
- the proposed management of the environmental impacts; and
- when the proposal is consistent with API criteria outlined in the [Environmental Impact Assessment \(Part IV Division 1 and 2\) Administrative Procedures 2012](#).

If an API A formal level of assessment is considered appropriate, please refer to Environmental Assessment Guideline No. 14 *Preparation for an Assessment on Proponent Information (Category A) Environmental Review Document EAG 14 (EAG14)*.

Declaration

I, ROGER STEPHENS, (full name) declare that I am authorised on behalf of KAUSBERG PTY LTD T/A WATKINS (being the person responsible for the proposal) to submit this form and further declare that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.

Signature 		Name (print) Roger Stephens	
Position	Planning and Environment Manager	Organisation	WA Premix
Email	Roger.s@walimestone.com		
Address	Street No. 401	Street Name Spearwood Avenue	
	Suburb Bibra Lake	State WA	Postcode 6163
Date	02 November 2015		

(b) Decision-making authority

The EPA expects decision-making authorities to complete applicable sections of Part A of the form and provide the proponent an opportunity to provide additional information in Part B of the form where appropriate.

Wherever possible the DMA should obtain relevant spatial information from the proponent and provide this to the EPA with the referral.

DMA to complete before submitting form	
Completed all the questions in Part A (essential)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Provided Part B to the proponent for completion	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Completed all other applicable questions	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Included Attachment 1 – any supporting information	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial data and contextual mapping	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Completed the below Declaration	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Do you consider the proposal requires formal environmental impact assessment?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
What is the type of proposal being referred?	<input type="checkbox"/> significant proposal <input type="checkbox"/> significant proposal under an assessed scheme

Declaration

I,, (*full name*) submit this referral to the EPA for consideration of the environmental significance of its impacts.

Signature		Name (print)	
Position		Organisation	
Email			
Address	Street No.	Street Name	
	Suburb	State	Postcode
Date			

(c) Third Party

Third parties are asked to have consideration for the Significance Test outlined in Part A Section 1.5 of this form before referring a significant proposal to the EPA. The EPA will only consider proposals that are likely, if implemented, to have a significant effect on the environment.

Third parties are to provide sufficient information to clearly identify the significant proposal, the proponent, and their reasons for referring the proposal. This can be done by completing as much of Part A of the form as possible, taking into consideration the information available. Third parties may wish to fill in Part B of the form to advance their own views of the significance of the environmental impacts and the need for EPA assessment.

In most cases the EPA will seek additional information from the proponent. This will be to confirm or amend the identity of the proponent, the proposal, and to allow the proponent opportunity to provide its views on the significance of the environmental impacts and the need for EPA assessment.

Third Party to complete before submitting form	
Complete all applicable questions in Part A and B	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Completed the Declaration	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Do you consider the proposal requires formal environmental impact assessment?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Declaration

I,, (*full name*) submit this referral to the EPA for consideration of the environmental significance of its impacts.

Signature		Name (print)	
Email			
Position		Organisation	
Address	Street No.	Street Name	
	Suburb	State	Postcode
Date			

PART A: Information on the proposal and the proponent

All fields of Part A must be completed by the proponent and/or decision-making authority for this document to be processed as a referral. Third party referrers are only expected to fill in the fields they have information for.

1 PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

1.1 The proponent of the proposal

Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
Name of the proponent	Ransberg Pty Ltd T/A WA Premix
Joint Venture parties (if applicable)	
Australian Company Number(s) (if applicable)	009 468 464
Postal Address <i>(Where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State)</i>	PO Box 1457 BIBRA LAKE WA 6965
Key proponent contact for the proposal <i>Please include: name; physical address; phone; and email.</i>	Roger Stephens PO Box 1457 BIBRA LAKE WA 6965 Ph. 08 9434 7777 roger.s@walimestone.com
Consultant for the proposal (if applicable) <i>Please include: name; physical address; phone; and email.</i>	

1.2 Proposal

Proposal is defined under the EP Act to mean a "project, plan, programme policy, operation, undertaking or development or change of land use, or amendment of any of the foregoing, but does not include scheme". Before completing this section please refer to [Environmental Protection Bulletin 17 – Strategic and derived proposals \(EPB 17\)](#) and [Environmental Assessment Guideline for Defining the Key Characteristics of a proposal \(EAG 1\)](#).

Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
Title of the proposal	WA Premix Bayswater Concrete Batching Plant
What project phase is the proposal at?	<input type="checkbox"/> Scoping <input type="checkbox"/> Feasibility <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Detailed design <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Proposal type <i>More than one proposal type can be identified, however for filtering purposes it is recommended that only the primary proposal type is identified.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Power/Energy Generation <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrocarbon Based – coal <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrocarbon Based – gas <input type="checkbox"/> Waste to energy <input type="checkbox"/> Renewable – wind <input type="checkbox"/> Renewable – wave <input type="checkbox"/> Renewable – solar <input type="checkbox"/> Renewable – geothermal

Proponent and/or DMA to complete

- Mineral / Resource Extraction**
 - Exploration – seismic
 - Exploration – geotechnical
 - Development
- Oil and Gas Development**
 - Exploration
 - Onshore – seismic
 - Onshore – geotechnical
 - Onshore – development
 - Offshore – seismic
 - Offshore – geotechnical
 - Offshore – development
- Industrial Development**
 - Processing
 - Manufacturing
 - Beneficiation
- Land Use and Development**
 - Residential – subdivision
 - Residential – development
 - Commercial – subdivision
 - Commercial – development
 - Industrial – subdivision
 - Industrial – development
 - Agricultural – subdivision
 - Agricultural – development
 - Tourism
- Linear Infrastructure**
 - Rail
 - Road
 - Power Transmission
 - Water Distribution
 - Gas Distribution
 - Pipelines
- Water Resource Development**
 - Desalination
 - Surface or Groundwater
 - Drainage
 - Pipelines
 - Managed Aquifer Recharge
- Marine Developments**
 - Port
 - Jetties
 - Marina
 - Canal
 - Aquaculture

Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Dredging <i>If other, please state below:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
Proponent and/or DMA to complete	
Description of the proposal – describe the key characteristics of the proposal in accordance with EAG 1 .	Concrete batching plant with a production capacity of 150m ³ /hour of ready-mixed concrete. The plant will utilise the latest best practice technologies, operate under an ISO 14001 certified EMS and employ real-time dust monitoring.
Timeframe in which the proposal is to occur (including start and finish dates where applicable).	Construction - March-September 2016 Commissioning – October 2016
Details of any staging of the proposal.	Not a staged proposal
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	Vacant, former bulk fuel depot. 1.2322 hectares
Have pre-referral discussions taken place with the OEPA? If yes, please provide the case number. If a case number was not provided, please state the date of the meeting and names of attendees.	No
DMA (Responsible Authority) to complete	
For a proposal under an assessed scheme (as defined in section 3 of the EP Act , applicable only to the proponent and DMA) provide details (in an attachment) as to whether: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The environmental issues raised by the proposal were assessed in any assessment of the assessed scheme.</i> <i>The proposal complies with the assessed scheme and any environmental conditions in the assessed scheme.</i> 	

1.3 Strategic / derived proposals

Complete this section if the proposal being referred is a strategic proposal or you are seeking the proposal to be declared a derived proposal. Note: Only a proponent may refer a strategic proposal and seek a proposal to be declared a derived proposal.

Proponent to complete	
Is this referred proposal a strategic proposal?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Are you seeking that this proposal be declared a derived proposal?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If you are seeking that this proposal be declared a derived proposal, what is the Ministerial Statement number (MS #) of the associated strategic proposal?	MS #: _____

1.4 Location

Proponents and DMAs must provide spatial data. Please refer to [EAG 1](#) for more detail.

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete	
Name of the Local Government Authority in which the proposal is located.	City of Bayswater
Location: a) street address; lot number; suburb; and nearest road intersection; or b) if remote the nearest town; and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	277-279 (Lot 2) Collier Road, Bayswater; Collier Road & Tonkin Highway
Have maps and figures been included with the referral (consistent with EAG 1 where appropriate)? <i>The types of maps and figures which need to be provided (depending on the nature of the proposal) include:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> maps showing the regional location and context of the proposal; and figures illustrating the proposal elements. 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Proponent and DMA to complete	
Have electronic copies of spatial data been included with the referral? NB: <i>Electronic spatial (GIS or CAD) data, geo-referenced and conforming to the following parameters:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GIS: polygons representing all activities and named; CAD: simple closed polygons representing all activities and named; datum: GDA94; projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude) or Map Grid of Australia (MGA); format: ESRI geodatabase or shapefile, MapInfo Interchange Format, Microstation or AutoCAD.. 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

