APPENDIX 8: GEOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISATION OF MINE WASTE – GRAEME CAMPBELL & ASSOCIATES (2004)

TECTONIC RESOURCES NL

KUNDIP & TRILOGY PROJECTS

GEOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISATION OF

MINE-WASTE SAMPLES

['STATIC-TESTWORK']

Implications for Mine-Waste Management

GRAEME CAMPBELL AND ASSOCIATES PTY LTD (ACN 061 827674) JANUARY 2004 Job No. 0333

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1					
2.0	STUDY APPROACH					
2.0	2.1	Testw	ork Programme	2		
		2.1.1	Samples	2		
		2.1.2	Testwork	4		
	2.2	Calcul	lated Parameters	4		
	2.3	Classi	fication Criteria	5		
3.0	ACI	ACID-BASE CHEMISTRY AND SALINITY OF WASTE-ROCK				
	SAN	SAMPLES (KUNDIP DEPOSIT)				
	3.1	3.1 Soil/Regolith-Materials				
		3.1.1	pH and Salinity	9		
		3.1.2	Sulphur Forms	9		
		3.1.3	Acid-Consuming Properties	10		
		3.1.4	Acid-Formation Potential	10		
	3.2	Waste	-Bedrocks	10		
		3.2.1	pH and Salinity	10		
		3.2.2	Sulphur Forms	10		
		3.2.3	Acid-Consuming Properties	11		
		3.2.4	Acid-Formation Potential	12		
4.0	MU	LTI-ELI	EMENT COMPOSITION AND MINERALOGY OF			
	WA	STE-RO	OCK SAMPLES (KUNDIP DEPOSIT)	13		
5.0	GEC	GEOCHEMISTRY AND MINERALOGY OF LOW-GRADE-ORE				
	SAN	APLES (KUNDIP DEPOSIT)	14		
6.0	ACI	D-BASI	E CHEMISTRY AND SALINITY OF WASTE-ROCK			
	SAN	APLES (TRILOGY DEPOSIT)	16		
	6.1	Soil/R	egolith-Materials	16		
		6.1.1	pH and Salinity	16		
		6.1.2	Sulphur Forms	16		
		6.1.3	Acid-Consuming Properties	17		
		6.1.4	Acid-Formation Potential	17		
	6.2	Waste	-Bedrocks	17		
		6.2.1	pH and Salinity	17		
		6.2.2	Sulphur Forms	18		
		6.2.3	Acid-Consuming Properties	18		
		6.2.4	Acid-Formation Potential	19		

Page Nos.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd

7.0	MUI	LTI-ELE	EMENT COMPOSITION AND MINERALOGY OF	
	WAS	STE-RO	CK SAMPLES (TRILOGY DEPOSIT)	20
8.0	GEOCHEMISTRY AND MINERALOGY OF LOW-GRADE-ORE			
	SAM	IPLES (TRILOGY DEPOSIT)	22
9.0	CON	ICLUSI	ONS AND MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS	24
	9.1	Kundi	p Project	24
		9.1.1	Waste-Rock Management	24
		9.1.2	Low-Grade-Ore Management	25
		9.1.3	Pit-Lake-Water Quality	25
	9.2	Trilog	y Deposit	
		9.2.1	Waste-Rock Management	26
		9.2.2	Low-Grade-Ore Management	27
		9.2.3	Pit-Lake-Water Quality	28
10.0	REF	ERENC	ES	29

TABLES, FIGURES AND APPENDICES

(At Back of Report Text)

TABLES:

lrocks
golith-
terials
lundip
esults
e-Ores

TABLES (Cont'd):

Table 5.3:	Mineralogical Results for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Kundip
	Deposit)
Table 6.1:	Acid-Base-Analysis, Salinity and Net-Acid-Generation Results
	for Samples of Soil/Regolith-Materials and Waste-Bedrocks
	(Trilogy Deposit)
Table 7.1:	Multi-Element-Analysis Results for Samples of Soil/Regolith-
	Materials (Trilogy Deposit)
Table 7.2:	Multi-Element-Analysis Results for Samples of Waste-Bedrocks
	(Trilogy Deposit)
Table 7.3:	Selected-Assay Results for Samples of Soil/Regolith-Materials
	and Waste-Bedrocks (Trilogy Deposit)
Table 7.4:	Results for Water-Extraction Testwork on Samples of Waste-
	Bedrocks (Trilogy Deposit)
Table 7.5:	Mineralogical Results for Sample of Waste-Bedrock (Trilogy
	Deposit)
Table 8.1:	Acid-Base-Analysis, Salinity and Net-Acid-Generation Results
	for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Trilogy Deposit)
Table 8.2:	Multi-Element-Analysis Results for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores
	(Trilogy Deposit)
Table 8.3:	Results for Water-Extraction Testwork on Samples of Low-
	Grade-Ores (Trilogy Deposit)
Table 8.4:	Mineralogical Results for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Trilogy
	Deposit)

FIGURES:

Figure 1:	pH-Buffering Curves for Samples of Waste-Bedrocks (Kundip
	Deposit)
Figure 2:	pH-Buffering Curve for Sample of Soil/Regolith-Material
	(Trilogy Deposit)

APPENDICES:

Appendix A:	Details of Sampling Programme
Appendix B:	Testwork Methods
Appendix C:	Laboratory Reports

ACRONYM PARAMETER **DEFINITION/DETERMINATION** UNIT AFP Acid-Formation Potential ARD Acid-Rock Drainage Total-S Total Sulphur Analysis Result Sulphide Sulphur Testwork Result [i.e. Sulphide-S = Total-S - Sulphate-S] Sulphide-S ANC Acid-Neutralisation Capacity Testwork Result kg H₂SO₄/tonne MPA Maximum-Potential Acidity Calculation kg H₂SO₄/tonne NAPP Calculation kg H₂SO₄/tonne Net-Acid-Producing Potential NAG Net-Acid Generation Testwork Result kg H₂SO₄/tonne NAF Non-Acid Forming Calculation: Sulphide-S < 0.3 %Sulphide-S \ge 0.3 %, and negative-NAPP value with ANC/MPA \ge 2.0 PAF Potentially-Acid Forming Calculation: kg H₂SO₄/tonne Sulphide-S \ge 0.3 %, and any positive-NAPP value Sulphide-S \ge 0.3 %, and a negative-NAPP value with ANC/MPA < 2.0 PAF-[SL] PAF-[Short-Lag] Estimation [e.g. inferred from 'kinetic' testing] PAF-[LL] PAF-[Long-Lag] Estimation [e.g. inferred from 'kinetic' testing]

Testwork Result [e.g. obtained from 'kinetic' testing]

SOR

Sulphide-Oxidation Rate

SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS EMPLOYED IN THIS REPORT

Notes: The <u>PAF-[SL]</u> classification applies to (initially circum-neutral) PAF-materials that may acidify (viz. pH less than 5) within a matter of weeks-to-months when subjected to <u>"aggressive-ambient-weathering"</u>, corresponding to periods of at least a few days during which unsaturated-conditions prevail (via drainage/evaporation processes) between successive inundations that, in turn, occur semi-regularly (e.g. weekly-to-fortnightly "on-average" during most of the annual hydrological-cycle).

The **PAF-[LL]** classification applies to PAF-materials where exposure to the atmosphere for years (even decades, or longer) may be needed before acidification develops. Circum-neutral-pH during the "lag-phase' for such lithotypes is chiefly due to buffering reactions involving carbonate-minerals.

mg SO₄/kg/week (or kg SO₄/tonne/annum)

Climate directly influences the duration of the "lag-phase", and a sulphide-gangue assemblage classified as PAF-[SL] in a "humid" environment where the SOR is controlled by **O**₂-**supply**, may instead be classified as PAF-[LL] in semi-arid/arid environments where the SOR is contolled by **water-supply** (viz. frequency of "flushing-episodes") [Campbell, unpublished results]. The formation of "secondary-oxidation-products" (e.g. Fe-oxyhydroxides) as protective-coatings is generally enhanced during the "within-lag-phase-stage" of mine-waste weathering in semi-arid/arid environments, and so further curtails sulphide-oxidation rates.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Tectonic Resources NL (Tectonic) is developing the Kundip and Trilogy Projects located near Hopetoun, Western Australia.

The Kundip and Trilogy Deposits are located *c*. 10 kms from each other. Ore from the Kundip Deposit will be initially produced via open-pit-mining involving a number of small Pits, and then underground-mining. The waste-rock materials will be placed on waste-dumps in the vicinity of the Pits, and/or in Pits where mining has ceased. The Trilogy Deposit will be mined as an open-pit operation, and the waste-rock materials placed on waste-dumps in the vicinity of the Pit. Ore produced from each Deposit will be trucked to the Rav8 Project (located near Ravensthorpe) for treatment in the mill. Low-grade varieties of ore will also be trucked to the Rav8 Project for stockpiling and treatment, pending metallurgical evaluation, and Project economics.

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd (GCA) was commissioned to carry out geochemical testwork on a range of regolith-material, waste-bedrock, and low-grade-ore samples, derived from the Kundip and Trilogy Deposits.

The 'Static-Testwork' Programme focused on <u>Acid-Formation Potential (AFP)</u>, <u>Multi-</u> <u>Element Composition</u>, and <u>Mineralogy</u>.¹

The testwork results are presented and discussed in this report, and implications for mine-waste management highlighted.²

¹ A 'Static-Testwork' Programme comprises "whole-rock" analyses and tests.

 $^{^2}$ A related study on the geochemical character of waste-rock, low-grade-ore and soil samples derived from the Rav8 Nickel Project, is the subject of the GCA (1999) report.

2.0 STUDY APPROACH

Details of the sampling and testwork programmes, and the calculations and criteria employed for classifying the mine-waste samples into AFP categories, are presented and discussed in the following sections.

2.1 Testwork Programme

2.1.1 Samples

Details of the samples are presented in Appendix A.

The tested samples were derived from drill-core and RC-chips. The down-hole intervals were c. 1.0 m, and so allow assessment of mine-waste geochemistry at the "metre-scale" in terms of sulphide/carbonate abundance, and minor-element content.

It is assumed that the samples submitted for testing are representative of the major types of mine-waste materials to be produced during mining of the Kundip and Trilogy Deposits.

Samples from Kundip Deposit

The $\underline{14}$ samples provided to GCA for testing comprised:

- 3 samples of soil/regolith-materials;
- 8 samples of waste-bedrocks of varying lithology/alteration; and,
- 3 samples of low-grade-ores.

The soil/regolith-profile is *c*. 40-50 m thick, and comprises a <u>Clay-Rich-Zone</u> (*c*. 10 m thick) overlying a <u>Weathered-Saprolite-Zone</u>. The waste-bedrocks largely comprise <u>Fresh-Dacites</u>.

The total-tonneage of waste-rock materials to be produced during open-pit-mining of the Kundip Deposit should be c. 1-2 million tonnes, corresponding mostly to regolithmaterials. During the underground-mining operation, c. 0.1-0.2 million tonnes of waste-bedrocks should be produced. It should be noted that these estimates (as well as those presented below for the Trilogy Deposit) are **provisional only**, and subject to revision in the light of the evolving mine-plans, and geological-models.

Samples from Trilogy Deposit

The <u>**16**</u> samples provided for testing comprised:

- 3 samples of soil/regolith-materials;
- 10 samples of waste-bedrock(viz. Fresh-Phyllite); and,
- 3 samples of low-grade-ores.

The soil/regolith-profile is *c*. 30-40 m thick, and comprises a <u>Clay-Rich-Zone</u> (*c*. 3-5 m thick) overlying a <u>Weathered-Shale-Zone</u>. The waste-bedrocks comprise <u>Fresh-Phyllite</u> more-or-less exclusively, and this lithotype is at least partly-carbonaceous.

It is estimated that c. 5-10 million tonnes of waste-rock materials should be produced during open-pit-mining of the Trilogy Deposit. Of this total-waste-tonneage, c. 70 % should comprise regolith-materials, and c. 30 % waste-bedrocks (chiefly Fresh-Phyllite).

2.1.2 Testwork

The samples were assigned GCA Sample-Numbers, and relevant details recorded in the GCA Sample-Register. All samples were crushed (nominal 2 mm), and pulped (nominal 75 μ m), for specific tests.

The testwork methods employed in this study are based on recognised procedures for the geochemical characterisation of mine-waste materials (e.g. AMIRA 2002; Morin and Hutt 1997; Smith 1992; Coastech Research 1991; BC AMD Task Force 1989).

Part of the testwork was carried out by SGS Environmental Service [SGS] (Welshpool), and Genalysis Laboratory Services [GLS] (Maddington). The analyses performed by SGS and GLS have NATA endorsement.³

Specialised testing (viz. auto-titrations and Net-Acid-Generation [NAG] Tests) was undertaken by Dr. Graeme Campbell in the GCA Testing-Laboratory (Bridgetown).

The mineralogical investigation was carried out by Dr. Roger Townend of Roger Townend & Associates (Malaga).

Details of the testwork methods are presented in Appendix B, and copies of the laboratory and mineralogical reports are presented in Appendix C.

2.2 Calculated Parameters

The <u>Maximum-Potential-Acidity (MPA</u>) values (in kg H_2SO_4 /tonne) of the samples were calculated by multiplying the Sulphide-S values (in %) by 30.6. The multiplication-factor of 30.6 reflects both the reaction stoichiometry for the complete-

³ NATA = National Association of Testing Authorities.

oxidation of pyrite by O_2 to "Fe(OH)₃" and H_2SO_4 , and the different weight-based units of % and kg H_2SO_4 /tonne. The stoichiometry of pyrite-oxidation is discussed further in Appendix B.

The <u>Net-Acid-Producing-Potential (NAPP</u>) values (in kg H_2SO_4 /tonne) were calculated from the corresponding MPA and <u>Acid-Neutralisation-Capacity (ANC</u>) values (i.e. NAPP = MPA - ANC).

2.3 Classification Criteria

In terms of AFP, mine-waste materials may be classified into one of the following categories, viz.

- <u>Non-Acid Forming (NAF).</u>
- <u>Potentially-Acid Forming (PAF)</u>.

There are **no** unifying, "standard" criteria for classifying the AFP of mine-waste materials (Campbell 2002a,b; Smith 1992), and reflects the diversity of sulphide and gangue-mineral assemblages within (un)mineralised-lithotypes of varying weathering-and alteration-status. Rather, criteria for classifying AFP may need to be tailored to deposit-specific geochemistry, and mineralogy.

The AFP-classification criteria often employed at mining-operations worldwide are:

- <u>NAF</u>: Sulphide-S < 0.3 %. For Sulphide-S \ge 0.3 %, <u>both</u> a negative NAPP value, <u>and</u> an ANC/MPA ratio \ge 2.0.
- **PAF**: For Sulphide-S \ge 0.3 %, any positive-NAPP value; negative-NAPP value with an ANC/MPA ratio < 2.0.

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd

In assessing the AFP of mine-waste materials, there is consensus (e.g. mining/environmental regulators in British Columbia, Canada) that lithotypes with Sulphide-S contents less than 0.3 % are unlikely to oxidise at rates fast enough to result in acidification (e.g. pH less than 4-5) [Soregaroli and Lawrence 1997]. This position assumes that the groundmass hosting such "trace-sulphides" is <u>not</u> simply quartz, and/or clays (Price *et al.* 1997), and that for a carbonate-deficient gangue, the sulphides are <u>not</u> unusually reactive (e.g. sulphide-oxidation rates [SORs] less than *c.* 20-40 mg SO₄/kg/week) [= *c.* 1-2 kg SO₄/tonne/year].⁴ A "cut-off" of 0.3 % for Sulphide-S also accords with the findings of 'kinetic' testing conducted, since the late-1980s, by Dr. Graeme Campbell for mine-waste samples of diverse mineralogy in terms of AFP.

The ANC/MPA criteria for the NAF category reflects the need to compensate for "less-than-perfect" availability of alkalinity-forms (e.g. carbonates) for neutralisation of acid produced through pyrite-oxidation. A "less-than-perfect" availability of alkalinity-forms may arise from:

- (a) Restricted accessibility of acid to carbonate-grains.
- (b) Rate-limiting dissolution of carbonates-grains near pH=7.
- (c) Depletion of carbonate-minerals through rainfall-fed leaching within waste-dumps.⁵

Restricted accessibility of acid to the surfaces of carbonate-grains may occur at different spatial-scales (viz. at the "whole-rock-scale" in which Acid-Rock Drainage [ARD] "by-passes" carbonate-bearing materials via preferential-flow pathways within a waste-

⁴ Although 'steady-state' SORs (at circum-neutral-pH) for Sulphide-S contents less than 0.3 % may indeed exceed 1-2 kg SO₄/tonne/year, such rates are generally restricted to either sedimentary forms (e.g. framboidal-pyrite), or hydrothermal-sulphides that are atypically reactive.

⁵ Depletion of carbonate-minerals through dissolution in meteoric-waters is minimal in semi-arid settings, especially within the "hydrologically-active-zone" (e.g. top 2-3 m) of a waste-dump, since reprecipitation occurs during evapo-concentration when desiccating conditions return after "wet-spells".

dump, and at the "grain-scale" in which the surfaces of individual carbonate-grains are "blinded/rimmed" by precipitates of Fe(III)-oxyhydroxides [e.g. ferrihydrite-type phases]). As shown by Li (1997), ferroan-carbonates (especially "Fe-rich" varieties) are prone to "surface-armouring/rimming" during dissolution: weathering of tailings-solids containing pyrite, ankerites and Mg-siderites produced acidic leachates when less than one-third of the carbonate-grains had dissolved.

To compensate for the effects of (a) to (c) above, some authors advocate that, for a mine-waste sample to be classified as NAF, it must have an ANC/MPA ratio of at least 3.0 (see review of earlier literature by Smith [1992]). In recent years, fundamental-research (especially estimation of reaction-rates for diverse sulphide/gangue-mineral assemblages), and field-experience at mining operations world-wide, have shown that the potential for ARD production is very low for mine-waste materials with ANC/MPA ratios greater than 2.0 (AMIRA 2002; Price *et al.* 1997, Currey *et al.* 1997, and Murray *et al.* 1995).⁶ This ANC/MPA ratio is employed in the present work.⁷

The risk posed by handling PAF-lithotypes during the working of a deposit is governed primarily by the duration of the <u>"lag-phase"</u> (i.e. the period during which sulphide-oxidation occurs, but acidification does <u>not</u> develop, due to buffering near pH=7 by gangue-phases).⁸ Although the "lag-phase" applicable to exposed mine-wastes at "field-scale" cannot be accurately predicted *a priori*, estimates (albeit approximate) are still needed to identify the exposure-times for the safe handling of PAF-lithotypes, and so reduce the risk for ARD production. Estimates of the "lag-phase" are invariably

⁶ Such ANC/MPA ratios are consistent with those indicated from SORs, and carbonate-depletion rates, as reported in the International-Kinetic Database for mine-waste materials from around the world (Morin and Hutt 1997).

⁷ It should be noted that mining-regulators in Nevada (USA) classify a mine-waste sample as NAF, if it is characterised by an ANC/MPA ratio greater than 1.2 (US EPA 1994). This lower ANC/MPA ratio reflects the semi-arid conditions typically encountered at mine-sites in Nevada. Although utilised in the early-1990s, it is understood that an ANC/MPA ratio of 1.2 is still entertained by regulators in Nevada for "screening" PAF and NAF varieties of mine-wastes in semi-arid settings.

