

Environmental Protection Authority

EPA REFERRAL FORM PROPONENT

NIa

Referral of a Proposal by the Proponent to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

PURPOSE OF THIS FORM

Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) provides that where a development proposal is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, a proponent may refer the proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for a decision on whether or not it requires assessment under the EP Act. This form sets out the information requirements for the referral of a proposal by a proponent.

Proponents are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the EPA's *General Guide* on *Referral of Proposals* [see Environmental Impact Assessment/Referral of Proposals and Schemes] before completing this form.

A referral under section 38(1) of the EP Act by a proponent to the EPA must be made on this form. A request to the EPA for a declaration under section 39B (derived proposal) must be made on this form. This form will be treated as a referral provided all information required by Part A has been included and all information requested by Part B has been provided to the extent that it is pertinent to the proposal being referred. Referral documents are to be submitted in two formats – hard copy and electronic copy. The electronic copy of the referral will be provided for public comment for a period of 7 days, prior to the EPA making its decision on whether or not to assess the proposal.

CHECKLIST

Before you submit this form, please check that you have:

	Yes	I INO
Completed all the questions in Part A (essential).	X	
Completed all applicable questions in Part B.	X	
Included Attachment 1 – location maps.	X	
Included Attachment 2 – additional document(s) the proponent wishes	X	
to provide (if applicable).	Λ.	
Included Attachment 3 – confidential information (if applicable).		X
Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial	X	
data and contextual mapping but excluding confidential information.		

Following a review of the information presented in this form, please consider the following question (a response is optional).					
Do you consider the proposal requires for	ormal environmental impact assessment?				
☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Not sure				
If yes, what level of assessment?					
Assessment on Proponent Information	on Public Environmental Review				
PROPONENT DECLARATION (to be completed by the proponent) I, Tawake Rakai, declare that I am authorised to submit this form and further declare					
that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.					
Signature Alahar	Name (print): Tawake Rakai				
Position: General Manager System Design and Operations	Company: DBP Development Group				
Date: 16.01.2014					

PART A - PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

(All fields of Part A must be completed for this document to be treated as a referral)

1 PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

1.1 Proponent

Name	DDG FR Pty Ltd (DDG)
Joint Venture parties (if applicable)	N/A
Australian Company Number (if applicable)	166 678 842
Postal Address (where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State)	Level 6, 12-14 The Esplanade, Perth WA 6000
Key proponent contact for the proposal:	Louise Watson Senior Advisor – Environment and Heritage Level 6, 12-14 The Esplanade, Perth WA 6000 (08) 9223 4937 louise.watson@dbp.net.au
Consultant for the proposal (if applicable): name address phone email	Nicola Thompson Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd PO Box 237 West Perth BC WA 6872 (08) 9227 1070 nickit@ecoaus.com.au

1.2 Proposal

Title	Fortescue River Gas Pipeline
Description	The Proposal involves construction of an approximately 266 km long buried natural gas pipeline, connecting Fortescue Metals Group's Solomon Mine to the Dampier to Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline (DBNGP) west of the North West Coastal Highway in the Pilbara region of Western Australia. The pipeline will support mining and infrastructure in the Pilbara. Refer to Section 2 of the Referral Supporting Document for a detailed description of the Proposal.
Extent (area) of proposed ground disturbance.	The Proposal involves the clearing of a 30 m wide corridor across 266 km, which will result in a total construction footprint of up to 881 ha (including turn-around points, turkey nest dams, access and a construction camp), representing mostly temporary construction space. The permanent development footprint will be 133 ha after post-construction rehabilitation has been completed. The permanent footprint is required for permanent access track along the corridor (refer to Section 2.2 of the Referral Supporting Document).
Timeframe in which the activity or development is proposed to occur (including start and finish dates where applicable).	The Proposal is planned to commence in July 2014, with construction to be completed in Q4 2014.
Details of any staging of the proposal.	No staging of the project is proposed.