1.5 Significance test and environmental factors

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete	
What are the likely significant environmental factors for this proposal?	<input type="checkbox"/> Benthic Communities and Habitat <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Processes <input type="checkbox"/> Marine Environmental Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Marine Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Flora and Vegetation <input type="checkbox"/> Landforms <input type="checkbox"/> Subterranean Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Environmental Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Fauna <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrological Processes <input type="checkbox"/> Inland Waters Environmental Quality

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Quality & Atmospheric Gases <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amenity <input type="checkbox"/> Heritage <input type="checkbox"/> Human Health <input type="checkbox"/> Offsets <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitation and Decommissioning
<p>Having regard to the Significance Test (refer to Section 7 of the <i>EIA Administrative Procedures 2012</i>) in what ways do you consider the proposal may have a significant effect on the environment and warrant referral to the EPA?</p>	<p><i>Please outline in two paragraphs or less.</i></p> <p>WA Premix does not believe that the significance of the proposal warrants referral to the EPA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exhaustive peer-reviewed scientific assessments have been completed which unanimously conclude the proposal will not cause any significant environmental impact, including consideration of potential cumulative impacts. • The proposal complies with all relevant strategic and statutory planning policy frameworks and planning approval has been granted for a concrete batching plant at the subject location. • Concrete batching is regulated under Part V of the EP Act and specific Environmental Protection (Concrete Batching and Cement Product Manufacturing) Regulations 1998. This is in addition to the stringent and highly prescriptive planning approval conditions already imposed on the proposal. • City of Bayswater officers and their consultants have also concluded the environmental impacts can be managed.

1.6 Confidential information

All information will be made publically available unless authorised for exemption under the EP Act or subject to the Freedom of Information Act 1992.

Proponent to complete	
<p>Does the proponent request that the EPA treat any part of the referral information as confidential?</p> <p><i>Ensure all confidential information is provided in a separate attachment in hard copy.</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

2 REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

This section applies to the Local, State and Commonwealth regulatory considerations for the referred proposal.

2.1 Government approvals

2.1.1 State or Local Government approvals

DMA to complete	
What approval(s) is (are) required from you as a decision-making authority?	
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

2.1.2 Regulation of aspects of the proposal

Complete the following to the extent possible.

Proponent to complete	
Do you have legal access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal? <i>If yes, provide details of legal access authorisations / agreements / tenure.</i> <i>If no, what authorisations / agreements / tenure is required and from whom?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Proposal is on freehold land and the proponent is the landowner.

Outline both the existing approvals and approvals that will be / are being sought as a part of this proposal.

Proponent to complete			
Aspects* of the proposal	Type of approval	Legislation regulating this activity	Which State agency /entity regulate this activity?
Groundwater Abstraction	Licence (licence granted GWL 172394)	RIWI Act 1914	DoW
Concrete Manufacturing	Works Approval and Registration (Category 77) (Decision pending)	EP Act 1986 – Part V	DER Contact: Lauren Trott A/Manager Licensing Process Industries Department of Environmental Regulation Ph. 08 9333 7473 lauren.trott@der.wa.gov.au
Land Use	Development Approval (granted) Amendment for design changes (pending)	P&D Act 2004	City of Bayswater Contact: Bianca Sandri Senior Planner City of Bayswater Ph. 08 9272 0914 bianca.sandri@bayswater.wa.gov.au

*e.g. mining, processing, dredging

2.1.3 Commonwealth Government Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 approvals

Refer to the [assessment bilateral agreement](#) between the Commonwealth of Australia and the State of Western Australia for assistance on this section.

Proponent to complete	
1. Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <i>If no continue to Part A section 2.3.4.</i>
2. What is the status of the decision on whether or not the action is a controlled action?	<input type="checkbox"/> Proposal not yet referred <input type="checkbox"/> Proposal referred, awaiting decision <input type="checkbox"/> Assessed – controlled action <input type="checkbox"/> Assessed – not a controlled action
3. If the action has been referred, when was it referred and what is the reference number (Ref #)?	Date: _____ Ref #: _____
4. If the action has been assessed, provide the decision in an attachment. Has an attachment been provided?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
5. Do you request this proposal to be assessed under the bilateral agreement?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Complete the following to the extent possible for the Public Comment of EPBC Act referral documentation.