⁸ SO₄ is still produced by sulphide-oxidation during the "lag-phase", and appreciable amounts of solubleforms of certain minor-elements (e.g. As) may be released at circum-neutral-pH during the "within-lagphase-stage" of mine-waste weathering.

obtained through programmes of 'kinetic' testing (viz. Weathering-Columns). However, based on experience, "first-pass" estimates of the "lag-phase" may be made, and thereby used to further classify PAF-lithotypes into **PAF-[Short-Lag]** and **PAF-[Long-Lag]** sub-categories. Such "first-pass" estimates are necessarily provisional, and subject to revision, in the light of the outcomes of 'kinetic' testing, and field observations.

3.0 ACID-BASE CHEMISTRY AND SALINITY OF WASTE-ROCK SAMPLES (KUNDIP DEPOSIT)

The testwork results on the acid-base chemistry and salinity of the soil/regolith-material and waste-bedrock samples from the Kundip Deposit are presented in Table 3.1, and shown on Figure 1. These results are discussed in the following sections.

3.1 Soil/Regolith-Materials

3.1.1 pH and Salinity

The samples had pH-(1:2) values of 8.4-8.6, and EC-(1:2) values of 1.1-3.1 mS/cm (Table 3.1).⁹

Although not measured, the soluble-salts in the samples should be dominated by chlorides.

The testwork results indicate that the samples were mildly-alkaline (viz. pH 8-9), with moderate contents of soluble-salts.

3.1.2 Sulphur Forms

The samples had Total-S values of 0.02-0.05 % (Table 3.1).

The testwork results indicate that the samples contained negligible amounts of sulphideminerals (viz. Sulphide-S contents less than 0.1 %).

⁹ EC= Electrical-Conductivity. The pH-(1:2) and EC-(1:2) Tests are described in Appendix B.

3.1.3 Acid-Consuming Properties

The samples had ANC values of 5.6-11 kg H₂SO₄/tonne (Table 3.1).¹⁰

The testwork results indicate that the samples had a low capacity to consume acid.

3.1.4 Acid-Formation Potential

The testwork results indicate that the samples were classified as <u>NAF</u>.

3.2 Waste-Bedrocks

3.2.1 pH and Salinity

The samples had pH-(1:2) values of 8.2-9.2 and EC-(1:2) values of 0.26-2.2 mS/cm (Table 3.1).

Although not measured, the soluble-salts in the samples should be dominated by chlorides over sulphates.

The testwork results indicate that the samples were all mildly-alkaline (viz. pH 8-9), with low-to-moderate contents of soluble-salts.

3.2.2 Sulphur Forms

The samples had Total-S values of 0.03-1.6 %, and the samples tested for SO_4 -S had SO_4 -S values of 0.02-0.03 % (Table 3.1).

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd

¹⁰ ANC values of 5.6-11 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne are equivalent to *c*. 0.56-1.1 % (as "CaCO₃").

The testwork results indicate that all samples, but one, contained minute-to-trace amounts of sulphide-minerals (viz. Sulphide-S contents ranging up to 0.2-0.3 %). Given the down-hole-intervals (viz. approximately 1 m) of the samples, these results indicate that, at the "metre-scale", the Fresh-Dacite and Fresh-Andesite lithotypes are typically characterised by meagre abundances of sulphide-minerals.

One sample of Fresh-Dacite contained accessory amounts of pyrite (Table 4.3), corresponding to a Sulphide-S content of 1-2 %.¹¹ However, this sample also contained "trace-calcite" (Table 4.3).

3.2.3 Acid-Consuming Properties

The samples had ANC values within the range 2.8-93 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne (Table 3.1).¹²

The samples tested for CO_3 -C had CO_3 -C values of 0.09-0.80 % (Table 3.1). The "Carbonate-ANC" values were consistent with the ANC values as measured based on the method of Sobek *et al.* (1978). The presence of reactive-carbonates (e.g. calcite) in the Fresh-Dacite samples was also indicated by the effervescence (i.e. "fizzing") produced upon the addition ('in-the-cold') of HCl during the ANC-testwork.

The pH-Buffering properties of selected Fresh-Dacite samples were determined via auto-titrations, and 0.05 M-H₂SO₄. The H₂SO₄-addition rates employed during the auto-titrations were *c*. 5-10 x 10^4 kg H₂SO₄/tonne/year, and correspond to SORs up to 10^4 - 10^5 faster than those typically observed for the weathering (at circum-neutral-pH) of "trace/accessory-sulphides". The pH-buffering curves (Figure 1) exhibited a well-defined "inflection-point" near pH=6-7, associated with the dissolution of reactive-

¹¹ The logged occurrence of pyrite in sample GCA5025 (Appendix A) is consistent with the testwork results.

 $^{^{12}\,}$ ANC values of 2.3-93 kg H_2SO_4/tonne are equivalent to c. 0.23-9.3 % (as "CaCO_3").

carbonates (e.g. calcite). Calcite was also identified in sample GCA5025 in the mineralogical study (Table 4.3).

The testwork results indicate that the samples typically had a moderate-to-high capacity to consume acid. Furthermore, reactive-carbonates (e.g. calcite) contributed to acid-consumption, and pH-buffering.

3.2.4 Acid-Formation Potential

The samples had NAPP values that ranged from -44 kg H₂SO₄/tonne, to -3.7 kg H₂SO₄/tonne (Table 3.1).¹³

The samples had NAG-pH values of 6.3-8.3, and NAG values less than 0.5 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne (Table 3.1).¹⁴

The NAPP and ANC/MPA values, and the NAG-testwork results, indicate that all samples, but one, were classified as <u>NAF</u>.

Given the occurrence of "accessory-calcite" in sample GCA5025, this sample is classified as <u>PAF-[Long-Lag]</u>. Although difficult to predict accurately, under the Mediterranean climate of the mine-site, it would likely take years (and probably decades) for exposed "rock-fines" (viz. P_{100} of a few cms) to acidify through sulphide-oxidation.¹⁵

¹³ NAPP values were <u>not</u> calculated for samples with Sulphide-S contents less than 0.1 %.

¹⁴ It should be noted that the "Single-Addition" version of the NAG Test (AMIRA 2002) was employed in this study.

¹⁵ A programme of 'kinetic' testing would be needed to confirm (or refine) this "first-pass" estimate of the "lag-phase" duration.

4.0 MULTI-ELEMENT COMPOSITION AND MINERALOGY OF WASTE-ROCK SAMPLES (KUNDIP DEPOSIT)

The multi-element composition and mineralogy of selected samples is indicated by the data presented in Tables 4.1-4.3.¹⁶ The corresponding element-enrichments, as indicated by the values of the Geochemical-Abundance Index (GAI), are also presented in Table 4.1.¹⁷ It should be noted that these element-enrichments are relative enrichments, based on the element contents typically recorded for <u>unmineralised</u> soils, regoliths and bedrocks (Bowen 1979).

The assayed samples had contents of most environmentally-significant elements below, or close to, those typically recorded for unmineralised soils and regoliths. Slight enrichments were apparent in some samples for Cu, Bi, and Se.

Sample GCA5025 (viz. Fresh-Dacite, Sulphide-S = 1.6 %) comprised mainly quartz, chlorite, biotite and plagioclase (Table 4.3). This sample also contained accessory amounts of pyrite and calcite.¹⁸

The analysis results indicate that, geochemically, the assayed samples of soil/regolithmaterials and waste-bedrocks were "clean" with low contents of environmentallysignificant elements.

¹⁶ The suite of elements listed in Table 4.1 is grouped into (a) the major-elements (viz. Na, K, Mg, Ca, Al and Fe) making-up the lattices of primary-silicates, clays, sesquioxides and carbonates, and (b) minorelements. A distinction is made between minor-elements which, under neutral-to-alkaline conditions, occur (i) as cationic-hydrolysis forms (e.g. Cu), and (ii) as anions/oxyanions (e.g. As). Anionic forms may exhibit moderate solubility under neutral-to-alkaline conditions.

¹⁷ The GAI is defined in Appendix B.

¹⁸ Although "trace-calcite" was identified in the mineralogical study (Table 4.3), the CO₃-C and ANC values (Table 3.1) recorded for this sample indicate that the calcite (and possibly other carbonate-minerals) are more abundant.

5.0 GEOCHEMISTRY AND MINERALOGY OF LOW-GRADE-ORE SAMPLES (KUNDIP DEPOSIT)

The testwork results for the low-grade-ore samples are presented in Tables 5.1-5.3.

The low-grade-ore samples were characterised by (Table 5.1):

- pH-(1:2) values of 8.3-9.0, and EC-(1:2) values of 0.70-0.84 mS/cm;
- Total-S values of 0.02-1.5 %, and SO₄-S values of 0.03-0.04 %;
- ANC values of $3.7-17 \text{ kg H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{tonne}$;
- NAPP values of 4.2-29 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne; and,
- NAG-pH values of 4.3-7.2, and NAG values that ranged from less than $0.5 \text{ kg H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{tonne}$, to 2.3 kg H₂SO₄/tonne.

The calculated-NAPP and measured-NAG values were consistent for the samples of Low-Grade-Oxide-Ore and Low-Grade-Transition-Ore (Table 5.1). However, this was not the case for the sample of Low-Grade-Primary-Ore (viz. NAPP value of 29 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne, and NAG value less than 0.5 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne [Table 5.1]).¹⁹ It is suspected that soluble-Cu forms released during the NAG-testwork catalysed the decomposition of the H_2O_2 -reagent. Although further testwork would be needed to confirm (or refine) this interpretation, it is clear that care is needed in assessing the acid-forming characteristics of the Low-Grade-Primary-Ore derived from the Kundip Deposit.²⁰

¹⁹ The logged occurrence of pyrite in sample GCA5030 (Appendix A) is consistent with the Total-S and SO₄-S values.

²⁰ Inconsistencies between calculated-NAPP and measured-NAG values are not uncommon for minewaste samples where "secondary-Cu-sulphides" occur (Campbell, unpublished results).

The samples were variously enriched in Cu, and in the case of the Low-Grade-Primary-Ore sample, also in Ag, Zn, Cd and Pb (Table 5.2). The Cu contents ranged up to *c*. 0.3-0.4 %.²¹

The Low-Grade-Oxide-Ore sample mainly comprised quartz, chlorite and muscovite (Table 5.3). The samples of the Low-Grade-Transition-Ore and Low-Grade-Primary-Ore also had a groundmass of mainly quartz, chlorite and muscovite. The sulphide-mineral suite in the Low-Grade-Transition-Ore sample comprised pyrite, digenite and covellite, whereas that in the Low-Grade-Primary-Ore sample comprised pyrite, chalcopyrite, galena and sphalerite.

The testwork results indicate that the <u>Low-Grade-Oxide-Ore</u> sample was classified as <u>NAF</u>, and reflects a groundmass devoid of both sulphide- and carbonate-minerals. The contents of environmentally-significant elements in this sample were low.

The samples of the Low-Grade-Transition-Ore and Low-Grade-Primary-Ore were classified as <u>PAF</u>, and reflects "trace/accessory-sulphides" in a groundmass devoid of carbonate-minerals. Given the "mixed-sulphide-mineral-suite", it is felt premature, at this stage, to classify these samples as either PAF-[Short-Lag], or PAF-[Long-Lag]. A programme of 'kinetic-testwork' (viz. Weathering-Columns) would be needed to fully assess the weathering/solubility-behaviour of the Low-Grade-Transition-Ore and Low-Grade-Primary-Ore to be produced from the Kundip Deposit. Nonetheless, it is almost certain that soluble-Cu forms would be released with increasing weathering-history.

²¹ Water-Extraction Tests showed that the content of Soluble-Cu in the low-grade-ore samples was less than 0.5 mg/kg.

6.0 ACID-BASE CHEMISTRY AND SALINITY OF WASTE-ROCK SAMPLES (TRILOGY DEPOSIT)

The testwork results on the acid-base chemistry and salinity of the soil/regolith-material and waste-bedrock samples from the Trilogy Deposit are presented in Table 6.1, and shown on Figure 2. These results are discussed in the following sections.

6.1 Soil/Regolith-Materials

6.1.1 pH and Salinity

The samples had pH-(1:2) values of 7.8-8.9, and EC-(1:2) values of 1.5-2.2 mS/cm (Table 6.1).

Although not measured, the soluble-salts in the samples should be dominated by chlorides.

The testwork results indicate that the samples were mildly-alkaline (viz. pH 8-9), with moderate contents of soluble-salts.

6.1.2 Sulphur Forms

The samples had Total-S values of 0.04-0.20 %, and the samples tested for SO₄-S had SO₄-S values of 0.17-0.18 % (Table 6.1).

The testwork results indicate that the samples contained negligible amounts of sulphideminerals (viz. Sulphide-S contents less than 0.1 %).

6.1.3 Acid-Consuming Properties

The samples had ANC values that ranged from less then 0.5 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne, to 39-40 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne (Table 6.1).²²

The <u>Light-Brown-Clay</u> sample (viz. GCA5031) had a CO₃-C value of 0.47 % (Table 6.1), and if all of the CO₃-C occurred as "CaCO₃", then the "Carbonate-ANC" value would be *c*. 38 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne. Sample GCA5031 also produced vigorous "fizzing" in the ANC-testwork upon the addition ('in-the-cold') of HCl, and was characterised by an "inflection-point" near pH=6-7 during the auto-titration using dilute- H_2SO_4 (Figure 2).

The testwork results indicate that the <u>Light-Brown-Clay</u> sample had a high capacity to consume acid, and likely reflects the occurrence of "pedogenic-calcite" in the soil-profile. The samples of the regolith-materials from depth had a negligible capacity to consume acid.

6.1.4 Acid-Formation Potential

The testwork results indicate that the samples were classified as <u>NAF</u>.

6.2 Waste-Bedrocks

6.2.1 pH and Salinity

The samples had pH-(1:2) values of 5.2-9.8 and EC-(1:2) values of 0.20-1.4 mS/cm (Table 6.1).

The soluble-salts in the samples comprised chlorides and sulphates (Table 7.4).

²² An ANC value of 39-40 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne is equivalent to *c*. 3.9-4.0 % (as "CaCO₃").

The testwork results indicate that the samples were typically circum-neutral (viz. pH 6-8), with low-to-moderate contents of soluble-salts. Samples with pH values of 5-6 tended to have Sulphide-S contents greater than 1 % (see Section 6.2.2 below), and this pH-regime likely reflects the "gutless-groundmass" of such samples (i.e. paucity of carbonate-minerals).

6.2.2 Sulphur Forms

The samples had Total-S values of 0.12-3.3 %, and SO₄-S values of 0.05-0.57 % (Table 6.1).²³

The SO₄-S values at least partly reflect "sulphosalts" (e.g. anglesite [PbSO₄]) derived from the oxidation/alteration of base-metal-sulphides (e.g. galena, and Cu-sulphides).

The testwork results indicate that the samples were typically characterised by trace-toaccessory amounts of sulphide-minerals (viz. Sulphide-S contents ranging up to 2-3 %).

6.2.3 Acid-Consuming Properties

The samples had ANC values that ranged from less then 0.5 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne, to 1.5 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne (Table 6.1). Such ANC values (as determined by the method based n Sobek *et al.* [1978]) are "low" for waste-bedrocks generally, and reflects the "quartz-rich/felsic" nature of the Fresh-Phyllite from the Trilogy Deposit.

The testwork results indicate that the samples had a negligible capacity to consume acid.

²³ The logged occurrences of pyrite in the Fresh-Phyllite samples (Appendix A) were consistent with the testwork results, although the abundance of pyrite in sample GCA5041 was visually underestimated.

6.2.4 Acid-Formation Potential

The samples had NAPP values of 3.7-92 kg H₂SO₄/tonne (Table 6.1).²⁴

The samples had NAG-pH values of 2.8-6.6, and NAG values that ranged from less than $0.5 \text{ kg H}_2\text{SO}_4$ /tonne, to $19 \text{ kg H}_2\text{SO}_4$ /tonne (Table 6.1).

The NAPP and ANC/MPA values, and the NAG-testwork results, indicate that the samples were typically classified as <u>PAF</u>, and reflects "trace/accessory-sulphides" in a (quartzose) groundmass devoid of carbonate-minerals.

At least some of the PAF samples would be further classified as PAF-[Short-Lag], due to the occurrence of marcasite (and other reactive varieties of sulphide-minerals), and a "gutless-groundmass" with an inability to buffer at circum-neutral-pH.²⁵ If "rock-fines" of such Fresh-Phyllite were left exposed, acidic (viz. pH values less than 4) conditions would likely occur within a matter of months generally, and possibly within days-toweeks during winter-months. A programme of 'kinetic' testing would be needed to better predict the "lag-phase" during weathering of the Fresh-Phyllite. Irrespective of the time-scales associated with oxidation of the sulphide-minerals, it is clear that soluble-Cu and –Pb forms will be released from exposed Fresh-Phyllite with increasing weathering-history.

²⁴ NAPP values were <u>not</u> calculated for samples with Sulphide-S contents less than 0.1 %.

²⁵ Although approximate, the occurrence of reactive varieties of sulphide-minerals was also apparent from the "time-to-peak-reaction" in the NAG-testwork.

7.0 MULTI-ELEMENT COMPOSITION AND MINERALOGY OF WASTE-ROCK SAMPLES (TRILOGY DEPOSIT)

The multi-element composition, minor-element solubility and mineralogy of selected samples is indicated by the data presented in Tables 7.1-7.5.

The samples of the <u>soil/regolith-materials</u> had contents of most environmentallysignificant elements below, or close to, those typically recorded for unmineralised soils and regoliths. Slight enrichments were apparent for Pb, As, Bi, Se and B. However, the sample of Saprolitic-Phyllite (viz. GCA5033, Table 7.3) was an exception, with a Pb content of 2,500 mg/kg. Such Pb should be essentially "fixed" within the crystallattices of sesquioxides/clays, as shown recently by Li *et al.* (2003) for samples of soil/regolith-materials derived from Gossan Hill, Western Australia.

The samples of <u>Fresh-Phyllite</u> were variously enriched in an array of minor-elements, mostly chalcophyles (viz. Cu, Pb, Ag, Hg, As, Bi, Sb and Se). Of this suite of enrichedelements, Cu and Pb stand-out (viz. Cu contents ranging up to *c*. 5,000 mg/kg, and Pb contents ranging up to 2,700 mg/kg). The Hg contents ranging up to *c*. 8-9 mg/kg are also appreciable.

Water-Extraction Tests were performed on selected Fresh-Phyllite samples to assess soluble-Cu and –Pb forms at circum-neutral-pH. The contents of soluble-Cu forms ranged up to *c*. 100-200 mg/kg, and the contents of soluble-Pb forms ranged up to *c*. 10-20 mg/kg (Table 7.4). Although preliminary, these results provide a broad measure of the amounts of soluble-Cu and –Pb forms that may potentially be released from "rock-fines" in the 'ex-pit' stream of Fresh-Phyllite. Release of such soluble-metal forms would increase as exposed waste-bedrock undergoes weathering.

Sample GCA5041 (viz. Fresh-Phyllite, Sulphide-S = 3.0 %) was dominated by quartz over muscovite (Table 7.5). This sample also contained accessory amounts of pyrite, and traces of marcasite, digenite, covellite, and chalcocite.