Is the proposal a strategic proposal?	No
Is the proponent requesting a declaration that the proposal is a derived proposal? If so, provide the following information on the strategic assessment within which the referred proposal was identified: • title of the strategic assessment; and • Ministerial Statement number.	No
Please indicate whether, and in what way, the proposal is related to other proposals in the region.	The FRGP will initially enable a shift from diesel to natural gas fired power generation at the Solomon Hub, with the potential to deliver similar benefits to a number of large resource projects in the area. Future expansion of the pipeline has been allowed for, for the potential supply of gas to Western Hub, North Star and Chichester Hub.
Does the proponent own the land on which the proposal is to be established? If not, what other arrangements have been established to access the land?	The Proponent has applied for authorisation of entry under Section 7 of the <i>Petroleum Pipelines Act 1969</i> for the Fortescue River Gas Pipeline Project dated 8 th October 2013.
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	The current land use of the area is pastoral (grazing of native pastures), unallocated Crown land and Crown reserves, conservation, and mining. The extent of the Proposal area is 798 ha.

1.3 Location

Name of the Shire in which the proposal is located.	Shire of Ashburton
For urban areas: •street address; •lot number; •suburb; and •nearest road intersection.	N/A
For remote localities: •nearest town; and • distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	The closest major town is Pannawonica, which the Proposal at its closest passes approximately 0.5 km south of the townsite.
Electronic copy of spatial data - GIS or CAD, georeferenced and conforming to the following parameters: • GIS: polygons representing all activities and named; • CAD: simple closed polygons representing all activities and named; • datum: GDA94; • projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude) or Map Grid of Australia (MGA); • format: Arcview shapefile, Arcinfo coverages, Microstation or AutoCAD.	Enclosed: Yes. GIS data for the Proposal layout is included on the enclosed CD-ROM (Enclosure 1).

1.4 Confidential Information

Does the proponent wish to request the EPA to allow any part of the referral information to be treated as confidential?	No
If yes, is confidential information attached as a separate document in hard copy?	No

1.5 Government Approvals

Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can	No	

be implemented? If yes, please provide details				
Is approval required from an	y Commonwealth or State I Authority for any part of the	Yes. Refer to Section 1.4 of the Referral Supporting Document for further details.		
Agency/Authority	Approval required	Application lodged Yes / No	Agency/Local Authority contact(s) for proposal	
Department of Environmental Regulation (DER)	Native Vegetation Clearing Permit (NVCP) under Part V of the EP Act.	No – pending the outcome of the EP Act Referral.	N/A	
Department of the Environment (DoE)	Referral under the EPBC Act. Anticipated to be "not-assessed, particular manner".	No – pending the outcome of the EP Act Referral.		
Application for a s 5C licence to take groundwater.		No – will be lodged once required sources and locations known	Christa Loos	
(DoW)	Bed and Banks Permit under the RIWI Act.	No. To be lodged	Christa Loos	
Department of Mines and Petroleum (DMP)	Submission of construction environmental management plan to meet licence requirements under PP Act.	No. Being lodged concurrently with the EPBC Act Referral.	N/A	
Department of Aboriginal Affairs (DAA)	Application under s 18 of the AH Act for disturbance to Aboriginal heritage sites.	No – will be lodged if and as required.	N/A	

PART B - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Describe	the	impacts	of	the	proposal	on	the	following	elements	of	the	environment,	by	answering	the
questions	con	tained in	Se	ction	s 2.1-2.11	:									