Proponent to complete	
6. Have you invited the public to comment on your referral documentation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
7. How was the invitation published?	<input type="checkbox"/> newspaper <input type="checkbox"/> website
8. Did the invitation include all of the following?	
(a) brief description of the action	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(b) the name of the action	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(c) the name of the proponent	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(d) the location of the action	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(e) the matters of national environmental significance that will be or are likely to be significantly impacted	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(f) how the relevant documents may be obtained	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(g) the deadline for public comments	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Proponent to complete	
(h) available for public comment for 14 calendar days	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(i) the likely impacts on matters of national environmental significance	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(j) any feasible alternatives to the proposed action	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(k) possible mitigation measures	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
9. Were any submissions received during the public comment period?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
10. Have public submissions been addressed? If yes provide attachment.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

2.1.4 Other Commonwealth Government Approvals

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete			
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposal?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, please complete the table below.</i>	
Agency / Authority	Approval required	Application lodged?	Agency / Local Authority contact(s) for proposal
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

3. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Please attach copies of any relevant information on the proposal, supporting evidence and / or existing environmental surveys, studies or monitoring information undertaken and list the documents below.

Proponent, DMA and Third Party to complete			
	Title	Author	Document Description
(1)	Works Approval Application	WA Premix	Works approval documentation submitted to DER (appendices provided separately)
(2)	Plant Plans	WA Premix	Plant plans
(3)	Baseline Air Quality Assessment	SLR Consulting	12 month baseline ambient air quality assessment
(4)	Air Quality Impact Assessment	SLR Consulting	Air quality assessment of proposed plant
(5)	Noise Assessment	Herring Storer Acoustics	Noise assessment of proposed plant
(6)	Contaminated Site Advice	DER	Contaminated site advice
(7)	Planning Approval	State Administrative Tribunal / City of Bayswater	Planning approval for original design
(8)	Groundwater licence	Department of Water	Groundwater abstraction licence
(9)	Officers Report	City of Bayswater / Strategen	City of Bayswater Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes -
(10)	ISO 14001:2004 certification	BSI	WA Premix ISO 14001:2004 Certification

PART B: ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

The purpose of Part B is to assist the EPA to determine the significance of the likely environmental impacts of the proposal in accordance with the EPA's *Environmental Assessment Guideline for Environmental factors and objectives* (EAG 8) and *Environmental Assessment Guideline for Application of a significant framework in the EIA process* (EAG 9). Referrers completing Part B should refer closely to EAG 8 and EAG 9.

The EPA has prepared [Referral of a Proposal under s38 of the EP Act EAG No.16 - Appendix A](#) (Appendix A) to assist in identifying factors and completing the below table. Further guidance can be found in the guidance and policy documents cited in Appendix A under each factor.

How to complete Part B

For each environmental factor, that is likely to be significantly impacted by the implementation of the proposal, make a copy of the table below and insert a summary of the relevant information relating to the proposal. The table can be broken down into more than one table per factor, if the need arises. For example the hydrological processes factor can be presented in two separate tables, one for surface water and one for groundwater, or similarly one for construction and one for operations.

For complex proposals a supplementary referral report can be provided in addition to the referral form. If this option is chosen the table must still be completed (summaries are acceptable) to assist the Office of the EPA with statistical reporting and filtering proposals for processing.

Proponents expecting an API level of assessment must provide information in accordance with the EPA's *Environmental Assessment Guideline for Preparation of an API-A environmental review document* (EAG 14).

For each of the significant environmental factors, complete the following table (Questions 1 – 10).

NB: A detailed assessment of the proposal's impact on environmental factors is provided in the supporting documentation provided.