The analysis results indicate that, geochemically, the samples of <u>soil/regolith-materials</u> were typically "clean" with low contents of environmentally-significant elements. However, the Saprolitic-Phyllite from depth may be enriched in Pb (e.g. Pb contents of 0.2-0.3 %), due to inheritance from the underlying Fresh-Phyllite. Although such Pb should be "fixed" within the crystal-lattices of sesquioxides/clays, further investigation would be needed to assess the bio-availability of Pb in the event that Saprolitic-Phyllite forms part of the rooting-zone of vegetation on the waste-dumps. In any case, to safeguard against concerns arising from dusting and erosion, any soil/regolith-material that is appreciably enriched in Pb should <u>not</u> be placed within the Surface-Zone (e.g. top 0-1 m) of the waste-dumps. Suppression of dust formation will also likely need to be addressed during the handling of such soil/regolith-materials in order to satisfy occupational-health requirements.²⁶

The samples of the <u>Fresh-Phyllite</u> were variously enriched in an array of chalcophyles. Enrichment in Cu and Pb stood-out with Cu and Pb contents ranging up to 0.5 % and 0.2-0.3 %, respectively). Furthermore, at circum-neutral-pH, "rock-fines" may release appreciable amounts of soluble-Cu and –Pb forms. The enrichment of chalcophyles in the Fresh-Phyllite further heightens the need for isolation of this PAF-lithotype from O_2 and meteoric-waters.

Suppression of dusting will likely be needed during the handling of soil/regolithmaterials and waste-bedrocks that are appreciably enriched in Cu, Pb, etc.

²⁶ Occupational-health requirements in terms of dusting/inhalation are beyond the expertise of Dr. Graeme Campbell.

8.0 GEOCHEMISTRY AND MINERALOGY OF LOW-GRADE-ORE SAMPLES (TRILOGY DEPOSIT)

The testwork results for the low-grade-ore samples are presented in Tables 8.1-8.4.

The low-grade-ore samples were characterised by (Table 8.1):

- pH-(1:2) values of 5.2-6.4, and EC-(1:2) values of 0.78-1.6 mS/cm;
- Total-S values of 0.23-4.9 %, and SO₄-S values of 0.15-0.38 %;
- ANC values that ranged from less than 0.5 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne, to 3.9 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne;
- NAPP values ranging up to $150 \text{ kg H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{tonne}$; and,
- NAG-pH values of 2.8-5.4, and NAG values of 0.7-22 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne.

The calculated-NAPP value (viz. 150 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne) for the Low-Grade-Primary-Ore was several-fold greater than the measured-NAG value (viz. 22 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne), and likely reflects decomposition of the H_2O_2 -reagent catalysed by soluble-Cu forms released during the NAG-testwork.

The samples were variously enriched in an array of chalcophyles (viz. Cu, Pb, Ag, Hg, As, Bi, Sb, Se and B) [Table 8.2]. The Cu and Pb contents ranged up to c. 0.8-0.9 % and 1.1 %, respectively. The Hg and As contents ranged up to c. 9-10 mg/kg and c. 1,200 mg/kg, respectively.

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd

Water-Extraction Tests were performed to assess soluble-Cu and –Pb forms at circumneutral-pH. The contents of soluble-Cu forms ranged up to *c*. 70-80 mg/kg, and the contents of soluble-Pb forms ranged up to *c*. 20-30 mg/kg (Table 8.3).

The Low-Grade-Oxide-Ore sample was dominated by quartz over muscovite (Table 8.4). The samples of the Low-Grade-Transition-Ore and Low-Grade-Primary-Ore were also dominated by quartz, and the sulphide-mineral suite in the Low-Grade-Transition-Ore sample comprised pyrite and azurite. The sulphide-mineral suite in the Low-Grade-Primary-Ore sample comprised pyrite, covellite, chalcocite, digenite and galena. This sample also contained trace amounts of graphite.

The testwork results indicate that the samples of the <u>Low-Grade-Oxide-Ore</u> and <u>Low-Grade-Transition-Ore</u> were classified as <u>NAF</u>, and reflect groundmasses devoid of both sulphide- and carbonate-minerals.

The sample of the Low-Grade-Primary-Ore was classified as <u>PAF</u>, and reflects "accessory-sulphides" in a groundmass devoid of carbonate-minerals. In all likelihood, this ore sample may be further classified as PAF-[Short-Lag].

All low-grade-ore samples were significantly enriched in Cu and Pb, and contained soluble-Cu and –Pb forms that would be released at circum-neutral-pH. Such Cu- and Pb-solubility should increase with weathering-history.

9.0 CONCLUSIONS AND MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

In the present study, samples of <u>soil/regolith-material</u>, <u>waste-bedrocks</u>, and <u>low-grade-or</u>es derived from the Kundip Deposit, and Trilogy Deposit, have been geochemically characterised.

Details of the sampling programme, testwork methods employed in this study, and approach to classifying the samples into AFP categories, are presented in Section 2, and Appendices A and B.²⁷ All testwork results are presented, and fully interpreted, in Sections 3 to 8.

Based on the testwork results obtained in this study, implications for mine-waste management are outlined in the following sections.

9.1 Kundip Project

9.1.1 Waste-Rock Management

Geochemically, the <u>soil/regolith-materials</u> should be benign. The suitability of these materials for sheeting/covering of the waste-dumps, as part of site-closure works, should be largely governed by their physical characteristics (e.g. particle-size grading, sodicity, tendency for slaking/dispersion, etc.). The physical properties of the soil/regolith-materials should therefore be established before their site-wide usage for sheeting/covering, and other applications.

Overall, the <u>Fresh-Dacite</u> should pose no concerns for acidification, and/or release of soluble forms of minor-elements. This waste-bedrock should be suitable for rock-armouring as part of erosion-control measures, construction of the safety-bund around

 $^{^{27}}$ AFP = Acid-Formation Potential.

the Pit-perimeter at decommissioning, and other applications where competent/chunkyrock will need to be exposed in the longer-term following site-closure. However, in such applications every effort should be made to ensure that there is no more than "trace-pyrite" in the Fresh-Dacite left exposed.

9.1.2 Low-Grade-Ore Management

Although few samples were tested herein, the <u>Low-Grade-Oxide-Ore</u> should be classified as <u>NAF</u>, whereas the <u>Low-Grade-Transition-Ore</u> and <u>Low-Grade-Primary-Ore</u> should be classified as <u>PAF</u>.²⁸ The low-grade-ores should be enriched in Cu, so that soluble-Cu forms should be released during weathering.

It may be prudent for the low-grade-ore stockpile(s) to abut the waste-dump at the Rav8 Project, so that if not all of the low-grade-ore is treated in the mill, the stockpile(s) may be merged with the waste-dump via a "cut-and-push" operation. Depending on the quantities, and spatial-distribution, of "PAF/Metal-Enriched" varieties of low-grade-ores at site-closure, a "store/release-cover" (e.g. Wilson 1997, 2000) constructed using (benign) soil/regolith-materials may be needed to isolate sulphide-grains from meteoric-waters arising from episodic-rainfall. In this case, information would be required on the physical characteristics (especially soil-hydraulic properties [e.g. soil-water retention curve]) of the soil/regolith-materials employed for the "store/release-cover".

It may be necessary to implement dusting-control measures during handling of the lowgrade-ores, due to the enrichment in Cu.

9.1.3 Pit-Lake-Water Quality

At cessation of mining activities, the Pit may contain a pit-lake, due to water-table recovery, and/or accumulation of runoff-waters from pit-walls. It is understood that

hydrogeological investigations are in hand, and will allow assessment of the waterbalance for the decommissioned-Pit. The local-groundwaters are saline (e.g. salinity [as TDS] of *c*. 10-30 g/L), so that the pit-lake-water should also be saline.²⁹ Given the occurrence of carbonate-minerals within the Fresh-Dacite, the pit-water should have a circum-neutral-pH, due to buffering by the submerged pit-wall-bedrocks. Although soluble-Cu forms may be released to the pit-lake-water, it is difficult to quantify *a priori* the potential Cu-solubility. A programme of 'kinetic' testing, and hydrogeochemical modelling, would be needed to assess Cu-solubility behaviour in the pit-lake-water.

9.2 Trilogy Deposit

9.2.1 Waste-Rock Management

Geochemically, the <u>soil/regolith-materials</u> should be largely benign. However, the Saprolitic-Phyllite (especially at depth) may be enriched in Pb, so that testing should be performed to ensure that any "Pb-enriched" varieties of soil/regolith-materials are **not** placed within the rooting-zone (e.g. top 0-2 m) of vegetation on the waste-dumps. The physical properties of the soil/regolith-materials should be established before their site-wide usage for sheeting/covering applications, as for the Kundip Deposit (Section 9.1.1).

The <u>Fresh-Phyllite</u> is classified as <u>PAF</u>, and probably mostly as <u>PAF-[Short-Lag]</u>. The typical "trace/accessory-sulphide" assemblage within a quartzose/felsic-groundmass devoid of carbonate-minerals means that this lithotype has the potential to be a source of Acid-Rock Drainage (ARD). Furthermore, the Fresh-Phyllite is generally enriched in an array of chalcophyles (especially Cu and Pb). It is **mandatory** that the Fresh-Phyllite is selectively handled, and placed on the waste-dumps, so that it is isolated

²⁸ NAF = Non-Acid Forming; PAF = Potentially-Acid Forming.

²⁹ TDS = Total-Dissolved Solids.

from O_2 , and meteoric-waters. The sheeting/burial-layer will need to function as a "store/release-cover" whereby recharge of the underlying Fresh-Phyllite is both minimal, and infrequent (e.g. 'of-the-order' 10 mm per annum in a "wet-year"). It may be necessary to undertake water-balance modelling to optimise the thickness, and performance of the sheeting/cover-system. However, volumetrically, there should be ample regolith-materials for deep-burial of the Fresh-Phyllite on the waste-dumps. The provision for deep-burial should therefore be central to the waste-dump design and construction.

It will be necessary to source "benign-waste-bedrocks" for rock-armouring as part of erosion-control measures, construction of the safety-bund around the Pit-perimeter at decommissioning, and other applications where competent/chunky-rock will need to be exposed in the longer-term following site-closure. Given the findings of this study, it is crucial that testing of Total-S, Total-Cu and Total-Pb is undertaken to "screen" waste-bedrocks earmarked for construction applications. Since S-, Cu- and Pb-contents in the waste-bedrocks of the Trilogy Deposit should be correlated, a preliminary "cut-off" for Total-S of 0.2 % may be suitable for "screening" purposes. However, this preliminary "cut-off" value would need to be confirmed (or refined) through further investigation.

It will likely be necessary to implement dusting-control measures during handling of the Fresh-Phyllite, due to the enrichment in Cu and Pb.³⁰

9.2.2 Low-Grade-Ore Management

The Low-Grade-Oxide-Ore and Low-Grade-Transition-Ore should be classified as <u>NAF</u>, whereas the Low-Grade-Primary-Ore should be classified as <u>PAF</u>. The latter is likely further classified as <u>PAF-[Short-Lag]</u>. All types of low-grade-ores should be significantly enriched in Cu and Pb.

³⁰ Related measures may be needed when handling "Pb-rich" varieties of the Saprolitic-Phyllite, etc.

The strategy needed for low-grade-ore management is similar to that outlined for the Kundip Deposit (see Section 9.1.2).

It will likely be necessary to implement dusting-control measures during handling of the low-grade-ores, due to the enrichment in Cu and Pb.

9.2.3 Pit-Lake-Water Quality

At cessation of mining activities, the Pit may contain a pit-lake, and it is understood that hydrogeological investigations are in hand to allow assessment of the water-balance for the decommissioned-Pit. The local-groundwaters are saline (e.g. salinity [as TDS] of *c*. 30-40 g/L), so that the pit-lake-water should also be saline. Given the lack of carbonate-minerals within the Fresh-Phyllite, the pit-water may become acidic through sulphide-oxidation. In this case, provision would need to be made for pH-adjustment through lime-dosing. The pH-regime of the pit-lake-water will depend closely on the "lag-phase" associated with sulphide-oxidation, and the time-scales for submergence of the Fresh-Phyllites. Given the Cl-dominated salinity of the pit-lake-water, Pb-solubility may be enhanced through the formation of Pb-chloro-solution complexes. A more-complete assessment of pit-lake-water quality would require information on sulphide-oxidation-rates, and metal-release-rates, as obtained via 'kinetic' testing, as well as hydrogeochemical modelling of Pb- and Cu-solubility.

- AMIRA International Ltd, 2002, "ARD Test Handbook", Prepared by Ian Wark Research Institute, and Environmental Geochemistry International Pty Ltd.
- Bowen HJM, 1979, "Environmental Chemistry of the Elements", Academic Press, New York.
- British Columbia Acid Mine Drainage Task Force Report, 1989, "Draft Acid Rock Drainage Technical Guide. Volume 1".
- Campbell GD, 2002a, "Geochemistry and Management of Pyritic Mine-Wastes: I. Characterisation", in Proceedings of Workshop on "Soil Technology -Contaminated Land", February 2002, Centre for Land Rehabilitation, University of Western Australia.
- Campbell GD, 2002b, "Geochemistry and Management of Pyritic Mine-Wastes: II.
 Weathering Behaviour and Arsenic Solubility", in Proceedings of Workshop on
 "Soil Technology Contaminated Land", February 2002, Centre for Land
 Rehabilitation, University of Western Australia.

Coastech Research Inc., 1991, "Acid Rock Drainage Prediction Manual".

- Currey NA, Ritchie PJ and Murray GSC, 1997, "Management Strategies for Acid Rock Drainage at Kidston Gold Mine, North Queensland", pp. 93-102 in McLean RW and Bell LC (eds), "Third Australian Workshop on Acid Mine Drainage Proceedings", Australian Centre for Minesite Rehabilitation Research.
- Förstner U, Ahlf W and Calmano W, 1993, "Sediment Quality Objectives and Criteria Development in Germany", *Water Science & Technology*, <u>28</u>:307-316.

- Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd, 1999, "Rav8 Nickel Project: Geochemical Characterisation of Waste-Rock, Low-Grade-Ore and Soil Samples -Implications for Mine-Waste Management", Unpublished report prepared for Tectonic Resources NL.
- Jambor JL, Dutrizac JE and Chen TT, 2000, "Contribution of Specific Minerals to the Neutralization Potential in Static Tests", pp. 551-565 in "Proceedings from the Fifth International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage", Volume I, Denver.
- Jambor JL, Dutrizac JE, Groat LA and Raudsepp M, 2002, "Static Tests of Neutralization Potentials of Silicate and Aluminosilicate Minerals", *Environmental Geology*, <u>43</u>:1-17.
- Lenahan WC and Murray-Smith R de L, 1986, "Assay and Analytical Practice in the South African Mining Industry", The South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy Monograph Series M6, Johannesburg.
- Li MG, 1997, "Neutralization Potential Versus Observed Mineral Dissolution in Humidity Cell Tests for Louvicourt Tailings", pp. 149-164 in "Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage", Volume I, Vancouver.
- Li J, Rate AW and Gilkes RJ, 2003, "Fractionation of Trace Elements in Some Non-Agricultural Australian Soils", *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, <u>41</u>:1389-1402.
- Miller SD, Jeffery JJ and Donohue TA, 1994, "Developments in Predicting and Management of Acid Forming Mine Wastes in Australia and Southeast Asia", pp. 177-184 in "Proceedings of the International Land Reclamation and Mine

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd
Drainage Conference and Third International Conference on the Abatement of Acidic Drainage", Pittsburgh.

- Miller S, Robertson A and Donohue T, 1997, "Advances in Acid Drainage Prediction Using the Net Acid Generation (NAG) Test", pp. 535-547 in "Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage", Vancouver.
- Morin KA and Hutt NM, 1997, "Environmental Geochemistry of Minesite Drainage: Practical Theory and Case Studies", MDAG Publishing, Vancouver.
- Murray GSC, Robertson JD and Ferguson KD, 1995, "Defining the AMD Problem. I. A Corporate Perspective", pp. 3-15 in Grundon NJ and Bell LC (eds), "Second Australian Acid Mine Drainage Workshop Proceedings", Australian Centre for Minesite Rehabilitation Research.
- O'Shay T, Hossner LR and Dixon JB, 1990, "A Modified Hydrogen Peroxide Method for Determination of Potential Acidity in Pyritic Overburden", *Journal of Environmental Quality*, <u>19</u>:778-782.
- Price WA, Morin K and Hutt N, 1997, "Guidelines for the Prediction of Acid Rock Drainage and Metal Leaching for Mines in British Columbia: Part II.
 Recommended Procedures for Static and Kinetic Testing", pp. 15-30 in "Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage", Volume I, Vancouver.
- Smith A, 1992, "Prediction of Acid Generation Potential", in Hutchison IPG and Ellison RD (eds), "Mine Waste Management", Lewis Publishers, Michigan.
- Sobek AA, Schuller WA, Freeman JR and Smith RM, 1978, "Field and Laboratory Methods Applicable to Overburdens and Minesoils", EPA-600/2-78-054.

- Soregaroli BA and Lawrence RW, 1997, "Waste Rock Characterization at Dublin Gulch: A Case Study", pp. 631-645 in "Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage", Volume II, Vancouver.
- Stevens RE and Carron MK, 1948, "Simple Field Test for Distinguishing Minerals by Abrasion pH", *American Mineralogist*, <u>33</u>:31-49.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1994, "Technical Document: Acid Mine Drainage Prediction", EPA530-R-94-036, NTIS PB94-201829.
- White AF and Brantley SL (eds.), 1995, "Chemical Weathering Rates of Silicate Minerals", Reviews in Mineralogy, Volume 31, Mineralogical Society of America, Washington, D.C.
- Wilson GW, 2000, "Appropriate Concepts and Criteria for the Design and Construction of Mine Waste Cover Systems", pp. 81-90 in Grundon NJ and Bell LC (eds.), "Proceedings of Fourth Australian Workshop on Acid Mine Drainage", Australian Centre for Mining Environmental Research.
- Wilson GW, 2002, "Concepts for Soil Cover Design", in "Short Course on Design of Covers for Saline, Sodic and Sulfidic Wastes", 10th November 2002, Newcastle, Australian Centre for Mining Environmental Research.

TABLES

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd

Table 3.1: Acid-Base-Analysis, Salinity and Net-Acid-Generation Results for Samples of Soil/Regolith-Materials and Waste-Bedrocks (Kundip Deposit)

GCA- SAMPLE	LITHOTYPE	DRILLHOILE & DOWN-HOLE	pH-(1:2)	EC-(1:2) [mS/cm]	TOTAL-S (%)	SO ₄ -S (%)	Sulphide-S (%)	CO3-C (%)	ANC kg	NAPP H ₂ SO ₄ /to	NAG nne	NAG-pH	ANC/MPA RATIO	AFP CATEGORY
NU.		INTERVAL (III)												
Samples of Soil/	Regolith-Materials													
GCA5017	Red-Brown-Clay	KP075, 0-1	8.4	3.1	0.05	nm	0.05	nm	11	nc	< 0.5	7.1	nc	NAF
GCA5018	Saprolite-Dacite	KP076, 14-15	8.4	2.4	0.02	nm	0.02	nm	5.8	nc	< 0.5	6.8	nc	NAF
GCA5019	Saprolite-Dacite	KP076, 30-31	8.6	1.1	0.02	nm	0.02	nm	5.6	nc	< 0.5	7.2	nc	NAF
Samples of Wast	te-Bedrocks													
GCA5020	SlWeathDacite	KP076, 50-51	8.6	0.58	0.03	nm	0.03	nm	3.6	nc	< 0.5	6.3	nc	NAF
GCA5021	SlWeathDacite/Andesite	KP076, 56-57	8.5	0.54	0.04	nm	0.04	nm	3.2 (2.8)	nc	< 0.5	6.3	nc	NAF
GCA5022	Fresh-Dacite	KP106, 140-141	8.3	1.1	0.10	0.02	0.08	0.17	21	nc	< 0.5	7.6	nc	NAF
GCA5023	Fresh-Dacite	KP106, 144-145	8.2	2.2	0.20	0.02	0.18	nm	9.3	-3.7	< 0.5	7.1	1.6	NAF
GCA5025	Fresh-Dacite	KP110, 148-149	8.4	0.44	1.6	0.03	1.6	0.80	93	-44	< 0.5	7.0	1.8	PAF-[Long-Lag]
GCA5026	Fresh-Dacite	KP101, 137-138	8.9	0.26	0.27	0.02	0.25	0.20	15 (15)	-7.3	< 0.5	7.2	1.9	NAF
GCA5027	Fresh-Dacite	KP101, 150-151	9.2 (9.1)	0.21 (0.22)	0.04	nm	0.04	0.69	60	nc	< 0.5	8.3	nc	NAF
GCA5024	Fresh-Andesite	KP110, 145-146	8.4	2.0	0.16	0.03	0.13	0.09	12	-8.0	<0.5	7.0	2.0	NAF

Notes:

EC = Electrical Conductivity; ANC = Acid-Neutralisation Capacity; NAPP = Net-Acid-Producing Potential; NAG = Net-Acid Generation; AFP = Acid-Formation Potential;

NAF = Non-Acid Forming; PAF = Potentially-Acid Forming; nc = not calculated.

pH-(1:2) and EC-(1:2) values correspond to pH and EC measured on sample slurries prepared with deionised-water, and a solid:solution ratio of c. 1:2 (w/w). All results expressed on a dry-weight basis, except for pH-(1:2), EC-(1:2) and NAG-pH.