ques	CIONS C	oritained in dections 2	. 1-4, 11.		
	2.1	flora and vegetation;			
	2.2	fauna;			
	2.3	rivers, creeks, wetlan	ds and estuari	ies;	
	2.4	significant areas and	or land featur	es;	
	2.5	coastal zone areas;			
	2.6	marine areas and bio	ota;		
	2.7	water supply and dra	inage catchme	ents;	
	2.8	pollution;			
	2.9	greenhouse gas emis	ssions;		
	2.10	contamination; and			
	2.11	social surroundings.			
Thes	se featu	res should be shown	on the site plar	n, where appropriate.	
For a	all infor	mation, please indicate	e :		
	(a)	the source of the info	rmation; and		
	(b)	the currency of the in	formation.		
2.1	Flora	and Vegetation			
2.1.1	l Do	you propose to clear a	ny native flora	and vegetation as a part of this proposa	l?
	(En	vironmental Protection	n (Clearing of	may require a clearing permit under F Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004) vation (DEC) for more information.	
		(please tick)	X Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this se	ection.
			☐ No	If no, go to the next section	
2.1.2	2 Hov	v much vegetation are	you proposing	to clear (in hectares)?	
	the			f up to 881 ha of native vegetation to all construction camp, turn-around points,	
		a detailed breakdowr ctions 2 and 4 of the F		ng requirements and proposed mitigation rting Document.	on measures, refer to
2.1.3		/e you submitted an ap h a requirement)?	oplication to cle	ear native vegetation to the DEC (unless	you are exempt from
		Yes	⊠ No	If yes, on what date and to wh application submitted of the DEC?	ich office was the

2.1.4	Are you aware of any re	cent flora surv	eys carried out over the area to be disturbed by this proposal?
	⊠ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).
			If no, please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.
		n Section 3.2.	vas undertaken by Mattiske in 2013. The flora and vegetation 1 and appended to the attached Referral Supporting Document 1 nment 2).
2.1.5	Has a search of DEC recommunities been cond		wn occurrences of rare or priority flora or threatened ecological ite?
	X Yes	□ No	If you are proposing to clear native vegetation for any part of your proposal, a search of DEC records of known occurrences of rare or priority flora and threatened ecological communities will be required. Please contact DEC for more information.
			Supporting Document for a description of the occurrence of ation communities within the Proposal Corridor.
2.1.6	Are there any known oc site?	currences of ra	are or priority flora or threatened ecological communities on the
	Yes	□No	If yes, please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.
	Refer to Section 3.2.1 o threatened ecological cor		Supporting Document for occurrence of rare or priority flora or n the Proposal area.
2.1.7		e? (You will ne	n Region, is the proposed development within or adjacent to a seed to contact the Bush Forever Office, at the Department for
	☐ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please indicate which Bush Forever Site is affected (site number and name of site where appropriate).
	N/A		
2.1.8	What is the condition of	the vegetation	at the site?
	•	art vegetation	e Proposal area ranges from Cleared (completely degraded) to within the survey area was in Very Good to Pristine condition upporting Document).
2,2	Fauna		
2.2.1	Do you expect that any		habitat will be impacted by the proposal?
	(please tick)	Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.

2.2.3 Are you aware of any recent fauna surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed by this proposal?						
	Yes	□ No	If yes, please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).			
			If no, please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.			
	A Level 1 fauna assessment was undertaken by Ninox Wildlife Consulting. The fauna assessment is referred to in Section 3.2.2 and appended to the attached Referral Supporting Document (Appendix C; Attachment 2).					
2.2.4	Has a search of DEC recorconducted for the site?	ds for knov	vn occurrences of Specially Protected (threatened) fauna been			
	X Yes	□ No	(please tick)			
	Refer to in Section 3.2.2 of the	ne Referral	Supporting Document.			
2.2.5	Are there any known occur	ences of S	pecially Protected (threatened) fauna on the site?			
	Yes	□ No	If yes, please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.			
	Refer to Section 3.2.2 of the Referral Supporting Document for a detailed description of the conservation significant species potentially occurring on site.					
2.3	Rivers, Creeks, Wetlands a	nd Estuari	es			
2.3.1			metres of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?			
2.0.1	(please tick)	X Ye				
	(piedee tiek)	□ No	If no, go to the next section.			
	The Proposal crosses a major tributary of the Fortescue River (Caliwinga Creek) in addition to a number of other creeks including Peter Creek, Weelamurra Creek and Asbestos Creek. The creeks and smaller drainage lines are ephemeral and will be dry during construction. Refer to Section 3.1.3 and Section 4.3 of the Referral Supporting Document.					
2.3.2	Will the development result	in the clea	ring of vegetation within the 200 metre zone?			
	X Yes	□ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
	Refer to Section 3.1.3 and S	ection 4.3	of the Referral Supporting Document			
2.3.3	Will the development result	in the filling	g or excavation of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?			
	Yes	☐ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			

2.2.2 Describe the nature and extent of the expected impact.

Refer to Section 4.2 of the Referral Supporting Document.