Proponent to complete. DMA and Third Party to complete to the best of their knowledge.		
1	Factor, as defined in EAG 8	Air Quality
2	EPA Objective, as defined in EAG 8	To maintain air quality for the protection of the environment and human health and amenity.
3	Guidance - what established policies, guidelines, and standards apply to this factor in relation to the proposal?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Environmental Protection (Concrete Batching and Cement Product Manufacturing) Regulations 1998</i> • <i>EPA Code of Practice – Concrete Batching (1991)</i> • <i>National Environmental Protection Measure (NEPM) for Ambient Air Quality</i>

Proponent to complete. DMA and Third Party to complete to the best of their knowledge.		
4	<p>Consultation - outline the need for consultation and the outcomes of any consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>anticipated level of public interest in the impact;</i> • <i>consultation with regulatory agencies; and</i> • <i>consultation with community.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The original proposal was advertised for comment by the City of Bayswater in March 2011. • The outcomes from the initial consultation have been addressed by the amendments to the original proposal. • The amended proposal was re-advertised for comment by the DER in January 2015 and City of Bayswater in March-April 2015.
5	<p>Baseline information - describe the relevant characteristics of the receiving environment.</p> <p><i>This may include: regional context; known environmental values, current quality, sensitivity to impact, and current level of cumulative impacts.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposal site is within an established industrial area, with a residential area approximately 250 metres to the north. • A 12 month baseline air quality assessment was undertaken to identify background emissions to assess cumulative impacts.
6	<p>Impact assessment - describe the potential impact/s that may occur to the environmental factor as a result of implementing the proposal.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The air quality assessment identified that under "worst-case" operating conditions, the plant is predicted to generate a maximum of 2.0µg/m³ of PM₁₀ at the nearest sensitive receptor, which is 2% of the NEPM PM₁₀ criteria. Under normal operating conditions emissions at the nearest receptor will be less than 0.20µg/m³. • The scientific assessments found the cumulative impact of the plant's emissions in addition to existing background levels will not cause significant impact.
7	<p>Mitigation measures - what measures are proposed to mitigate the potential environmental impacts? The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Avoidance - avoiding the adverse environmental impact altogether;</i> • <i>Minimisation - limiting the degree or magnitude of the adverse impact;</i> • <i>Rehabilitate – restoring the maximum environmental value that is reasonably practicable; and</i> • <i>Offsets – actions that provide environmental benefits to counterbalance significant residual environmental impacts or risks of a project or activity.</i> 	<p>Avoidance (compared to typical concrete batching plant)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Wet-mix" concrete batching process eliminates dust emissions from agitator truck loading. • Material transfer system reduces of front-end loader movements by 97.7%. <p>Minimisation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enclosure of all major plant components. • Water sprays • Real-time dust monitoring • WA Premix certified to ISO 14001:2004

Proponent to complete. DMA and Third Party to complete to the best of their knowledge.		
8	<p>Residual impacts – review the residual impacts against the EPA objectives.</p> <p><i>It is understood that the extent of any significant residual impacts may be hard to quantify at the referral stage. Referrers are asked to provide, as far as practicable, a discussion on the likely residual impacts and form a conclusion on whether the EPA's objective for this factor would be met if residual impacts remain. This will require:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>quantifying the predicted impacts (extent, duration, etc.) acknowledging any uncertainty in predictions;</i> <i>putting the impacts into a regional or local context, incorporating knowable cumulative impacts; and</i> <i>comparison against any established environmental policies, guidelines, and standards.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The air quality impact assessment predicts that under normal operating conditions the plant will generate less than 0.20µg/m³ at the nearest sensitive receptor, which represents 0.4% of the NEPM PM₁₀ recommended limit. Emissions from the plant will comply with all Australian and International air quality guidelines. This includes the cumulative impact, inclusive of known background levels. To mitigate any uncertainty in the predictions, conservative assumptions and worst-case scenarios were modelled. The assessment methodology has been independently reviewed and accepted by consultants engaged by the City of Bayswater and DER officers.
9	<p>EPA's Objective – from your perspective and based on your review, which option applies to the proposal in relation to this factor? Refer to EAG 9</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>meets the EPA's objective</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>may meet the EPA's objective</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>is unlikely to meet the EPA's objective</i></p>
10	<p>Describe any assumptions critical to your conclusion (in Question 9). <i>e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assumptions used in the air quality assessment are detailed in the attached supporting information.