Values in parentheses represent duplicates.

Table 4.1: Multi-Element-Analysis Results for Samples of Soil/Regolith-Materials and Waste-Bedrocks (Kundip Deposit)

		FF ···································						
		TOTAL-ELEN	MENT CONTEN	T (mg/kg or %)	AVERAGE-	GEOCHEMIC	AL-ABUNDANC	CE INDEX (GAI)
ELEN	MENT	Red-Brown-	Saprolite-	Fresh-Dacite	CRUSTAL-	Red-Brown-	Saprolite-	Fresh-Dacite
		Clay	Dacite		ABUNDANCE	Clay	Dacite	
		(GCA5017)	(GCA5018)	(GCA5025)	(mg/kg or %)	(GCA5017)	(GCA5018)	(GCA5025)
1	Al	8.5%	9.9%	6.6%	8.2%	0	0	0
1	Fe	7.3%	5.7%	5.4%	4.1%	0	0	0
1	Na	0.94%	1.2%	1.1%	2.3%	0	0	0
]	K	1.1%	1.0%	2.0%	2.1%	0	0	0
Ν	Иg	1.7%	3.3%	1.6%	2.3%	0	0	0
(Ca	0.55%	1.4%	1.1%	4.1%	0	0	0
<u>(</u>	C	0.44%	0.03%	0.86%	0.048%	3	0	4
Ā	Ag	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.07	1	1	2
(Cu	840	22	610	50	3	0	3
Z	Zn	56	44	110	75	0	0	0
(Cd	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.11	1	1	2
F	Pb	110	3	13	14	2	0	0
(Cr	210	270	83	100	0	1	0
1	Ni	200	230	140	80	1	1	0
(Со	47	38	120	20	1	0	2
Ν	Лn	270	610	1,100	950	0	0	0
H	Hg	0.05	0.02	< 0.01	0.05	0	0	0
S	Sn	1.5	1.1	9.1	2.2	0	0	1
5	Sr	47	63	54	370	0	0	0
E	Ba	200	120	49	500	0	0	0
1	Гh	5.5	4.2	1.9	12	0	0	0
1	U	1.3	1.3	0.96	2.4	0	0	0
	T1	0.19	0.13	0.18	0.6	0	0	0
`	V	140	150	77	160	0	0	00
A	As	8	7	4	1.5	2	2	1
1	Bi	0.61	0.10	0.53	0.048	3	0	3
S	Sb	0.63	0.43	0.31	0.2	1	1	0
5	Se	1.1	0.04	0.70	0.05	4	0	3
Ν	мо	2.8	2.0	0.8	1.5	0	0	0
1	В	59	<50	<50	10	2	0	0
	Р	180	430	310	1,000	0	0	0
	F	200	200	250	950	0	0	0

Note: Refer Appendix B for the definition of the Geochemical-Abundance-Index (GAI) indicated in this table.

Note: Average-crustal abundance of elements based on Bowen (1979).

Table 4.2:Selected-Assay Results for Samples of Soil/Regolith-Materials and Waste-Bedrocks (Kundip Deposit)

GCA- SAMPLE NO.	LITHOTYPE	C (%)	Cu (mg/kg)	Pb (mg/kg)	Zn (mg/kg)	Cd (mg/kg)
Sample of Soil/Regolith	<u>1-Materials</u>					
GCA5019	Saprolite-Dacite	0.04	32	4	33	0.3
Samples of Waste-Bed	r <u>ocks</u>					
GCA5020	SlWeathDacite	0.04	230	6	62	0.3
GCA5021	SlWeathDacite/Andesite	0.05	970	15	29	0.3
GCA5022	Fresh-Dacite	0.25	37	4	19	0.2
GCA5023	Fresh-Dacite	0.15	860	35	99	0.5
GCA5026	Fresh-Dacite	0.22	630	6	53	0.3
GCA5027	Fresh-Dacite	0.79	78	3	37	0.3
GCA5024	Fresh-Andesite	0.16	820	38	110	0.6

Fresh-Dacite (GCA5025)									
Component	Abundance								
quartz	major								
chlorite	minor								
plagioclase									
pyrite magnetite	accessory								
calcite epidote rutile	trace								

Table 4.3:Mineralogical Results for Sample of Waste-Bedrock (Kundip Deposit)

Note:

major = 20-50 %; minor = 10-20 %; accessory = 2-10 %; and, trace = less than 2 %.

Refer mineralogical report in Apendix C for additional information.

 Table 5.1:
 Acid-Base-Analysis, Salinity and Net-Acid-Generation Results for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Kundip Deposit)

GCA-		DRILLHOILE &		EC-(1:2)	TOTAL-S	SO ₄ -S	Sulphide-S	S ANC NAPP NAG				ANC/MPA	AFP
SAMPLE	LITHOTYPE	DOWN-HOLE	pH-(1:2)	[mS/cm]	(%)	(%)	(%)	kg H ₂ SO ₄ /tonne			NAG-pH	RATIO	CATEGORY
NO.		INTERVAL (m)											
GCA5028	LG-Oxide-Ore	KP053, 16-17	9.0	0.70	0.02	nm	0.02	3.7	nc	<0.5 (<0.5)	7.1 (7.2)	nc	NAF
GCA5029	LG-Transition-Ore	KP056, 62-63	8.7	0.84	0.28	0.03	0.25	3.5	4.2	2.3	4.3	0.45	PAF
GCA5030	LG-Primary-Ore	KP103, 77-78	8.3	0.72	1.5	0.04	1.5	17	29	<0.5 (<0.5)	6.1 (6.2)	0.37	PAF
	_												

Notes:

EC = Electrical Conductivity; ANC = Acid-Neutralisation Capacity; NAPP = Net-Acid-Producing Potential; NAG = Net-Acid Generation; AFP = Acid-Formation Potential;

NAF = Non-Acid Forming; PAF = Potentially-Acid Forming; nc = not calculated.

pH-(1:2) and EC-(1:2) values correspond to pH and EC measured on sample slurries prepared with deionised-water, and a solid:solution ratio of c. 1:2 (w/w).

All results expressed on a dry-weight basis, except for pH-(1:2), EC-(1:2) and NAG-pH.

Values in parentheses represent duplicates.

Table 5.2:Multi-Element-Analysis Results for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Kundip Deposit)

<u>11010</u> . Itelel	rppenaix B i	or the definition c	i the Geoenenin						
	TOTAL-EL	EMENT CONTEN	Г (mg/kg or %)	AVERAGE-	GEOCHEM	ICAL-ABUNDANC	E INDEX (GAI)		
ELEMENT	LG-Oxide-	LG-Transition-	LG-Primary-	CRUSTAL-	LG-Oxide-	LG-Transition-	LG-Primary-		
	Ore	Ore	Ore	ABUNDANCE	Ore	Ore	Ore		
	(GCA5028)	(GCA5029)	(GCA5030)	(mg/kg or %)	(GCA5046)	(GCA5045)	(GCA5044)		
Al	7.8%	6.9%	7.6%	8.2%	0	0	0		
Fe	5.4%	14%	11%	4.1%	0	1	1		
Na	1.1%	0.059%	1.3%	2.3%	0	0	0		
K	2.0%	0.20%	1.1%	2.1%	0	0	0		
Mg	1.6%	5.0%	4.0%	2.3%	0	1	0		
Ca	0.11%	0.046%	0.74%	4.1%	0	0	0		
С	0.11%	0.04%	0.67%	0.048%	1	0	3		
Ag	0.1	0.5	1.4	0.07	0	2	4		
Cu	840	3,000	3,200	50	3	5	5		
Zn	35	39	820	75	0	0	3		
Cd	0.3	0.3	3.8	0.11	1	1	5		
Pb	27	25	560	14	0	0	5		
Cr	32	220	85	100	0	1	0		
Ni	50	160	150	80	0	0	0		
Со	57	84	86	20	1	1	2		
Mn	400	810	1,900	950	0	0	0		
Hg	< 0.01	0.02	0.18	0.05	0	0	1		
Sn	1.3	0.8	1.8	2.2	0	0	0		
Sr	34	34	18	370	0	0	0		
Ba	250	41	190	500	0	0	0		
Th	3.0	2.4	3.2	12	0	0	0		
U	0.93	0.95	1.6	2.4	0	0	0		
T1	0.14	< 0.02	0.40	0.6	0	0	0		
V	69	120	77	160	0	0	0		
As	5	3	16	1.5	1	0	3		
Bi	0.59	0.16	1.1	0.048	3	1	4		
Sb	0.18	0.18	0.33	0.2	0	0	0		
Se	0.23	0.24	0.33	0.05	2	2	2		
Мо	1.1	0.5	2.7	1.5	0	0	0		
В	53	<50	<50	10	2	0	0		
Р	270	120	430	1,000	0	0	0		
F	200	150	270	950	0	0	0		

Note: Refer Appendix B for the definition of the Geochemical-Abundance-Index (GAI) indicated in this table.

Note: Average-crustal abundance of elements based on Bowen (1979).

Low-Grade (GCA	-Oxide-Ore (5028)	Low-Grade-T (GCA	ransition-Ore (5029)	Low-Grade-Primary-Ore (GCA5030)			
Component	Abundance	Component	Abundance	Component	Abundance		
quartz	major	quartz chlorite	major	quartz chlorite	major		
chlorite muscovite	minor			muscovite plagioclase	minor		
biotite plagioclase	accessory	muscovite	accessory				
goethite rutile	trace	pyrite digenite covellite goethite rutile	trace	pyrite chalcopyrite galena sphalerite siderite	trace		

Table 5.3: Mineralogical Results for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Kundip Deposit)

Note:

major = 20-50 %; minor = 10-20 %; accessory = 2-10 %; and, trace = less than 2 %.

Refer mineralogical report in Apendix C for additional information.

Table 6.1:	Acid-Base-Analysis, Salinity and Net-Acid-Generation Results for Samples of Soil/Regolith-Materials
	and Waste-Bedrocks (Trilogy Deposit)

GCA- SAMPLE	LITHOTYPE	DRILLHOILE & DOWN-HOLE	pH-(1:2)	EC-(1:2) [mS/cm]	TOTAL-S	SO ₄ -S (%)	Sulphide-S	CO ₃ -C	ANC kg l	NAPP H₂SO₄/ton	NAG ne	NAG-pH	ANC/MPA RATIO	AFP CATEGORY
NO.		INTERVAL (m)	F ()	[]	(,,,)	()		(, .)				P		
Samples of Soil/Re	egolith-Materials													
GCA5031	Light-Brown-Clay	MYC114, 1-2	8.9	2.2	0.04	nm	0.04	0.47	40 (39)	nc	<0.5	8.4	nc	NAF
GCA5032	Saprolitic-Phyllite	MYC117, 10-11	8.0	1.5	0.20	0.18	0.02	nm	<0.5	nc	< 0.5	7.1	nc	NAF
GCA5033	Saprolitic-Phyllite	MYC117, 20-21	7.8	1.9	0.15	0.17	< 0.01	nm	0.7	nc	< 0.5	6.5	nc	NAF
Samples of Waste	Bedrocks	MXC117 40 41	0.8	0.40	0.12	0.10 (0.00)	0.02		1.5		<0.5	6.6		NAE
GCA5034	Fresh-Phyllite	MYC117, 40-41	9.8	0.40	0.12	0.10 (0.09)	0.03	nm	1.5	nc 55	<0.5	0.0	0.01	NAF
GCA5035	Fresh-Phyllite	MYC117, 43-40	0.4	0.77	1.9	0.10	1.8	nm	0.8	55 15	18	2.8	0.01	PAF
GCA5030	Fresh Phyllite	MYC117, 55-56	0.7	0.25	0.30	0.03	0.51	11111	0.7 (0.9)	15	/.2	5.1	0.04	FAF DAE
GCA5037	Fresh Phyllite	MYC117, 53-50	0.4 (0.0) 5 8	0.20	0.20	0.12	0.10	nm	1.2	3.7 22	<0.5 11	2.0	0.24	FAF DAE
GCA5038	Fresh Phyllite	MYC121 45-46	5.0 8.0	0.46	0.26	0.43	0.75	nm	<0.5 1 3	23 nc	<0.5	2.9 5.8	nc	NAF
GCA5040	Fresh-Phyllite	MYC121, 43-40	5.7	1.1	0.20	0.17	0.09	nm	<0.5	16	<0.5 7.6	2.0	nc	PAF
GCA 5041	Fresh-Phyllite	MYC121, 54-55	5.7	0.67	3 3	0.15	3.0	nm	<0.5	92	19	2.7	nc	PAF
GCA5042	Fresh-Phyllite	MYC115, 58-59	6.3	0.78	1.2	0.53	0.67	nm	1.5	20	< 0.5	5.2	0.07	PAF
GCA5043	Fresh-Phyllite	MYC114, 43-44	6.6	1.4	1.8	0.37	1.5	nm	1.3	45	0.6	5.4	0	PAF

Notes:

EC = Electrical Conductivity; ANC = Acid-Neutralisation Capacity; NAPP = Net-Acid-Producing Potential; NAG = Net-Acid Generation; AFP = Acid-Formation Potential; NAF = Non-Acid Forming; PAF = Potentially-Acid Forming; nc = not calculated. pH-(1:2) and EC-(1:2) values correspond to pH and EC measured on sample slurries prepared with deionised-water, and a solid:solution ratio of c. 1:2 (w/w). All results expressed on a dry-weight basis, except for pH-(1:2), EC-(1:2) and NAG-pH.

Values in parentheses represent duplicates.

Table 7.1: Multi-Element-Analysis Results for Samples of Soil/Regolith-Materials (Trilogy Deposit)

	TOTAL-E	LEMENT	AVERAGE-	GEOCHE	MICAL-
	CONTENT (1	mg/kg or %)	CRUSTAL	ABUNDANCE	INDEX (GAI)
ELEMENT	Litht-Brown	Saprolitic-	ABUNDANCE	Litht-Brown	Saprolitic-
	Clay	Phyllite	(mg/kg or %)	Clay	Phyllite
	(GCA5031)	(GCA5032)		(GCA5031)	(GCA5032)
Al	8.9%	7.3%	8.2%	0	0
Fe	7.4%	2.1%	4.1%	0	0
Na	0.51%	0.15%	2.3%	0	0
K	0.96%	2.9%	2.1%	0	0
Mg	1.1%	0.39%	2.3%	0	0
Ca	1.4%	0.016%	4.1%	0	0
С	0.91%	0.27%	0.048%	4	2
Ag	0.3	0.2	0.07	2	1
Cu	250	88	50	2	0
Zn	67	69	75	0	0
Cd	0.3	0.3	0.11	1	1
Pb	320	220	14	4	3
Cr	190	98	100	0	0
Ni	85	29	80	0	0
Со	24	4.3	20	0	0
Mn	160	98	950	0	0
Hg	0.01	0.03	0.05	0	0
Sn	1.9	2.7	2.2	0	0
Sr	99	68	370	0	0
Ba	340	710	500	0	0
Th	28	17	12	0	0
U	4.1	3.7	2.4	0	0
T1	0.41	0.70	0.6	0	0
V	200	150	160	0	0
As	88	39	1.5	5	4
Bi	3.9	0.51	0.048	6	3
Sb	8.9	2.6	0.2	5	3
Se	1.5	0.33	0.05	4	2
Мо	6.4	3.2	1.5	2	1
В	160	130	10	3	3
Р	98	97	1,000	0	0
F	390	860	950	0	0

Note: Refer Appendix B for the definition of the Geochemical-Abundance-Index (GAI) indicated in this table.

Note: Average-crustal abundance of elements based on Bowen (1979).

Table 7.2: Multi-Element-Analysis Results for Samples of Waste-Bedrocks (Trilogy Deposit)

	ΤΟΤΑΙ	L-ELEMENT-C	ONTENT (% o	r mg/kg)	AVERAGE-	GEOC	CHEMICAL-ABU	NDANCE-INDE	X (GAI)
ELEMENT	Fresh-	Fresh-	Fresh-	Fresh-	CRUSTAL-	Fresh-	Fresh-	Fresh-	Fresh-
	Phyllite	Phyllite	Phyllite	Phyllite	ABUNDANCE	Phyllite	Phyllite	Phyllite	Phyllite
	(GCA5035)	(GCA5038)	(GCA5041)	(GCA5043)	(mg/kg or %)	(GCA5035)	(GCA5038)	(GCA5041)	(GCA5043)
Al	5.9%	6.6%	4.8%	9.7%	8.2%	0	0	0	0
Fe	2.0%	0.55%	2.3%	1.4%	4.1%	0	0	0	0
Na	0.13%	0.19%	0.093%	0.20%	2.3%	0	0	0	0
K	2.6%	2.9%	2.1%	4.3%	2.1%	0	0	0	0
Mg	0.30%	0.10%	0.23%	0.32%	2.3%	0	0	0	0
Ca	0.016%	0.064%	0.064%	0.091%	4.1%	0	0	0	0
С	1.1%	1.8%	2.1%	0.69%	0.048%	4	5	5	3
Ag	7.3	6.8	5.1	4.3	0.07	6	6	6	5
Cu	6,200	1,600	5,000	1,200	50	6	4	6	4
Zn	980	88	220	390	75	3	0	1	2
Cd	1.5	< 0.1	0.2	< 0.1	0.11	3	0	0	0
Pb	2,100	1,500	2,000	2,700	14	6	6	6	6
Cr	52	50	77	50	100	0	0	0	0
Ni	28	43	46	12	80	0	0	0	0
Со	16	18	24	5.3	20	0	0	0	0
Mn	73	29	62	120	950	0	0	0	0
Hg	8.2	1.2	2.4	3.8	0.05	6	4	5	6
Sn	2.9	2.5	2.9	4.7	2.2	0	0	0	1
Sr	22	61	36	130	370	0	0	0	0
Ba	640	320	330	680	500	0	0	0	0
Th	12	18	12	21	12	0	0	0	0
U	4.3	4.3	3.4	6.8	2.4	0	0	0	1
Tl	5.0	2.5	7.4	1.8	0.6	2	1	3	1
V	95	150	81	130	160	0	0	0	0
As	260	220	300	100	1.5	6	6	6	5
Bi	1.5	2.8	4.9	2.3	0.048	4	5	6	5
Sb	21	24	21	16	0.2	6	6	6	6
Se	3.5	1.8	4.0	1.7	0.05	6	5	6	5
Mo	3.3	3.0	6.5	7.7	1.5	1	0	2	2
В	160	58	150	210	10	3	2	3	4
Р	81	230	220	360	1,000	0	0	0	0
F	700	390	600	980	950	0	0	0	0

Note: Refer Appendix B for the definition of the Geochemical-Abundance-Index (GAI) indicated in this table.