Refer to Sections 2.1.3, 3.1.3 and 4.3 of the Referral Supporting Document

	Will the development result in the impoundment of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?					,
	Yes	No No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
2.3.5	Will the development	result in draining	g to a river, creek, v	vetland or estu	ary?	
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please de	escribe the exte	ent of the exp	pected impact.
2.3.6	Are you aware if the pof the following categor		k, wetland or e	stuary (or its	buffer) within one	
	Conservation Category	y Wetland		☐ Yes	⊠ No	Unsure
	Environmental Protec Wetlands) Policy 1998		est Agricultural Zor	ne ☐ Yes	⊠ No	Unsure
	Perth's Bush Forever	site	P. C.	☐ Yes	No No	Unsure
	Environmental Protect 1998	ion (Swan & Ca	anning Rivers) Poli	Cy ☐ Yes	No No	Unsure
	The management are River Trust Act 1988	ea as defined in	n s4(1) of the <i>Swa</i>	an ☐ Yes	No No	☐ Unsure
	Which is subject to a of the importance o waterbird habitats (e.g.	f the wetland	for waterbirds ar		⊠ No	☐ Unsure
2.4	Significant Areas and	or Land Featu	ires			
2.4.1	Is the proposed deve Nature Reserve?	lopment located	l within or adjacent	to an existing	or proposed	d National Park o
	X Yes	□No	If	yes, please pr	ovide details	3.
	The Proposal area interpreted Park. Refer to Section					
			terral Supporting D	ocument for ful	ther informa	ation.
2.4.2	Are you aware of any of the EP Act) that wil	Environmentally	y Sensitive Areas (a	as declared by		
2.4.2		Environmentally	y Sensitive Areas (a y the proposed dev	as declared by	the Minister	under section 51E
2.4.2	of the EP Act) that wil	Environmentally I be impacted by No mentally Sensitice, that occur w	y Sensitive Areas (a y the proposed dev ive Areas, e.g. Thre vithin the Proposal	as declared by elopment? If yes, please p eatened Ecolog area. Refer t	the Minister provide deta gical Commu	under section 51E ils. unities or wetland
2.4.2	of the EP Act) that will Yes There are no Environ of national significance	Environmentally I be impacted by No mentally Sensitions, that occur woorting Documentally significant nations.	y Sensitive Areas (a y the proposed dev ive Areas, e.g. Thre vithin the Proposal nt for further inform	as declared by elopment? If yes, please p eatened Ecolog area. Refer t ation.	the Minister provide deta gical Commu	under section 51E ils. unities or wetland 3.1.3 and Section

The Proposal area intersects a small section of the Yandagee Gorge which is a significant habitat for terrestrial fauna. Yandagee Gorge represents potential habitat for the following conservation

significant species: Dasyurus hallucatus (Northern Quoll) and Liasis olivaceus barroni (Pilbara Olive Python). Refer to Section 3.2.2 of the Referral Supporting Document for further information.

2.5	Coastal Zone Areas (Coastal Dunes and Beaches)					
2.5.1	5.1 Will the development occur within 300metres of a coastal area?					
	(please tick)	☐ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.			
		No No	If no, go to the next section.			
2.5.2	What is the expected s dune?	setback of the	e development from the high tide level and from the primary			
2.5.3	Will the development impact on coastal areas with significant landforms including beach ridge plain, cuspate headland, coastal dunes or karst?					
	Yes	☐ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
2.5.4	Is the development likely	to impact on	mangroves?			
	Yes	☐ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
2.6	Marine Areas and Biota					
2.6.1	Is the development likely to impact on an area of sensitive benthic communities, such as seagrasses, coral reefs or mangroves?					
	Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
2.6.2	Is the development likely to impact on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for reservation (as described in <i>A Representative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia</i> , CALM, 1994)?					
	Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
2.6.3	Is the development likely fishing activities?	y to impact on	marine areas used extensively for recreation or for commercial			
	Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).			
2.7	Water Supply and Draina	age Catchme	ents			
2.7.1	Are you in a proclaimed	or proposed g	groundwater or surface water protection area?			
			ment of Water (DoW) for more information on the requirements ement for licences for water abstraction. Also, refer to the DoW			
	X Ves	□ No	If was inlease describe what category of area			