Proponent to complete. DMA and Third Party to complete to the best of their knowledge.		
1	Factor, as defined in EAG 8	Amenity
2	EPA Objective, as defined in EAG 8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To ensure that impacts to amenity are reduced to as low as reasonably practicable.
3	Guidance - what established policies, guidelines, and standards apply to this factor in relation to the proposal?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environmental Protection (Concrete Batching and Cement Product Manufacturing) Regulations 1998 EPA Code of Practice – Concrete Batching (1991) City of Bayswater Town Planning Scheme No.24
4	<p>Consultation - outline the need for consultation and the outcomes of any consultation in relation to the potential environmental impacts, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>anticipated level of public interest in the impact;</i> <i>consultation with regulatory agencies; and</i> <i>consultation with community.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The original proposal was advertised for comment by the City of Bayswater in March 2011. The outcomes from the initial consultation have been addressed by the amendments to the original proposal. The amended proposal was re-advertised for comment by the DER in January 2015 and City of Bayswater in March-April 2015.
5	<p>Baseline information - describe the relevant characteristics of the receiving environment.</p> <p><i>This may include: regional context; known environmental values, current quality, sensitivity to impact, and current level of cumulative impacts.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal site is within an existing and established industrial area, with a residential area approximately 250 metres to the north. The industrial area predates the construction of the residential area. No cumulative impacts identified relative to noise or visual amenity.
6	Impact assessment - describe the potential impact/s that may occur to the environmental factor as a result of implementing the proposal.	<p>Visual Impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal is limited in height to 12 metres Planning approval has been granted for the original design, which included assessment of potential visual impact. The amended proposal has been assessed by the City of Bayswater town planners who raised no concerns regarding visual impact. <p>Noise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal has been assessed and predictive modelling shows the plant will comply with the <i>Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997</i> at all times.

Proponent to complete. DMA and Third Party to complete to the best of their knowledge.		
7	<p>Mitigation measures - what measures are proposed to mitigate the potential environmental impacts? The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Avoidance - avoiding the adverse environmental impact altogether;</i> • <i>Minimisation - limiting the degree or magnitude of the adverse impact;</i> • <i>Rehabilitate – restoring the maximum environmental value that is reasonably practicable; and</i> • <i>Offsets – actions that provide environmental benefits to counterbalance significant residual environmental impacts or risks of a project or activity.</i> 	<p>Visual Impact Minimisation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plant height limited to 12 metres (excluding hand rails and filters). • Plant located at southern end of subject site to reduce visibility from the residential area to the north. • Rear retaining wall design, perimeter fencing and site landscaping reduce the visibility of the plant. <p>Noise Avoidance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enclosure of plant, noise cladding, and/or locating “noisy” areas below ground. • Plant design reduces front end loaders by 97% compared to comparable traditional plant. • Restriction of activities during night period.
8	<p>Residual impacts – review the residual impacts against the EPA objectives.</p> <p><i>It is understood that the extent of any significant residual impacts may be hard to quantify at the referral stage. Referrers are asked to provide, as far as practicable, a discussion on the likely residual impacts and form a conclusion on whether the EPA’s objective for this factor would be met if residual impacts remain. This will require:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>quantifying the predicted impacts (extent, duration, etc.) acknowledging any uncertainty in predictions;</i> • <i>putting the impacts into a regional or local context, incorporating knowable cumulative impacts; and</i> • <i>comparison against any established environmental policies, guidelines, and standards.</i> 	<p>Noise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The plant has been assessed and found will comply with the <i>Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997</i>. • To confirm this, a post-commissioning noise assessment will be undertaken to measure actual noise emissions from the plant. If required corrective actions will be undertaken to ensure compliance. <p>Visual Impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The plant will not be visible from any residence, and only partial glimpses from within Joan Rycroft Reserve. • The proposal is within an established industrial area; the plant will be fully enclosed and will be substantially less visible than the surrounding industrial premises. • Landscaping to the rear of the site will assist with screening the plant from the reserve and residential area.
9	<p>EPA’s Objective – from your perspective and based on your review, which option applies to the proposal in relation to this factor? Refer to EAG 9</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>meets the EPA’s objective</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>may meet the EPA’s objective</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>is unlikely to meet the EPA’s objective</i></p>

Proponent to complete. DMA and Third Party to complete to the best of their knowledge.

10	Describe any assumptions critical to your conclusion (in Question 9). <i>e.g. particular mitigation measures or regulatory conditions.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Assumptions used in the air quality assessment are detailed in the attached supporting information.
----	--	---

In circumstances where there was some uncertainty on the level of significance of a particular factor it is recommended that a brief summary (no longer than 1 - 2 paragraphs) is provided on the steps taken to determine why a factor was not considered to be significant.