Note: Average-crustal abundance of elements based on Bowen (1979).

Table 7.3:Selected-Assay Results for Samples of Soil/Regolith-Materials and Waste-Bedrocks (Trilogy Deposit)

GCA- SAMPLE NO.	LITHOTYPE	C (%)	Cu (mg/kg)	Pb (mg/kg)	Zn (mg/kg)	Cd (mg/kg)
Sample of Soil/R	egolith-Materials					
GCA5033	Saprolitic-Phyllite	0.08	330	2,500	110	0.3
Samples of Wast	te-Bedrocks					
GCA5034	Fresh-Phyllite	2.6	170	750	210	0.3
GCA5036	Fresh-Phyllite	0.85	2,700	330	42	0.1
GCA5037	Fresh-Phyllite	1.9	730	620	260	0.4
GCA5039	Fresh-Phyllite	2.8	710	1,500	200	0.2
GCA5040	Fresh-Phyllite	1.7	1,600	1,400	40	< 0.1
GCA5042	Fresh-Phyllite	1.5	1,200	2,200	410	0.3

Table 7.4: Results for Water-Extraction Testwork on Samples of Waste-Bedrocks (Trilogy Deposit)

GCA-		Content of Water-Extractable Solutes					
SAMPLE	LITHOTYPE	Cu	Pb	Cl	SO ₄		
NO.		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)		
GCA5035	Fresh-Phyllite	30	13	190	650		
GCA5038	Fresh-Phyllite	61	1.1	340	580		
GCA5041	Fresh-Phyllite	160	6.0	120	820		
GCA5043	Fresh-Phyllite	0.7	< 0.5	860	170		

Note:

Water-Extraction Tests performed on crushed (nominal 2-mm) 'splits' of waste-rock samples, using deionised-water, and a solid:solution ratio of c. 1:2 (w/w). The sample-slurries were 'bottle-rolled' overnight, and the water-extracts then vacuum-filtered (0.45- μ m-membrane), and preserved, as appropriate, for analysis.

Fresh-Phyllite (GCA5041)							
Component	Abundance						
quartz	dominant						
muscovite	minor						
pyrite	accessory						
marcasite	trace						
digenite							
covellite							
chalcocite							
alunite							
albite							
kaolin							

Table 7.5: Mineralogical Results for Sample of Waste-Bedrock (Trilogy Deposit)

Note:

dominant = greater than 50 %; minor = 10-20 %; accessory = 2-10 %; and, trace = less than 2 %.

Refer mineralogical report in Apendix C for additional information.

 Table 8.1:
 Acid-Base-Analysis, Salinity and Net-Acid-Generation Results for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Trilogy Deposit)

GCA-		DRILLHOILE &		EC-(1:2)	TOTAL-S	SO ₄ -S	Sulphide-S	ANC	NAPP	NAG		ANC/MPA	AFP
SAMPLE	LITHOTYPE	DOWN-HOLE	pH-(1:2)	[mS/cm]	(%)	(%)	(%)	kg H ₂ SO ₄ /tonne		ine	NAG-pH	RATIO	CATEGORY
NO.		INTERVAL (m)											
GCA5046	LG-Oxide-Ore	MYC121, 30-31	5.3 (5.2)	1.5 (1.5)	0.41	0.38	0.03	< 0.5	nc	2.5	4.4	nc	NAF
GCA5045	LG-Transition-Ore	MYC115, 49-50	6.4	0.78	0.23	0.15	0.08	3.9	nc	0.7	5.4	nc	NAF
GCA5044	LG-Primary-Ore	MYC117, 73-74	6.0	1.6	4.9	0.17 (0.18)	4.8	<0.5	150	22 (22)	2.8 (2.8)	nc	PAF

Notes:

EC = Electrical Conductivity; ANC = Acid-Neutralisation Capacity; NAPP = Net-Acid-Producing Potential; NAG = Net-Acid Generation; AFP = Acid-Formation Potential;

NAF = Non-Acid Forming; PAF = Potentially-Acid Forming; nc = not calculated.

pH-(1:2) and EC-(1:2) values correspond to pH and EC measured on sample slurries prepared with deionised-water, and a solid:solution ratio of c. 1:2 (w/w).

All results expressed on a dry-weight basis, except for pH-(1:2), EC-(1:2) and NAG-pH.

Values in parentheses represent duplicates.

Table 8.2: Multi-Element-Analysis Results for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Trilogy Deposit)

<u></u>					metal rieunaunee maex (Grif) mateatea in this table.					
		TOTAL-EL	EMENT CONTEN	Г (mg/kg or %)	AVERAGE-	GEOCHEMICAL-ABUNDANCE INDEX (GAI)				
	ELEMENT	LEMENT LG-Oxide-		LG-Primary-	CRUSTAL-	LG-Oxide-	LG-Transition-	LG-Primary-		
Ore Or		Ore	Ore	ABUNDANCE	Ore	Ore	Ore			
		(GCA5046)	(GCA5045)	(GCA5044)	(mg/kg or %)	(GCA5046)	(GCA5045)	(GCA5044)		
	Al	6.3%	2.0%	0.98%	8.2%	0	0	0		
	Fe	1.3%	8.5%	4.4%	4.1%	0	0	0		
	Na	0.16%	0.058%	0.087%	2.3%	0	0	0		
	K	2.5%	0.34%	0.39%	2.1%	0	0	0		
	Mg	0.30%	0.061%	0.063%	2.3%	0	0	0		
	Ca	0.056%	0.0096%	0.011%	4.1%	0	0	0		
	С	0.14%	1.5%	0.80%	0.048%	1	4	3		
	Ag	48	170	84	0.07	6	6	6		
	Cu	5,900	8,800	4,800	50	6	6	6		
	Zn	480	1,500	160	75	2	4	1		
	Cd	0.3	0.3	2.0	0.11	1	1	4		
	Pb	11,000	4,200	4,900	14	6	6	6		
	Cr	85	40	32	100	0	0	0		
	Ni	18	31	43	80	0	0	0		
	Co	4.5	19	68	20	0	0	1		
	Mn	33	91	83	950	0	0	0		
	Hg	0.45	6.3	9.1	0.05	3	6	6		
	Sn	3.0	2.8	3.1	2.2	0	0	0		
	Sr	77	62	30	370	0	0	0		
	Ba	600	780	430	500	0	0	0		
	Th	12	16	8.7	12	0	0	0		
	U	6.2	8.6	3.5	2.4	1	1	0		
	Tl	4.5	0.64	6.2	0.6	2	0	3		
	V	90	22	17	160	0	0	0		
	As	260	750	1,200	1.5	6	6	6		
	Bi	1.4	74	17	0.048	4	6	6		
	Sb	36	130	220	0.2	6	6	6		
	Se	5.0	3.2	5.0	0.05	6	5	6		
	Мо	3.8	28	3.7	1.5	1	4	1		
	В	130	170	140	10	3	4	3		
	Р	150	240	160	1,000	0	0	0		
	F	890	250	160	950	0	0	0		

Note: Refer Appendix B for the definition of the Geochemical-Abundance-Index (GAI) indicated in this table.

Note: Average-crustal abundance of elements based on Bowen (1979).

Table 8.3: Results for Water-Extraction Testwork on Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Trilogy Deposit)

GCA-	LOW-GRADE-	Content of Water-Extractable Solutes						
SAMPLE	ORE TYPE	Cu	Pb	Cl	SO ₄			
NO.		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)			
GCA5044	LG-Oxide-Ore	21	11	660	480			
GCA5045	LG-Transition-Ore	1.0	<0.5	360	170			
GCA5046	LG-Primary-Ore	74	28	1,000	290			

Note:

Water-Extraction Tests performed on crushed (nominal 2-mm) 'splits' of low-grade-ore samples, using deionised-water, and a solid:solution ratio of c. 1:2 (w/w). The sample-slurries were 'bottle-rolled' overnight, and the water-extracts then vacuum-filtered (0.45- μ m-membrane), and preserved, as appropriate, for analysis.

Low-Grade (GCA	-Oxide-Ore 5046)	Low-Grade-Ti (GCA	ransition-Ore 5045)	Low-Grade-Primary-Ore (GCA5044)		
Component	Component Abundance		Abundance	Component	Abundance	
quartz	dominant	quartz	dominant	quartz	dominant	
muscovite	minor	goethite	minor			
albite	accessory	muscovite	accessory	pyrite muscovite	accessory	
siderite alunite anglesite atacamite (?) goethite	trace	pyrite azurite Pb-Fe-Cu-arsenates/ sulphates tourmaline barite	trace	covellite chalcocite digenite galena graphite	trace	

Table 8.4: Mineralogical Results for Samples of Low-Grade-Ores (Trilogy Deposit)

Note:

dominant = greater than 50 %; minor = 10-20 %; accessory = 2-10 %; and, trace = less than 2 %.

Refer mineralogical report in Apendix C for additional information.

FIGURES

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd





Note: The H₂SO₄-addition rates employed in the auto-titrations correspond to sulphideoxidation rates (SORs) of *c*. 1-2 x 10^6 mg SO₄/kg/week (= *c*. 5-10 x 10^4 kg H₂SO₄/tonne/year).

These SORs are therefore up to 10^4 - 10^5 **faster** than those typical for the weathering (at circumneutral-pH) of mine-waste materials that contain "trace/accessory-sulphides".





Note: The H₂SO₄-addition rate employed in the auto-titration corresponds to a sulphideoxidation rate (SOR) of *c*. 6-7 x 10^5 mg SO₄/kg/week (= *c*. 3-4 x 10^4 kg H₂SO₄/tonne/year).

This SOR is therefore up to 10^4 - 10^5 **faster** than that typical for the weathering (at circum-neutral-pH) of mine-waste materials that contain "trace-sulphides".

APPENDIX A

DETAILS OF SAMPLING PROGRAMME

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd



TECTONIC RESOURCES NL ACN 004 287 790

Suite 4, 100 Hay Street, SUBIACO WA 6008 Telephone: (08) 9388 3872 Facsimile: (08) 9388 1077

19 December 2003

Memo To: Graeme Campbell

From: Dave Jackson

Re: Trilogy and Kundip Waste Rock Samples

The attached spreadsheet outlines the hole number, depth, rock type, ore/waste type and available geochemistry for the samples you requested in your email of 5November 2003.

Regards,

David G Jackson Exploration Manager

Deposit	Hole No	From	То	Rock Type	Sample Type
Kundip	KP053		16	17 Yellow Clay, 20% Qtz	Low Grade Oxide Ore
Kundip	KP056		62	63 Fresh Dacite, 20% Qtz, 5% Oxidised Pyrite	Low Grade Transistion Ore
Kundip	KP103		77	78 Fresh Dacite, 10% Qtz, 5% Pyrite	Low Grade Primary Ore
Kundip	KP075/076		0	1 Red Brown Clay	Top Soil
Kundip	KP076		14	15 Saprolitic Dacite, Tr Qtz	Clay/Saprolite Waste
Kundip	KP076		30	31 Saprolitic Dacite	Weathered Waste
Kundip	KP076		50	51 Slightly weathered Dacite	Transition/Primary Waste
Kundip	KP056		56	57 Slightly weathered Dacite and Andesite	Transition/Primary Waste
Kundip	KP106		140	141 Fresh fg Dacite	Underground Waste
Kundip	KP106		144	145 Fresh fg Dacite, 2% Pyrite	Underground Waste
Kundip	KP110		145	146 Fresh Andesite	Underground Waste
Kundip	KP110		148	149 Fresh Dacite, 5% Pyrite	Underground Waste
Kundip	KP101		137	138 Fresh fg Dacite, Tr Pyrite	Underground Waste
Kundip	KP101		150	151 Fresh Dacite	Underground Waste
Trilogy	MYC117		73	74 Fresh Phyllite, 3% Qtz, 10% Py	Low Grade Ore Transistion/Primary
Trilogy	MYC115		49	50 Slightly weathered Pyllite, 3% Qtz, 20% Oxidised Pyrite	Low Grade Ore Transistion
Trilogy	MYC121		30	31 Saprolitic Phyllite, 2% Malachite and Azurite	Low Grade Ore Oxide
Trilogy	MYC114		0	2 Light Brown Clay	Top Soil
Trilogy	MYC117		10	11 Weathered saprolitic Phyllite	Clay/Shale
Trilogy	MYC117		20	21 Weathered saprolitic Phyllite, 1% Qtz	Weathered Shale
Trilogy	MYC117		40	41 Fresh Phyllite, 5% Qtz	Fresh waste
Trilogy	MYC117		45	46 Fresh Phyllite	Fresh waste
Trilogy	MYC117		50	51 Fresh Phyllite	Fresh waste
Trilogy	MYC117		55	56 Fresh Phyllite	Fresh waste
Trilogy	MYC117		60	61 Fresh Phyllite	Fresh waste
Trilogy	MYC121		45	46 Fresh Phyllite, 1% Oxidised Pyrite	Fresh waste
Trilogy	MYC121		50	51 Fresh Phyllite, 3% Qtz	Fresh waste
Trilogy	MYC121		54	55 Fresh Phyllite, 2% Qtz, 1% Pyrite	Fresh waste
Trilogy	MYC115		58	59 Fresh Phyllite	Fresh waste
Trilogy	MYC114		43	44 Fresh Phyllite, 10% Qtz, 2% Azurite	Fresh waste

APPENDIX B

TESTWORK METHODS

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd

APPENDIX B

TESTWORK METHODS

B1.0 ACID-BASE-CHEMISTRY AND SALINITY TESTWORK

The acid-base chemistry and salinity of the soil/regolith-materials, waste-bedrock and low-grade-ore samples was assessed by determining:

- pH and Electrical-Conductivity (EC) on sample slurries.
- Total-Sulphur (Total-S) and Sulphate-Sulphur (SO₄-S).
- Acid-Neutralisation-Capacity (ANC), Carbonate-Carbon (CO₃-C), and pH-Buffering properties.
- Net-Acid-Producing-Potential (NAPP).
- Net-Acid-Generation (NAG).

Relevant details of the testwork methods employed are discussed briefly below. Further details are presented in the laboratory reports (see Appendix C).

B1.1 pH-(1:2) and EC-(1:2) Tests

Measurements of pH and EC were performed on slurries prepared using deionisedwater, and a solid:water ratio of *c*. 1:2 (w/w). The sample slurries were allowed to age in contact with the air for *c*. 24 hours, prior to measuring pH and EC.¹

¹ The sample slurries were stirred at the beginning of the testwork, and once again immediately prior to measuring pH and EC.

The resulting <u>pH-(1:2)</u> and <u>EC-(1:2)</u> values provide a measure of the inherent acidity/alkalinity and salinity of the samples.²

B1.2 Total-S and SO₄-S Tests

The <u>Total-S</u> values were measured by Leco combustion (@ 1300 °C) with detection of evolved $SO_{2(g)}$ by infra-red spectroscopy.

The <u>SO₄-S</u> values were determined by the Na₂CO₃-Extraction Method (Lenahan and Murray-Smith 1986).³ The difference between the Total-S and SO₄-S values indicates the Sulphide-S (strictly Non-Sulphate-S) content.

B1.3 Acid-Consuming Properties

B1.3.1 ANC Tests

The ANC values of the samples were determined by a procedure based on that of Sobek *et al.* (1978). This procedure is essentially the "standard" method employed for estimating the ANC values of mine-waste materials (Morin and Hutt 1997; BC AMD Task Force 1989).

² The pH-(1:2) values approximate the "Abrasion-pH" values employed for identifying minerals in the field (Stevens and Carron 1948).

³ The Na₂CO₃-reagent extracts SO₄-S which occurs as soluble sulphates, and calcium sulphates (e.g. gypsum and anhydrite). It also extracts SO₄ sorbed to the surfaces of sesquioxides, clays and silicates. However, SO₄ present as barytes (BaSO₄) is <u>not</u> extracted, and SO₄ associated with jarositic-type and alunitic-type compounds are incompletely extracted.

The samples were reacted with dilute HCl for *c*. 2 hours at 80-90 °C, followed by backtitration with NaOH to a pH=7 end-point to determine the amount of acid consumed.⁴ The simmering step for *c*. 2 hours differs slightly from the heating treatment of the Sobek *et al.* procedure wherein the test mixtures are heated to near boiling until reaction is deemed to be complete (viz. gas evolution not visually apparent), followed by boiling for one minute. In terms of dissolution of carbonate, primary-silicate and oxyhydroxide minerals, this variation to the Sobek *et al.* method is inconsequential.

The Sobek et al. (1978) procedure exposes mine-waste samples to both strongly-acidic conditions (e.g. pH of 1-2), and a near-boiling temperature. Provided excess acid is added, this method ensures that carbonate-minerals (including ferroan and manganoan varieties) are dissolved quantitatively, and that at least traces of ferro-magnesian silicates (e.g. amphiboles, pyroxenes, chlorites, micas, etc.), and feldspars, are dissolved. However, under circum-neutral (viz. pH 6-8) conditions required for minewaste and environmental management, the dissolution of ferro-magnesian silicates is kinetically extremely slow (e.g. see review-monograph by White and Brantley [1995]). Near pH=7, the dissolution rates (under 'steady-state' conditions, and in the absence of inhibiting alteration-rims) of mafic-silicates and feldspars generally correspond to H₂SO₄-consumption rates 'of-the-order' $10^{-11}/10^{-12}$ moles/m²/s (White and Brantley 1995). As a guide, for minerals of sub-mm grading, such silicate-dissolution rates correspond to Sulphide-Oxidation Rates (SORs) ranging up to 'of-the-order' 1-10 mg $SO_4/kg/week$ (= c. 0.1-1.0 kg H₂SO₄/tonne/year).⁵ Maintenance of circum-neutral-pH through dissolution/hydrolysis of primary-silicates is therefore restricted to both "mineral-fines", and slow rates of pyrite weathering.

⁴ Two drops of 30 % (w/w) H_2O_2 were added to the test mixtures as the pH=7 end-point was approached, so that any Fe(II) forms released by the acid-attack of ferroan-carbonates and -silicates are oxidised to Fe(III) forms (which then hydrolyse to "Fe(OH)₃"). This step ensures that the resulting ANC values are not unduly biased "on-the-high-side", due to the release of Fe(II) during the acidification/digestion step. Such potential bias in ANC values may be marked for mine-waste samples in which "Fe-rich" ferroan-carbonates (e.g. siderite) dominate acid consumption. The addition of the H₂O₂ reagent is <u>not</u> part of the methodology described by Sobek *et al.* (1978).

⁵ SORs of this magnitude (at circum-neutral-pH) would typically only be recorded for the oxidation of "trace-sulphides" (e.g. Sulphide-S contents less than 0.5 %).

Despite the aggressive-digestion conditions employed, the ANC values determined by the Sobek *et al.* (1978) method allow an informed, initial "screening" of mine-waste materials in terms of acid-consuming and pH-buffering properties, especially when due account is taken of gangue mineralogy (Morin and Hutt 1997). Jambor *et al.* (2000, 2002) have presented a compendium of 'Sobek-ANC' values for specific classes of primary-silicates, and assists interpretation of the ANC values recorded for mine-waste materials of varying mineralogy.