The Proposal area lies within the Pilbara Groundwater Area and the Pilbara Surface Water Area as proclaimed under the *Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914* (RWI Act), in which groundwater abstractions and interference with watercourses require licences and permits respectively.

Refer to Sections 1.4 and 3.1.3 of the Referral Supporting Document

2.7.2	Are you in an existing or proposed Underground Water Supply and Pollution Control area?						
	(You may need to contact the DoW for more information on the requirements for your location, including the requirement for licences for water abstraction. Also, refer to the DoW website)						
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please describe what category of area.				
2.7.3	Are you in a Public Dr	inking Water Supr	oly Area (PDWSA)?				
	(You may need to contact the DoW for more information or refer to the DoW website. A proposal clear vegetation within a PDWSA requires approval from DoW.)						
	Yes	☐ No	If yes, please describe what category of area.				
			eferral Supporting Document for further details on the and consultation undertaken with the Department of Water.				
			*				
2.7.4	Is there sufficient water	er available for the	proposal?				
	(Please consult with the DoW as to whether approvals are required to source water as you propo Where necessary, please provide a letter of intent from the DoW)						
	Yes	☐ No	(please tick)				
	appropriate licences fro	m DoW where red nich will be ongoing	ater source options for the Proposal, and will obtain the quired. The Proponent has undertaken consultation with g. Refer to Section 1.4 and Section 2.3.2 of the Referral				
2.7.5	Will the proposal requ	ire drainage of the	e land?				
	Yes	⊠ No	If yes, how is the site to be drained and will the drainage be connected to an existing Local Authority or Water Corporation drainage system? Please provide details.				
2.7.6	Is there a water require	ement for the con	struction and/ or operation of this proposal?				
	(please tick)	X Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.				
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.				
2.7.7	What is the water re year?	quirement for the	construction and operation of this proposal, in kilolitres per				
	The water requirement Referral Supporting Do		ill be approximately 187,200 kL. Refer to Section 2.3.2 of the details.				

2.7.8	What is the proposed source of water for the proposal? (e.g. dam, bore, surface water etc.)					
	The proposed source of water for the Proposal is yet to be determined and the Proponent is currently looking into a combination of options. Refer to Section 2.3.2 of the Referral Supporting Document for further details.					
2.8	Pollution					
2.8.1	Is there likely to be any discharge of pollutants from this development, such as noise, vibration, gaseous emissions, dust, liquid effluent, solid waste or other pollutants?					
	(please tick)	Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.			
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.			
2.8.2	Is the proposal a prescri	bed premise, ur	nder the Environmental Protection Regulations 1987?			
	(Refer to the EPA's Gen Act 1986 for more inform		Referral of Proposals to the EPA under section 38(1) of the EP			
	☐ Yes	No No	If yes, please describe what category of prescribed premise.			
2.8.3	Will the proposal result i	n naseous emis	ssions to air?			
2.0.0		No	If yes, please briefly describe.			
	☐ Yes		if yes, please briefly describe.			
2.8.4	including consideration of cumulative impacts from other emission sources?					
	☐ Yes	⊠ 140	If yes, please briefly describe.			
2.8.5	Will the proposal result in	n liquid effluent	discharge?			
	X Yes	☐ No	If yes, please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and receiving environment.			
	Refer to Section 4.3 and	Section 4.7 of t	the Referral Supporting Document.			
2.8.6	If there is likely to be discharges to a watercourse or marine environment, has any analysis been done to demonstrate that the State Water Quality Management Strategy or other appropriate standards will be able to be met?					
	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please describe.			
2.8.7	Will the proposal produc	e or result in so	olid wastes?			
	X Yes	☐ No	If yes, please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and disposal location/ method.			
	Refer to Section 4.7 of the	e Referral Supp	porting Document.			
2.8.8	Will the proposal result i	n significant off	-site noise emissions?			