B1.3.2 CO₃-C Values

The CO₃-C value is the difference between the Total-C and Total-Organic-C (TOC) values.

The Total-C was measured by Leco combustion (@ 1300 °C) with detection of evolved $CO_{2(g)}$ by infra-red spectroscopy. The TOC was determined by Leco combustion on a sub-sample which had been treated with strong HCl to decompose carbonate-minerals.

B1.3.3 pH-Buffering Properties

The pH-Buffering properties of selected samples were determined via a Metrohm[®] 736 Titrino auto-titrator, and 0.05 M-H₂SO₄.

The auto-titrations comprised regular addition of the H_2SO_4 reagent to monotonically decrease the pH values of the test-suspensions to 3.0.⁶ The Start-pH values of the suspensions were *c*. 9-10. Under the testwork conditions employed, the H_2SO_4 -addition

⁶ It should be noted that, in titrating to a pH=3.0 end-point, any Fe(II) released through acid attack of ferroan-silicates and -carbonates is <u>not</u> quantitatively oxidised to Fe(III). Furthermore, under the conditions employed in the auto-titration, the equivalent of *c*. 0.5 kg H₂SO₄/tonne was required to decrease the pH of the "solution-only" (i.e. without waste-rock sample) to pH=3.0. <u>No</u> correction was made for such "electrolyte-consumption" of the 0.05 M-H₂SO₄ titrant.

rates correspond to SORs 'of-the-order' 10^5 - 10^6 mg SO₄/kg/week (i.e. 'of-the-order' 10^4 kg H₂SO₄/tonne/year), and so represent <u>very-rapid rates of acid addition</u>.

Further details of the auto-titrations are presented in the laboratory reports (Appendix C).

B1.4 NAPP Calculations

The NAPP values of the samples were calculated from the Total-S, SO_4 -S and ANC values, assuming that <u>all</u> of the Non-Sulphate-S occurs in the form of pyrite. The NAPP calculations serve as a starting point in the assessment of the acid-formation potential of sulphide-bearing materials.

The complete oxidation of either <u>pyrite</u> may be described by:

$$FeS_2 + 15/4 O_2 + 7/2 H_2O = 2SO_4^{2-} + 4H^+ + "Fe(OH)_3"$$

It may be shown that, if the Sulphide-S (in %S) occurs as pyrite, then the amount of acid (in kg H₂SO₄/tonne) produced through complete-oxidation is given by <u>**30.6 x %S**</u>.

<u>Note</u>: The above treatment of oxidation-reaction stoichiometry is restricted to oxidation by 'atmospheric- O_2 ' which is the dominant oxidant at circum-neutral-pH. A different oxidation-stoichiometry applies under acidic conditions (e.g. pH less than 3-4) where soluble-Fe(III) forms prevail, and then function as the chief oxidant.

B1.5 NAG Tests

The NAG Test is a direct measure of a sample's potential to produce acid through sulphide oxidation, and also provides an indication of the reactivity of the sulphides, and the availability of the alkalinity-forms contributing to the ANC (AMIRA 2002; Miller *et al.* 1997, 1994).

In this test, the sample is reacted with H_2O_2 to rapidly oxidise contained sulphides, and allow the produced acid to react with the acid-neutralising materials (e.g. carbonates). The NAG Test supplements the NAPP-based assessment of the acid-formation potential of mine-waste materials (Morin and Hutt 1997).

The procedure employed in this study is based on that for the 'Static-NAG Test' (AMIRA 2002; Miller *et al.* 1994, 1997). The Start-pH of the 15 % (w/w) H₂O₂ solution (prepared from A.R.-grade H₂O₂) was adjusted to pH=4.5 using dilute NaOH. In addition, the boiling treatment to decompose residual, unreacted-H₂O₂ following overnight reaction was carried out in two stages (viz. boiling for *c*. 2 hours initially, cooling and addition of 1 mL of 0.02 M-CuSO₄ to the test mixtures, followed by boiling again for *c*. 2 hours). The addition of Cu(II) salts catalyses the decomposition of residual H₂O₂, and thereby prevents "positive-blank" values being obtained (O'Shay *et al.* 1990).⁷ Pulped K-feldspar was employed for the blanks run for the NAG testwork.

Prior to the boiling steps, the pH values of the test-mixture suspensions are measured, and invariably correspond to an "overnight-period" of reaction. Such pH values reflect buffering under ambient conditions without accelerated dissolution of gangue-phases through boiling to decompose any unreacted- H_2O_2 . In the interpretation of NAG-testwork data, it is important to take note of the pH values recorded prior to the boiling steps, especially for mine-waste samples that have both Sulphide-S contents less than 1 %, and ANC values less than *c*. 10 kg H_2SO_4 /tonne (as typically recorded for a felsic/mafic-gangue that is void of carbonates). Furthermore, oxidation by H_2O_2 is generally at least 10^3 faster than the SORs recorded during 'kinetic' testing (e.g. Weathering-Columns) of mine-waste samples. If circum-neutral conditions are to prevail during NAG testwork, then the rate of acid consumption by gangue-phases must be proportionately faster (c.f. rates for 'ambient-weathering'), and is essentially restricted to pH-Buffering by carbonates (viz. calcites, dolomites and ankerites) that are

⁷ Where mine-waste samples contain sufficient Cu, then Cu(II) forms will be released to solution during the NAG Test, especially at low pH.

not unduly ferroan. This aspect must also be borne in mind when interpreting NAGtestwork data, especially for mine-waste materials that contain "trace-sulphides" in a carbonate-void gangue, since the <u>dissolution/hydrolysis kinetics of primary-silicates</u> (both felsic- and mafic-silicates) are strongly pH-dependent.

B2.0 MULTI-ELEMENT ANALYSES

The total content of a wide range of major- and minor-elements in selected samples was determined through the use of various digestion and analytical techniques. The detection-limits employed in these analyses are appropriate for environmental investigations.

Element enrichments were identified using the Geochemical Abundance Index (GAI).8

The GAI quantifies an assay result for a particular element in terms of the averagecrustal-abundance of that element.⁹

The GAI (based on a log-2 scale) is expressed in 7 integer increments (viz. 0 to 6). A GAI of 0 indicates that the content of the element is less than, or similar to, the average-crustal-abundance; a GAI of 3 corresponds to a 12-fold enrichment above the average-crustal-abundance; and so forth, up to a GAI of 6 which corresponds to a 96-fold, or greater, enrichment above average-crustal-abundances.

 B_n = "background" content of the n-th element in the sample.

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd

⁸ The GAI was developed by Förstner *et al* (1993), and is defined as: GAI = $\log_2 [C_n/(1.5 \times B_n)]$

where:

 C_n = measured content of n-th element in the sample.

⁹ The average-crustal-abundances of the elements for the GAI calculations are based on the values listed in Bowen (1979).

APPENDIX C

LABORATORY REPORTS

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd



Roger Townend and Hssociates Consulting Mineralogists

5-1-2004

G CAMPBELL AND ASSOC,

PO BOX 247,

BRIDGETOWN

WA

OUR REF. 20858

YOUR REF.

XRD/SEM/PLM ANALYSIS OF EIGHT SAMPLES.

R TOWNEND

RESULTS.
GCA	5025	5028	5029	5030
quartz	25-30%	25-35%	45-55%	25-35%
chlorite	15-25%	15-25%	45-55%	35-45%
muscovite		20-25%	<5%	10-15%
biotite	15-25%	5-10%		
plagioclase	10-15%	10-15%		15-20%
epidote	1%			
calcite	1-2%			
siderite				1-2%
pyrite	2-3%		<1%	1-2%
digenite			<1%	
covellite			<1%	
chalcopyrite				<1%
galena				<<1%
sphalerite				<<1%
goethite		1-2%	<1	
rutile	1%	1%	<1%	
magnetite	2-3%			
0				•
GCA	5041	5044	5045	5046
quartz	70%+	85%	75%	70%
chlorite				
muscovite	20%	5%	5%	25%
kaolin	<1%			
albite	<1%			2-3%
tourmaline			<1%	
siderite				<1%
pyrite	3-4%	5-6%	<<1%	
marcasite	<<1%			
digenite	<<1%	<<1%		
covellite	<1%	<1%		
chalcocite	<<1%	<<1%		
galena		<1%		
alunite	1-2%			<1%
anglesite				<1%
Pb >Fe>Cu			<1%	?
Arsenate/				
Sulphates?				
atacamite?				<1%
goethite			10-15%	<1%
azurite			1-2%	
barite			<1%	
graphite	2%	1%		

The analysed chlorites in most samples were iron-rich. The plagioclases are albite. In GCA 5028, the SEM detected Cu with the goethite. In GCA 5046, there was evidence of Pb and Cu with some of the alunite. Determination of the meta sulphate/arsenates is best done by heavy liquid concentration.

Roger Townend and Associates



27 November, 2003

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd Attn: Dr G Campbell PO Box 247 **BRIDGETOWN WA 6255**

Our Reference:	76735	NATA Accreditation:	2562(1705)
Your Reference:	GCA0333		

Dear Sir

On the 17th of November 2003 you forwarded testwork instructions for thirty(30) mine waste materials which were received earlier that day at our laboratory. The samples were received "damp" and all were dried at 45°C prior to being crushed to a nominal 2mm particle size with a subsample of this then pulped to a nominal -75µm particle size. Sub samples of each pulp were forwarded to the GCA Testing Laboratory and Genalysis Laboratory Services as requested.

Results of all testwork performed follow:

Sample Number	pН	Conductivity @	Total Sulphur S	Sulphate Sulphur SO ₄ -S
	(pH Units)	$25^{\circ}C (\mu S/cm)$	(% w/w)	(% w/w)
GCA5017	8.4	3100	0.05	-
GCA5018	8.4	2400	0.02	-
GCA5019	8.6	1100	0.02	-
GCA5020	8.6	580	0.03	-
GCA5021	8.5	540	0.04	-
GCA5022	8.3	1100	0.10	0.02
GCA5023	8.2	2200	0.20	0.02
GCA5024	8.4	2000	0.16	0.03
GCA5025	8.4	440	1.6	0.03
GCA5026	8.9	260	0.27	0.02
GCA5027	9.2(9.1)	210(220)	0.04	-
GCA5028	9.0	700	0.02	-
GCA5029	8.7	840	0.28	0.03
GCA5030	8.3	720	1.5	0.04
GCA5031	8.9	2200	0.04	-
GCA5032	8.0	1500	0.20	0.18
GCA5033	7.8	1900	0.15	0.17
GCA5034	9.8	400	0.12	0.10(0.09)
GCA5035	6.4	770	1.9	0.10
GCA5036	6.7	230	0.56	0.05
GCA5037	8.4(8.8)	200	0.28	0.12
GCA5038	5.8	1000	1.2	0.45
GCA5039	8.0	460	0.26	0.17
GCA5040	5.7	1100	0.65	0.13
GCA5041	5.2	670	3.3	0.36
GCA5042	6.3	780	1.2	0.53
GCA5043	6.6	1400	1.8	0.37

Analabs Pty Ltd ABN 91 004 591 664 Environmental Services 52 Murray Road, Welshpool 6106 Western Australia www.sgs.com t+61 8 9458 7278. f+61 8 9451 3505

Page 1 of 3

Member of the SGS Group (Societe Generale de Surveillance)

I KOJEC I NO.	GCA0333			
GCA5044	6.0	1600	4.9	0.17(0.18)
GCA5045	6.4	780	0.23	0.15
GCA5046	5.3(5.2)	1500(1500)	0.41	0.38

CLIENT:Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty LtdOUR REFERENCE: 76735PROJECT NO:GCA0333

NOTES:

- 1. *pH and conductivity were determined on a 1:2 w/w as received (*45°C *dried) crushed sample to deionised water extract after 24 hours ambient aging.*
- 2. Total sulphur was determined on as received (45°C dried) pulped sample by LECO induction furnace, IR detection, and is reported on that basis. This testwork was performed by SGS Analabs, Welshpool, report number WM074591.
- 3. Sulphate sulphur was determined on an as received ($45^{\circ}C$ dried) crushed sample by Na_2CO_3 extraction, BaSO₄ precipitation with results reported on that basis.
- *4. Bracketed results from duplicate analysis.*

Acid Neutralisation Capacity (ANC):

Sample Number	Fizz	Sample	Titre	Normality	Initial	Effervescence	ANC	ANC
-	Rating	Weight	NaOH	HCl/NaOH	Effervescence	on Warming	Solution	(kg
		(g)	(mL)	(N)			pН	H ₂ SO ₄ /tonne)
GCA5017	0	5.0024	13.7	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.9	11
GCA5018	0	5.0170	19.3	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.6	5.8
GCA45019	0	4.9993	19.5	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.5	5.6
GCA5020	0	5.0443	21.5	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	3.6
GCA5021	0	4.9962	22.0	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.5	3.2
GCA5021 Rpt	0	5.0060	22.4	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.5	2.8
GCA5022	2	1.9971	16.6	0.1	Moderate	Nil	1.6	21
GCA5023	1	4.9934	15.7	0.1	Slight	Nil	1.6	9.3
GCA5024	1	5.0347	12.8	0.1	Slight	Nil	1.9	12
GCA5025	3	0.9992	21.1	0.5	Strong	Nil	0.8	93
GCA5026	1	4.9931	9.7	0.1	Slight	Nil	2.4	15
GCA5026 Rpt	1	4.9918	9.9	0.1	Slight	Nil	2.4	15
GCA5027	4	2.0016	20.0	0.5	Strong	Nil	0.8	60*
GCA5028	0	4.9986	21.5	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.5	3.7
GCA5029	0	5.0490	21.6	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.8	3.5
GCA5030	0	5.0257	7.8	0.1	Nil	Nil	3.9	17
GCA5031	4	2.9962	20.0	0.5	Strong	Nil	0.7	40
GCA5031 Rpt	4	3.0028	20.1	0.5	Strong	Nil	0.7	39
GCA5032	0	5.0377	25.0	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	< 0.5
GCA5033	0	5.0282	24.6	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.5	0.7
GCA5034	0	4.9989	23.7	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	1.5
GCA5035	0	4.9821	24.5	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	0.8
GCA5036	0	5.0293	24.4	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	0.9
GCA5036 Rpt	0	4.9928	24.6	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	0.7
GCA5037	0	4.9933	24.1	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	1.2
GCA5038	0	5.0055	24.9	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.3	<0.5
GCA5039	0	4.9940	24.0	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	1.3
GCA5040	0	4.9987	24.9	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.3	<0.5
GCA5041	0	5.0109	25.3	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	< 0.5
GCA5041 Rpt	0	5.0085	25.2	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	< 0.5
GCA5042	0	4.9922	23.8	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.3	1.5
GCA5043	0	4.9732	24.0	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	1.3

CLIENT: PROJECT NO:	Graen GCA0	ne Camp 333	bell & A	Associates I	Pty Ltd O	UR REFERE	NCE: 7673	5
GCA5044	0	4.9968	24.8	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	<0.5

CLIENT:Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty LtdOUR REFERENCE: 76735PROJECT NO:GCA0333

Sample Number	Fizz	Sample	Titre	Normality	Initial	Effervescence	ANC	ANC
	Rating	Weight	NaOH	HCl/NaOH	Effervescence	on Warming	Solution	(kg
		(g)	(mL)	(N)			pН	H2SO4/tonne)
GCA5045	0	5.0199	21.3	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.7	3.9
GCA5046	0	4.9880	25.4	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	< 0.5
GCA5046 Rpt	0	5.0351	25.9	0.1	Nil	Nil	1.4	-0.6
ANC Std20	-	1.9905	17.1	0.1	-	-	1.5	19.9
ANC Std200	-	1.0045	17.3	0.5	-	-	0.8	185

NOTES:

1.	Acid neutralisation capacity was determined on as received (45°C dried) crushed sample
	with results reported on that basis. Unless otherwise stated, 25mL of HCl is used. Reagent
	blank titre of 0.1N NaOH and 0.5N NaOH were 25.3mL and 24.9mL respectively.
2.	*Indicates the pH dropped to 3-4 on the addition of the hydrogen peroxide.
	Two drops of hydrogen peroxide are added to all samples as the $pH=7$ endpoint is
	approached to oxidise any ferrous iron.
4.	ANC Std20 and Std200 are internally produced standards of $CaCO_3$ and quartz pulped to a
	nominal 75 μ m which have a nominal ANC of 20kg and 200kg H ₂ SO ₄ /tonne respectively.
5.	This procedure is based on the method of Sobek et al 1978.

The pH and EC extraction procedure is not covered by our terms of NATA accreditation.

Yours faithfully,

PETER BAMFORD Manager Laboratory Services JANICE VENNING Manager, Perth

This report supersedes our preliminary results sent by facsimile on the 26 November 2003.



12 December, 2003

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd Attn: Dr G Campbell PO Box 247 BRIDGETOWN WA 6255

77091
GCA0333
2562(1705)

Dear Sir

On the 1st of December 2003 you forwarded testwork instructions for thirty(30) waste rock samples which were already held at our laboratory under our job reference 76735. Approximately 100g of the crushed split for all 30 samples was forwarded to the GCA Testing Laboratory as requested. Approximately 50g of the same crushed split for samples GCA5025, GCA5028 to GCA5030, GCA5041 and GCA5044 to GCA5046 were forwarded to Dr Roger Townend in Malaga also as requested.

Results of all testwork performed follow:

Sample Number	Total Carbon, C	Total Organic Carbon	Carbonate Carbon, CO3-
-	(%w/w)	(%w/w)	С
			(%w/w)
GCA5022	0.21	0.03	0.17
GCA5024	0.14	0.04	0.09
GCA5025	0.82	0.03	0.80
GCA5026	0.22	0.02	0.20
GCA 5027	0.71	0.02	0.69
GCA 5031	0.82	0.36	0.47
GCA 5034	2.3	-	-
GCA 5035	0.95	-	-
GCA 5036	0.83	-	-
GCA 5037	1.7	-	-
GCA 5038	1.6	-	-
GCA 5039	2.3	-	-
GCA 5040	1.4	-	-
GCA 5041	1.8	-	-
GCA 5042	1.4	-	-
GCA 5043	0.62	-	-
GCA 5044	0.66	-	-
GCA 5045	1.3	-	-
GCA 5046	0.13	-	-

CLIENT:Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty LtdOUR REFERENCE: 77091PROJECT NO:GCA0333

NOTES:

1.

Total carbon and total organic carbon (acid insoluble or non carbonate carbon) were determined on as received pulped sample by LECO induction furnace, IR detection, and is reported on that basis. This testwork was performed by SGS Analabs, Welshpool, report number WM074974.

Yours faithfully,

PETER BAMFORD Manager Laboratory Services JANICE VENNING Manager, Perth

This report supersedes our preliminary results sent by facsimile on the 10 December 2003.



27 January, 2004

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd Attn: Dr G Campbell PO Box 247 BRIDGETOWN WA 6255

Our Reference:	77771
Your Reference:	GCA0333
NATA Accreditation:	2562(1705)

Dear Sir

On the 5th of January 2004 you forwarded testwork instructions for ten (10) mine waste material samples which were already held at our laboratory under our reference numbers 76735 and 77091. All testwork requested was performed on the 2mm crushed splits as requested. Results of all testwork performed follow:

Sample Number	Water Soluble Copper,	Water Soluble Lead,	Water Soluble	Water Soluble Sulphate
-	Cu (mg/kg)	Pb (mg/kg	Chloride, Cl (mg/kg)	$SO_4 (mg/kg)$
GCA5028	<0.5	-	-	-
GCA5029	<0.5	-	-	-
GCA5030	<0.5	-	-	-
GCA45035	30	13	190	650
GCA45038	61	1.1	340	580
GCA5041	160	6.0	120	820
GCA5043	0.7	<0.5	860	170
GCA5044	21	11	660	480
GCA5045	1.0	<0.5	360	170
GCA5046	74	28	1000	290
Method	PEM-001	PEM-001	PEI-020	PEI-020

NOTES:

1.

All testwork was performed on the crushed sample from a 1:2w/w crushed sample to deionised water extract after overnight bottle rolling with results reported back to the crushed sample basis.

The 1:2w/w extraction procedure is not covered by our terms of NATA accreditation.

Yours faithfully,

PETER BAMFORD Manager Laboratory Services JANICE VENNING Manager, Perth

SGS Australia Pty Ltd ABN 44 000 964 278 www.sgs.com

Member of the SGS Group (Societe Generale de Surveillance)Page 1 of 1 $\,$

CLIENT:Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty LtdOUR REFERENCE: 69619PROJECT NO:GCA0301

This report supersedes our preliminary results sent by facsimile on the 22 January 2004.

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd Laboratory Report NET-ACID-GENERATION (NAG) TESTWORK

Sample	Sample Weight	Sample Weight Comments		Test Mixture After Boiling Step		Titre 10 1 M-	NAG (kg H.SO./
Number	(g)	Comments	Before	pH	EC (µS/cm)	NaOH]	tonne)
			Boiling Step			(mL)	
GCA5023	4.8		6.7	7.1	230	-	<0.5
GCA5024	5.3		6.8	7.0	220	-	<0.5
GCA5025	4.8		7.0	7.0	380	-	<0.5
GCA5026	4.8		6.7	7.2	220	-	<0.5
GCA5029	4.4		4.4	4.3	180	2.00	2.3
GCA5030	5.2	Reaction peaked overnight	5.4	6.1	510	0.40	<0.5
GCA5035	4.1	Reaction peaked within 1 hour	3.0	2.8	910	14.40	18
GCA5036	4.3	Reaction peaked within 3 hours	3.2	3.1	370	6.30	7.2
GCA5037	4.7		5.1	5.2	75	0.30	<0.5
GCA5038	4.1	Reaction peaked within 1.5 hours	3.1	2.9	680	8.80	11
GCA5040	4.4	Reaction peaked within 1.5 hours	3.1	2.9	540	6.80	7.6
GCA5041	5.0	Reaction peaked within 0.5 hours	2.8	2.7	1,300	19.20	19
GCA5042	4.6		5.4	5.2	96	0.30	<0.5
GCA5043	4.7		5.6	5.4	120	0.50	0.6
GCA5044	5.3	Reaction peaked within 1 hour	2.8	2.8	1,400	23.40	22
GCA5044 (Repeat)	5.9	Reaction peaked within 1 hour	2.8	2.8	1,400	25.30	22
Blank	4.2		5.6	7.1	61	-	<0.5

Notes: Test conditions based on those described by Miller *et al.* (1997). The pH of the 15 % (v/v) H_2O_2 solution was adjusted to 4.5 using 0.1 M-NaOH prior to commencing the NAG Tests. Test mixtures boiled for *c.* 2 hours to accelerate reaction with H_2O_2 . Then, after allowing the test mixtures to cool, 1.0 mL of 0.016 M-CuSO₄ solution was added, and the test mixtures again boiled for *c.* 2 hours. The addition of Cu(II) catalyses the decomposition of any residual, unreacted H_2O_2 in the test mixtures (O'Shay *et al.* 1990). K-Feldspar was employed for the Blanks. Samples labelled with an asterisk signifies that 0.5 M-NaOH employed.

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd Laboratory Report NET-ACID-GENERATION (NAG) TESTWORK