	X Yes	☐ No	If yes, please briefly describe.			
F	Refer to Section 4.5 of	the Referral Su	pporting Document.			
2.8.9	Will the development	be subject to the	e Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997?			
	X Yes	☐ No	If yes, has any analysis been carried out to demonstrate that the proposal will comply with the Regulations?			
			Please attach the analysis.			
	Refer to Section 4.5	of the Referral St	upporting Document.			
2.8.10	2.8.10 Does the proposal have the potential to generate off-site, air quality impacts, dust, odour or another pollutant that may affect the amenity of residents and other "sensitive premises" such as school and hospitals (proposals in this category may include intensive agriculture, aquaculture, marinas mines and quarries etc.)?					
	X Yes	☐ No	If yes, please describe and provide the distance to residences and other "sensitive premises".			
F	Refer to Section 4.6 of	the Referral Su	pporting Document.			
2.8.11	If the proposal has land use that may d		emponent or involves "sensitive premises", is it located near a rant?			
	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠Not Applicable			
			If yes, please describe and provide the distance to the potential pollution source			
2.9	Greenhouse Gas Emi	ssions				
2.9.1	Is this proposal likely per annum of carbon		tantial greenhouse gas emissions (greater than 100 000 tonnes nt emissions)?			
	☐ Yes	No No	If yes, please provide an estimate of the annual gross emissions in absolute and in carbon dioxide equivalent figures.			
2.9.2 Further, if yes, please describe proposed measures to minimise emissions, and any sink enhancement actions proposed to offset emissions.						
2.10	Contamination					
2.10.1	Has the property or may have caused s		oosal is to be located been used in the past for activities which er contamination?			
	☐ Yes	☐ No	Unsure If yes, please describe.			
2.10.2	Has any assessme	nt been done for	soil or groundwater contamination on the site?			
	☐ Yes	No	If yes, please describe.			

2,10.3	finalisation of the CS Regulations and proclamation of the CS Act)					
	Yes	⊠ No	If yes , please des	cribe.		
2.11 S	Social Surroundings					
2.11.1 Is the proposal on a property which contains or is near a site of Aboriginal ethnog archaeological significance that may be disturbed?						
	☐ Yes	☐ No	Unsure	If yes, please describe.		
	Refer to Section 3.3 c	f the Referral S	upporting Document.	*		
2.11.2 Is the proposal on a property which contains or is near a site of high public interest (e.g. a recreation area or natural scenic feature)?						
	Yes	⊠ No	If yes, please describe			
2.11.3	1.3 Will the proposal result in or require substantial transport of goods, which may affect the amenity the local area?					
	X Yes	☐ No	If yes, please describe			
	The Proposal may require the substantial transport of goods which will utilise the Pannawonica Road, potentially affecting the amenity of the local area. This will be addressed and managed through a Transport Management Plan provided within the Construction Environmental Management Plan.					

3.1	Principles of Environmental Protection		
3.1.1	Have you considered how your project gives attention to the followsection 4A of the EP Act? (For information on the Principles of Er see EPA Position Statement No. 7, available on the EPA website)	-	
	The precautionary principle.	X Yes	☐ No
	2. The principle of intergenerational equity.	X Yes	☐ No
	The principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity.	X Yes	☐ No
	 Principles relating to improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms. 	X Yes	☐ No
	5. The principle of waste minimisation.	X Yes	☐ No
3.1.2	Is the proposal consistent with the EPA's Environmental Protection and Environmental Assessment Guidelines/Guidance Statements (a		
3.2	Consultation		
3.2.1	Has public consultation taken place (such as with other government	agencies, comm	unity groups or

If yes, please list those consulted and attach comments or

summarise response on a separate sheet.

Refer to Section 2.5 of the Referral Supporting Document.

X Yes

neighbours), or is it intended that consultation shall take place?

☐ No

3.

PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