	Sample	Sample			Test Mixture	Titre	NAG
Sample	Weight	Comments	Mixture	A	After Boiling Step	[0.1 M-	(kg H ₂ SO ₄ /
Number	(g)		Before Boiling Stop	рн	EC (µS/cm)	(mI)	tonne)
			Bonnig Step			(IIIL)	
GCA5017	41		6.0	71	170	_	<0.5
Geneery			0.0	,	170		0.0
GCA5018	3.5		5.5	6.8	130	-	<0.5
GCA5019	3.8		5.7	7.2	83	-	<0.5
GCA5020	4.1		5.4	6.3	51	0.30	<0.5
0.01.5001	2.4		5.2	6.2	10	0.20	-0.5
GCA5021	3.4		5.3	6.3	48	0.30	<0.5
GCA5022	43		6.5	76	130	_	<0.5
Gentrolzz	1.5		0.5	7.0	150		-0.0
GCA5027	4.6		6.8	8.3	120	-	<0.5
GCA5028	4.6		5.7	7.1	74	-	<0.5
GCA5028 (Repeat)	4.4		5.7	7.2	68	-	<0.5
CCA 5021	1.2	Departies peopled examinat	67	0 1	240		<0.5
GCA5051	4.3	Reaction peaked overnight	0.7	0.4	240	-	<0.5
GCA5032	4.0		5.4	7.1	98	_	<0.5
GCA5033	5.0	Reaction peaked within 20 minutes	6.4	6.5	120	0.30	<0.5
GCA5034	4.0		5.4	6.6	54	0.30	<0.5
~~~~~							
GCA5039	4.4		4.9	5.8	68	0.40	<0.5
GCA 5045	13	Reaction peaked overnight	4.5	5 /	73	0.60	0.7
UCA3043	4.3		4.3	5.4	15	0.00	0.7
GCA5046	5.9		3.2	4.4	180	2.90	2.5
					- • •		
Blank	4.1		5.4	7.7	61	-	<0.5

**Notes:** Test conditions based on those described by Miller *et al.* (1997). The pH of the 15 % (v/v)  $H_2O_2$  solution was adjusted to 4.5 using 0.1 M-NaOH prior to commencing the NAG Tests. Test mixtures boiled for *c.* 2 hours to accelerate reaction with  $H_2O_2$ . Then, after allowing the test mixtures to cool, 1.0 mL of 0.016 M-CuSO₄ solution was added, and the test mixtures again boiled for *c.* 2 hours. The addition of Cu(II) catalyses the decomposition of any residual, unreacted  $H_2O_2$  in the test mixtures (O'Shay *et al.* 1990). K-Feldspar was employed for the Blanks. Samples labelled with an asterisk signifies that 0.5 M-NaOH employed.

Dr GD Campbell 5th December 2003

#### Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd

#### Laboratory Report

### **NET-ACID-GENERATION (NAG) TESTWORK**

Sample	Sample Weight	Comments	pH of Test Mixture	A	Test Mixture After Boiling Step	Titre [0.1 M-	NAG (kg H₂SO₄/
Number	(g)		Before Boiling Step	pН	EC (µS/cm)	NaOH] (mL)	tonne)
GCA5030	4.9	Reaction peaked within 4 hrs	4.3	6.1	490	0.40	<0.5
GCA5030 (Repeat)	6.0	Reaction peaked within 4 hrs	4.5	6.2	570	0.50	<0.5
Blank	3.2		5.6	7.3	71	-	<0.5

**Notes:** Test conditions based on those described by Miller *et al.* (1997). The pH of the 15 % (v/v)  $H_2O_2$  solution was adjusted to 4.5 using 0.1 M-NaOH prior to commencing the NAG Tests. Test mixtures boiled for *c*. 2 hours to accelerate reaction with  $H_2O_2$ . Then, after allowing the test mixtures to cool, 1.0 mL of 0.016 M-CuSO₄ solution was added, and the test mixtures again boiled for *c*. 2 hours. The addition of Cu(II) catalyses the decomposition of any residual, unreacted  $H_2O_2$  in the test mixtures (O'Shay *et al.* 1990). K-Feldspar was employed for the Blanks.

Samples labelled with an asterisk signifies that 0.5 M-NaOH employed.

Dr GD Campbell 22nd January 2004

Cumulative	Cumulative	
Volume of Acid	Acid Consumption	pН
Added (mL)	(kg H ₂ SO ₄ /tonne)	
0.00	0.0	9.4
0.40	2.0	8.8
0.80	3.9	8.4
1.20	5.9	8.2
1.60	7.8	8.1
2.00	9.8	7.9
2.40	12	7.8
2.80	14	7.7
3.20	16	7.7
3 60	18	7.6
4 00	20	7.5
4 40	20	7.5
4 80	24	7.4
5.20	25	7.3
5.60	23	7.5
5.00	27	7.2
6.00	31	7.1
0.40 6.80	22	6.8
0.80	35	6.7
7.20	35	6.6
7.00	37	6.5
8.00	39	0.5
8.40	41	0.3
8.80	43	6.2
9.20	45	6.0
9.60	47	5.8
10.00	49	5.6
10.40	51	5.4
10.80	53	5.2
11.20	55	5.0
11.60	57	4.8
12.00	59	4.7
12.40	61	4.5
12.80	63	4.3
13.20	65	4.1
13.60	67	4.0
14.00	69	3.8
14.40	71	3.7
14.80	73	3.6
15.20	74	3.5
15.60	76	3.4
16.00	78	3.3
16.40	80	3.2
16.80	82	3.1
17.20	84	3.1
17.60	86	3.0

### pH-BUFFERING TESTWORK (GCA5025)

**Note:** Titration performed using a Metrohm[®] 736 Titrino auto-titrator, and 0.05 M-H₂SO₄. Equilibration time between titrant additions was 15 minutes. 1.00 g of pulped-sample initially dispersed in 150 mL of deionised-water. Test mixture in contact with air, at ambient temperature, and continuously stirred.

Calibration of pH-Glass Electrode:

Immediately prior to titration: asymmetry potential = -18 mV (pH=7.00); slope-point = 154 mV (pH=4.00);

96.4 % of Nernstian response for 25 °C. Immediately following titration: pH=7.00 buffer read pH=7.02 and pH=4.00 buffer read pH=4.02. These discrepancies represent drift in pH-Glass electrode response during course of auto-titration.

Dr GD Campbell

20 November 2003

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd - Laboratory Report

Cumulative Volume of Acid Added (mL)	Cumulative Acid Consumption (kg H ₂ SO ₄ /tonne)	рН	Cumulative Volume of Acid Added (mL)	Cumulative Acid Consumption (kg H ₂ SO ₄ /tonne)	рН
0.00	0.0	9.3	14.40	71	3.5
0.40	2.0	8.6	14.80	73	3.4
0.80	3.9	8.3	15.20	74	3.3
1.20	5.9	8.1	15.60	76	3.2
1.60	7.8	7.9	16.00	78	3.1
2.00	9.8	7.8	16.40	80	3.1
2.40	12	7.7	16.80	82	3.0
2.80	14	7.6			
3.20	16	7.5			
3.60	18	7.5			
4.00	20	7.4			
4.40	22	7.3			
4.80	24	7.2			
5.20	25	7.2			
5.60	27	7.1			
6.00	29	7.0			
6.40	31	6.9			
6.80	33	6.8			
7.20	35	6.7			
7.60	37	6.6			
8.00	39	6.4			
8.40	41	6.3			
8.80	43	6.2			
9.20	45	6.0			
9.60	47	5.8			
10.00	49	5.7			
10.40	51	5.4			
10.80	53	5.2			
11.20	55	5.0			
11.60	57	4.8			
12.00	59	4.7			
12.40	61	4.4			
12.80	63	4.2			
13.20	65	4.0			
13.60	67	3.8			
14.00	69	3.7			

### pH-BUFFERING TESTWORK (GCA5027)

**Note:** Titration performed using a Metrohm[®] 736 Titrino auto-titrator, and 0.05 M-H₂SO₄. Equilibration time between titrant additions was 15 minutes. 1.00 g of pulped-sample initially dispersed in 150 mL of deionised-water.

between titrant additions was 15 minutes. 1.00 g of pulped-sample initially dispersed in 150 mL of deionised-water Test mixture in contact with air, at ambient temperature, and continuously stirred.

Calibration of pH-Glass Electrode:

Immediately prior to titration: asymmetry potential = -20 mV (pH=7.00); slope-point = 152 mV (pH=4.00); 97.0 % of Nernstian response for 25 °C.

Immediately following titration: pH=7.00 buffer read pH=7.02 and pH=4.00 buffer read pH=4.04. These discrepancies represent drift in pH-Glass electrode response during course of auto-titration.

Dr GD Campbell

10 December 2003

Graeme Campbell & Associates Pty Ltd - Laboratory Report

Cumulative Volume of Acid Added (mL)	Cumulative Acid Consumption (kg H ₂ SO ₄ /tonne)	рН	Cumulative Volume of Acid Added (mL)	Cumulative Acid Consumption (kg H ₂ SO ₄ /tonne)	рН
0.00	0.0	9.8	14.40	35	4.0
0.40	1.0	9.4	14.80	36	3.9
0.80	2.0	9.0	15.20	37	3.8
1.20	2.9	8.7	15.60	38	3.6
1.60	3.9	8.4	16.00	39	3.6
2.00	4.9	8.2	16.40	40	3.5
2.40	5.9	8.0	16.80	41	3.4
2.80	6.9	7.8	17.20	42	3.3
3.20	7.8	7.7	17.60	43	3.2
3.60	8.8	7.6	18.00	44	3.2
4.00	9.8	7.5	18.40	45	3.1
4.40	11	7.4	18.80	46	3.1
4.80	12	7.3	19.20	47	3.0
5.20	13	7.2			
5.60	14	7.1			
6.00	15	7.1			
6.40	16	7.0			
6.80	17	6.9			
7.20	18	6.8			
7.60	19	6.7			
8.00	20	6.6			
8.40	21	6.4			
8.80	22	6.2			
9.20	23	6.0			
9.60	24	5.8			
10.00	25	5.6			
10.40	25	5.4			
10.80	26	5.2			
11.20	27	5.1			
11.60	28	4.9			
12.00	29	4.8			
12.40	30	4.7			
12.80	31	4.5			
13.20	32	4.4			
13.60	33	4.3			
14.00	34	4.1			

### pH-BUFFERING TESTWORK (GCA5031)

**Note:** Titration performed using a Metrohm[®] 736 Titrino auto-titrator, and 0.05 M-H₂SO₄. Equilibration time between titrant additions was 15 minutes. 2.00 g of pulped-sample initially dispersed in 150 mL of deionised-water.

between titrant additions was 15 minutes. 2.00 g of pulped-sample initially dispersed in 150 mL of deionised-water Test mixture in contact with air, at ambient temperature, and continuously stirred.

Calibration of pH-Glass Electrode:

Immediately prior to titration: asymmetry potential = -13 mV (pH=7.00); slope-point = 158 mV (pH=4.00); 96.5 % of Nernstian response for 25 °C.

Immediately following titration: pH=7.00 buffer read pH=7.01 and pH=4.00 buffer read pH=4.03. These discrepancies represent drift in pH-Glass electrode response during course of auto-titration.

Dr GD Campbell

22 November 2003

# ANALYTICAL REPORT

Graeme CAMPBELL **CAMPBELL, GRAEME and ASSOCIATES** PO Box 247 BRIDGETOWN, W.A. 6255 AUSTRALIA

### **JOB INFORMATION**

JOB CODE	:	143.0/03073	53
No. of SAMPLES	:	30	
No. of ELEMENTS	:	1	
CLIENT O/N	:	GCA0333	
SAMPLE SUBMISSION No.	:		
PROJECT	:		
STATE	:	Solid	
DATE RECEIVED	:	03/12/2003	
DATE COMPLETED	:	11/12/2003	
DATE PRINTED	:	11/12/2003	

### MAIN OFFICE AND LABORATORY

15 Davison Street, Maddington 6109, Western Australia PO Box 144, Gosnells 6990, Western Australia Tel: +61 8 9459 9011 Fax: +61 8 9459 5343 Email: genalysis@genalysis.com.au Web Page: www.genalysis.com.au

### KALGOORLIE SAMPLE PREPARATION DIVISION

12 Keogh Way, Kalgoorlie 6430, Western Australia Tel: +61 8 9021 6057 Fax: +61 8 9021 3476

### **ADELAIDE SAMPLE PREPARATION DIVISION**

124 Mooringe Avenue, North Plympton 5037, South Australia Tel: +61 8 8376 7122 Fax: +61 8 8376 7144

### LEGEND

>

Х	= Less than Detection Limit
N/R	= Sample Not Received
*	= Result Checked
()	= Result still to come
I/S	= Insufficient Sample for Analysis
E6	= Result X 1,000,000
UA	= Unable to Assay
>	= Value beyond Limit of Method

### SAMPLE DETAILS

### DISCLAIMER

Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd wishes to make the following disclaimer pertaining to the accompanying analytical results.

Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd disclaims any liability, legal or otherwise, for any inferences implied from this report relating to either the origin of, or the sampling technique employed in the collection of, the submitted samples.

### SIGNIFICANT FIGURES

It is common practice to report data derived from analytical instrumentation to a maximum of two or three significant figures. Some data reported herein may show more figures than this. The reporting of more than two or three figures in no way implies that the third, fourth and subsequent figures may be real or significant.

Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd accepts no responsibility whatsoever for any interpretation by any party of any data where more than two or three significant figures have been reported.

### SAMPLE STORAGE DETAILS

### **GENERAL CONDITIONS**

### SAMPLE STORAGE OF SOLIDS

Bulk Residues and Pulps will be stored for 60 DAYS without charge. After this time all Bulk Residues and Pulps will be stored at a rate of \$1.50 per cubic metre per day until your written advice regarding collection or disposal is received. Expenses related to the return or disposal of samples will be charged to you at cost. Current disposal cost is charged at \$50.00 per cubic metre.

### SAMPLE STORAGE OF SOLUTIONS

Samples received as liquids, waters or solutions will be held for 60 DAYS free of charge then disposed of, unless written advice for return or collection is received.

### ANALYSIS

ELEMENTS	С	
UNITS	%	
DETECTION	0.01	
DIGEST		
ANALYTICAL FINISH	/LECO	
SAMPLE NUMBERS		
0001 GCA5017	0.44	
0002 GCA5018	0.03	
0003 GCA5019	0.04	
0004 GCA5020	0.04	
0005 GCA5021	0.05	
0006 GCA5022	0.25	
0007 GCA5023	0.15	
0008 GCA5024	0.16	
0009 GCA5025	0.86	
0010 GCA5026	0.22	
0011 GCA5027	0.79	
0012 GCA5028	0.11	
0013 GCA5029	0.04	
0014 GCA5030	0.67	
0015 GCA5031	0.91	
0016 GCA5032	0.27	
0017 GCA5033	0.08	
0018 GCA5034	2.55	
0019 GCA5035	1.06	
0020 GCA5036	0.85	
0021 GCA5037	1.82	
0022 GCA5038	1.78	
0023 GCA5039	2.76	
0024 GCA5040	1.69	
0025 GCA5041	2.06	
0026 GCA5042	1.50	
0027 GCA5043	0.69	
0028 GCA5044	0.80	
0029 GCA5045	1.46	
0030 GCA5046	0.14	
CHECKS		
0001 GCA5017	0.44	
0002 GCA5043	0.70	
STANDARDS		
0001 LECO8	1.22	
0002 MA-1b	2.44	

### ANALYSIS

ELEMENTS	С		
UNITS	%		
DETECTION	0.01		
DIGEST			
ANALYTICAL FINISH	/LECO		
BLANKS			
0001 Control Blank	0.02		

### **METHOD CODE DESCRIPTION**

### /LECO

No digestion or other pre-treatment undertaken. Analysed by LECO furnace.

## ANALYTICAL REPORT

Graeme CAMPBELL CAMPBELL, GRAEME and ASSOCIATES PO Box 247 BRIDGETOWN, W.A. 6255 AUSTRALIA

### **JOB INFORMATION**

JOB CODE	:	143.0/03074	85
No. of SAMPLES	:	12	
No. of ELEMENTS	:	32	
CLIENT O/N	:	GCA0333	
SAMPLE SUBMISSION No.	:		
PROJECT	:		
STATE	:	Ex-Pulp	
DATE RECEIVED	:	10/12/2003	
DATE COMPLETED	:	24/12/2003	
DATE PRINTED	:	24/12/2003	

### MAIN OFFICE AND LABORATORY

15 Davison Street, Maddington 6109, Western Australia PO Box 144, Gosnells 6990, Western Australia Tel: +61 8 9459 9011 Fax: +61 8 9459 5343 Email: genalysis@genalysis.com.au Web Page: www.genalysis.com.au

### KALGOORLIE SAMPLE PREPARATION DIVISION

12 Keogh Way, Kalgoorlie 6430, Western Australia Tel: +61 8 9021 6057 Fax: +61 8 9021 3476

### **ADELAIDE SAMPLE PREPARATION DIVISION**

124 Mooringe Avenue, North Plympton 5037, South Australia Tel: +61 8 8376 7122 Fax: +61 8 8376 7144

### LEGEND

Х	= Less than Detection Limit
N/R	= Sample Not Received
*	= Result Checked
()	= Result still to come
I/S	= Insufficient Sample for Analysis
E6	= Result X 1,000,000
UA	= Unable to Assay
>	= Value beyond Limit of Method

### SAMPLE DETAILS

### DISCLAIMER

Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd wishes to make the following disclaimer pertaining to the accompanying analytical results.

Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd disclaims any liability, legal or otherwise, for any inferences implied from this report relating to either the origin of, or the sampling technique employed in the collection of, the submitted samples.

### SIGNIFICANT FIGURES

It is common practice to report data derived from analytical instrumentation to a maximum of two or three significant figures. Some data reported herein may show more figures than this. The reporting of more than two or three figures in no way implies that the third, fourth and subsequent figures may be real or significant.

Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd accepts no responsibility whatsoever for any interpretation by any party of any data where more than two or three significant figures have been reported.

### SAMPLE STORAGE DETAILS

### **GENERAL CONDITIONS**

### SAMPLE STORAGE OF SOLIDS

Bulk Residues and Pulps will be stored for 60 DAYS without charge. After this time all Bulk Residues and Pulps will be stored at a rate of \$1.50 per cubic metre per day until your written advice regarding collection or disposal is received. Expenses related to the return or disposal of samples will be charged to you at cost. Current disposal cost is charged at \$50.00 per cubic metre.

### SAMPLE STORAGE OF SOLUTIONS

Samples received as liquids, waters or solutions will be held for 60 DAYS free of charge then disposed of, unless written advice for return or collection is received.

### NOTES

*** NATA ENDORSED DOCUMENT ****

**Company Registration Number 3244** 

The contents of this report have been prepared in accordance with the terms of NATA accreditation and as such should only be reproduced in full.

The analysis results reported herein have been obtained using the following methods and conditions:

The 12 samples, as listed in the report, were received as being waste rock materials.

The sample required drying at 45 degrees Celcius prior to being mixed and split to a 100g portion and then fine pulverised in a zirconia bowl.

Due to the presence of Carbon in the sample the material was roasted prior to determination according to standard methods of analysis.

The results included the assay of blanks and international reference standard SO-2 and Genalysis in-house standards TKC3, AE11 and HgSTD.

The results are expressed as parts per million or percent by mass in the dried and prepared material.

NATA Signatory: P Edmondson

Date: 24th December 2003

			ANA	<b>LYS</b>	IS					
ELEMENTS	Ag	AI	As	В	Ва	Bi	Ca	Cd	Co	Cr
UNITS	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
DETECTION	0.1	20	1	50	0.1	0.01	10	0.1	0.1	2
DIGEST	RA/	RA/	RA/	RD/	RA/	RA/	RA/	RA/	RA/	RA/
ANALYTICAL FINISH	MS	OES	MS	OES	MS	MS	OES	MS	MS	OES
SAMPLE NUMBERS										
0001 GCA5034								0.3		
0002 GCA5035	7.3	5.82%	258	151	634.7	1.45	153	1.5	15.7	52
0003 GCA5036								0.1		
0004 GCA5037								0.4		
0005 GCA5038	6.8	6.55%	220	58	318.7	2.74	64	Х	17.9	50
0006 GCA5039								0.2		
0007 GCA5040								Х		
0008 GCA5041	5.1	4.74%	299	148	324.8	4.88	64	0.2	23.3	77
0009 GCA5042								0.3		
0010 GCA5043	4.3	9.69%	100	208	672.5	2.22	91	Х	5.3	50
0011 GCA5044	83.1	9798	747	137	426.4	73.77	103	0.3	67.4	32
0012 GCA5045	163.1	2.00%	1132	165	775.5	16.64	96	2.0	19.0	40
CHECKS										
0001 GCA5034								0.3		
STANDARDS										
0001 AE11										
0002 HgSTD-3										
0003 SO-2										
0004 TKC3	13.9	6.07%	620		522.1	36.22	1.59%	6.2	159.8	1174
0005 TKC3				912						
BLANKS										
0001 Control Blank	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.1	0.01	Х	Х	Х	2

			AN/	ALYS	SIS					
ELEMENTS	Cu	F	Fe	Hg	К	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Ni
UNITS	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
DETECTION	1	50	0.01	0.01	20	20	1	0.1	20	1
DIGEST	RA/	DH/	RD/	AC/	RA/	RA/	RA/	RA/	RA/	RA/
ANALYTICAL FINISH	OES	SIE	OES	CVAP	OES	OES	OES	MS	OES	OES
SAMPLE NUMBERS										
0001 GCA5034	163									
0002 GCA5035	6150	700	1.94	8.15	2.53%	2929	73	3.3	1271	28
0003 GCA5036	2675									
0004 GCA5037	724									
0005 GCA5038	1570	388	0.55	1.18	2.90%	998	29	3.0	1872	43
0006 GCA5039	707									
0007 GCA5040	1520									
0008 GCA5041	4914	600	2.24	2.34	2.10%	2250	62	6.5	921	46
0009 GCA5042	1197									
0010 GCA5043	1192	980	1.32	3.74	4.22%	3101	113	7.7	1933	12
0011 GCA5044	4795	160	4.32	9.03	3849	629	83	3.7	869	43
0012 GCA5045	8767	250	8.43	6.22	3375	601	91	27.5	580	31
CHECKS										
0001 GCA5034	163									
STANDARDS										
0001 AE11										
0002 HgSTD-3				0.28						
0003 SO-2		498								
0004 TKC3	2495				1.26%	1.60%	1956	57.4	1.62%	2092
0005 TKC3			9.22							
BLANKS										
0001 Control Blank	1	Х	0.01	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2

		AN/	ALYS	IS					
Р	Pb	S	Sb	Se	Sn	Sr	Th	TI	U
ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
20	2	10	0.05	0.01	0.1	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.01
RA/	RA/	RA/	RA/	BP/	RA/	RA/	RA/	RA/	RA/
OES	MS	OES	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
	747								
81	2037	4041	20.93	3.49	2.9	21.14	11.71	4.97	4.25
	328								
	620								
223	1473	7066	23.67	1.77	2.5	60.13	17.24	2.50	4.30
	1448								
	1395								
217	1907	6782	20.16	3.91	2.9	35.80	11.10	7.36	3.39
	2161								
358	2610	1.39%	15.90	1.67	4.7	126.85	20.41	1.74	6.78
152	4895	5826	121.57	5.00	3.1	29.79	8.70	6.19	3.49
231	4169	2986	216.39	3.18	2.8	61.98	15.77	0.64	8.54
	803								
				0.55					
1353	2646	1.17%	190.27		5.7	490.98	122.01	24.63	18.89
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.2	Х	Х	Х	Х
	P ppm 20 RA/ OES 81 223 217 358 152 231 1353	P Pb   ppm ppm   20 2   RA/ RA/   OES MS   747 81   81 2037   328 620   223 1473   1448 1395   217 1907   2161 358   358 2610   152 4895   231 4169   1353 2646   X X	P Pb S   ppm ppm ppm   20 2 10   RA/ RA/ RA/   OES MS OES   747 81 2037 4041   328 620 620   223 1473 7066   1448 1395 6782   217 1907 6782   2161 358 2610 1.39%   152 4895 5826   231 4169 2986   803	P   Pb   S   Sb     ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm     20   2   10   0.05     RA/   RA/   RA/   RA/     OES   MS   OES   MS     0ES   MS   OES   MS     2037   4041   20.93     328   620   10     620   1448   1395     217   1907   6782   20.16     2161   1395   20.16   2161     358   2610   1.39%   15.90     152   4895   5826   121.57     231   4169   2986   216.39     803   1353   2646   1.17%   190.27	P   Pb   S   Sb   Se     ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm     20   2   10   0.05   0.01     RA/   RA/   RA/   RA/   BP/     OES   MS   OES   MS   MS     747   A041   20.93   3.49     328   620	P   Pb   S   Sb   Se   Sn     ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm     20   2   10   0.05   0.01   0.1     RAV   RAV   RAV   RAV   BP/   RAV     OES   MS   OES   MS   MS   MS     0ES   MS   OES   MS   MS   MS     223   1473   7066   23.67   1.77   2.5     1448   1395   1.373   206   20.16   3.91   2.9     217   1907   6782   20.16   3.91   2.9     2161   1.39%   15.90   1.67   4.7     358   2610   1.39%   15.90   3.18   2.8     803   208   216.39   3.18   2.8     152   4895   5826   121.57   5.00   3.1     2161   2086   216.39   3.18   2.8	P   Pb   S   Sb   Se   Sn   Sr     ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm   ppm     20   2   10   0.05   0.01   0.1   0.05     RA/   RA/   RA/   RA/   RA/   BP/   RA/   RA/     0ES   MS   OES   MS   MS   MS   MS   MS     223   1473   7066   23.67   1.77   2.5   60.13     223   1473   7066   23.67   1.77   2.5   60.13     1448   1395	P   Pb   S   Sb   Se   Sn   Sr   Th     ppm   ppm <t< td=""><td>P   Pb   S   Sb   Se   Sn   Sr   Th   TI     ppm   <td< td=""></td<></td></t<>	P   Pb   S   Sb   Se   Sn   Sr   Th   TI     ppm   ppm <td< td=""></td<>

			ANALYSIS
ELEMENTS	V	Zn	
UNITS	ppm	ppm	
DETECTION	2	1	
DIGEST	RA/	RA/	
ANALYTICAL FINISH	OES	OES	
SAMPLE NUMBERS			
0001 GCA5034		208	
0002 GCA5035	95	980	
0003 GCA5036		42	
0004 GCA5037		260	
0005 GCA5038	147	88	
0006 GCA5039		181	
0007 GCA5040		40	
0008 GCA5041	81	218	
0009 GCA5042		402	
0010 GCA5043	128	388	
0011 GCA5044	17	159	
0012 GCA5045	22	1491	
CHECKS			
0001 GCA5034		207	
STANDARDS			
0001 AE11			
0002 HgSTD-3			
0003 SO-2			
0004 TKC3	269	1456	
0005 TKC3			
BLANKS			
0001 Control Blank	Х	Х	

### **METHOD CODE DESCRIPTION**

#### **BP/MS**

Aqua-Regia digest followed by Precipitation and Concentration. Specific for Selenium. Analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry.

### RA/MS

Pre-Roast(Ashing) followed by a multi-acid digest including Hydrofluoric, Nitric, Perchloric and Hydrochloric acids. Analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry.

#### DH/SIE

Alkaline fusion (Nickel crucible) specific for Fluorine. Analysed by Specific Ion Electrode.

### **RA/OES**

Pre-Roast(Ashing) followed by a multi-acid digest including Hydrofluoric, Nitric, Perchloric and Hydrochloric acids. Analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical (Atomic) Emission Spectrometry.

### **RD/OES**

Pre-Roast(Ashing) followed by a Sodium peroxide fusion (Zirconium crucibles) and Hydrochloric acid to dissolve the melt. Analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical (Atomic) Emission Spectrometry.

### AC/CVAP

Mixed acid digest specific for Mercury in plant material and soils. Analysed by Cold Vapour Generation Atomic Absorption Spectrometry.

## ANALYTICAL REPORT

Graeme CAMPBELL **CAMPBELL, GRAEME and ASSOCIATES** PO Box 247 BRIDGETOWN, W.A. 6255 AUSTRALIA

### **JOB INFORMATION**

JOB CODE	:	143.0/03074	87
No. of SAMPLES	:	18	
No. of ELEMENTS	:	32	
CLIENT O/N	:	GCA0333	
SAMPLE SUBMISSION No.	:		
PROJECT	:		
STATE	:	Ex-Pulp	
DATE RECEIVED	:	10/12/2003	
DATE COMPLETED	:	24/12/2003	
DATE PRINTED	:	24/12/2003	

### MAIN OFFICE AND LABORATORY

15 Davison Street, Maddington 6109, Western Australia PO Box 144, Gosnells 6990, Western Australia Tel: +61 8 9459 9011 Fax: +61 8 9459 5343 Email: genalysis@genalysis.com.au Web Page: www.genalysis.com.au

### KALGOORLIE SAMPLE PREPARATION DIVISION

12 Keogh Way, Kalgoorlie 6430, Western Australia Tel: +61 8 9021 6057 Fax: +61 8 9021 3476

### ADELAIDE SAMPLE PREPARATION DIVISION

124 Mooringe Avenue, North Plympton 5037, South Australia Tel: +61 8 8376 7122 Fax: +61 8 8376 7144

### LEGEND

Х	= Less than Detection Limit
N/R	= Sample Not Received
*	= Result Checked
()	= Result still to come
I/S	= Insufficient Sample for Analysis
E6	= Result X 1,000,000
UA	= Unable to Assay
>	= Value beyond Limit of Method

### SAMPLE DETAILS

### DISCLAIMER

Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd wishes to make the following disclaimer pertaining to the accompanying analytical results.

Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd disclaims any liability, legal or otherwise, for any inferences implied from this report relating to either the origin of, or the sampling technique employed in the collection of, the submitted samples.

### SIGNIFICANT FIGURES

It is common practice to report data derived from analytical instrumentation to a maximum of two or three significant figures. Some data reported herein may show more figures than this. The reporting of more than two or three figures in no way implies that the third, fourth and subsequent figures may be real or significant.

Genalysis Laboratory Services Pty Ltd accepts no responsibility whatsoever for any interpretation by any party of any data where more than two or three significant figures have been reported.

### SAMPLE STORAGE DETAILS

### **GENERAL CONDITIONS**

### SAMPLE STORAGE OF SOLIDS

Bulk Residues and Pulps will be stored for 60 DAYS without charge. After this time all Bulk Residues and Pulps will be stored at a rate of \$1.50 per cubic metre per day until your written advice regarding collection or disposal is received. Expenses related to the return or disposal of samples will be charged to you at cost. Current disposal cost is charged at \$50.00 per cubic metre.

### SAMPLE STORAGE OF SOLUTIONS

Samples received as liquids, waters or solutions will be held for 60 DAYS free of charge then disposed of, unless written advice for return or collection is received.

### NOTES

*** NATA ENDORSED DOCUMENT ****

**Company Registration Number 3244** 

The contents of this report have been prepared in accordance with the terms of NATA accreditation and as such should only be reproduced in full.

The analysis results reported herein have been obtained using the following methods and conditions:

The 18 samples, as listed in the report, were received as being waste rock materials.

The sample required drying at 45 degrees Celcius prior to being mixed and split to a 100g portion and then fine pulverised in a zirconia bowl.

The results were determined according to standard methods of analysis.

The results included the assay of blanks and international reference standards SY-4 and SO-2 and Genalysis in-house standards TKC3, AE11 and HgSTD.

The results are expressed as parts per million or percent by mass in the dried and prepared material.

NATA Signatory: P Edmondson

Date: 24th December 2003

			ANA	LYS	IS					
ELEMENTS	Ag	AI	As	В	Ва	Bi	Ca	Cd	Co	Cr
UNITS	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
DETECTION	0.1	20	1	50	0.1	0.01	10	0.1	0.1	2
DIGEST	A/	A/	A/	D/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/
ANALYTICAL FINISH	MS	OES	MS	OES	MS	MS	OES	MS	MS	OES
SAMPLE NUMBERS										
0001 GCA5017	0.2	8.47%	8	59	195.0	0.61	5434	0.3	46.8	209
0002 GCA5018	0.2	9.90%	7	Х	118.5	0.10	1.36%	0.4	37.3	265
0003 GCA5019								0.3		
0004 GCA5020								0.3		
0005 GCA5021								0.3		
0006 GCA5022								0.2		
0007 GCA5023								0.5		
0008 GCA5024								0.6		
0009 GCA5025	0.4	6.58%	4	Х	48.5	0.53	3.65%	0.6	111.5	83
0010 GCA5026								0.3		
0011 GCA5027								0.3		
0012 GCA5028	0.1	7.79%	5	53	241.8	0.59	1095	0.3	56.8	32
0013 GCA5029	0.5	6.86%	3	Х	40.8	0.16	452	0.3	83.1	212
0014 GCA5030	1.4	7.53%	16	Х	182.4	1.07	7348	3.8	85.1	85
0015 GCA5031	0.3	8.90%	88	154	333.1	3.86	1.36%	0.3	23.9	190
0016 GCA5032	0.2	7.22%	39	124	709.7	0.51	156	0.3	4.3	98
0017 GCA5033								0.3		
0018 GCA5046	47.5	6.26%	260	121	595.5	1.39	56	0.3	4.5	85
CHECKS										
0001 GCA5017	1.1	8.53%	6	Х	187.2	0.58	5558	0.4	42.8	205
STANDARDS										
0001 AE11										
0002 HgSTD-3										
0003 SO-3										
0004 SY-4	0.5	10.16%	Х		333.1	0.18	5.49%	1.3	3.0	8
0005 WGB-1				257						
BLANKS										
0001 Control Blank	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.1	Х	Х	0.1	Х	2

			AN/	ALYS	IS					
ELEMENTS	Cu	F	Fe	Hg	к	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Ni
UNITS	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
DETECTION	1	50	0.01	0.01	20	20	1	0.1	20	1
DIGEST	A/	DH/	D/	CM/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/
ANALYTICAL FINISH	OES	SIE	OES	CVAP	OES	OES	OES	MS	OES	OES
SAMPLE NUMBERS										
0001 GCA5017	834	199	7.28	0.05	1.10%	1.70%	262	2.8	9311	196
0002 GCA5018	22	200	5.69	0.02	9933	3.22%	606	2.0	1.13%	221
0003 GCA5019	32									
0004 GCA5020	225									
0005 GCA5021	961									
0006 GCA5022	37									
0007 GCA5023	852									
0008 GCA5024	819									
0009 GCA5025	604	250	16.88	Х	3523	3.41%	1068	0.8	4419	133
0010 GCA5026	630									
0011 GCA5027	78									
0012 GCA5028	837	200	5.35	Х	1.92%	1.52%	398	1.1	1.05%	50
0013 GCA5029	2935	150	13.20	0.02	2000	4.94%	803	0.5	585	155
0014 GCA5030	3186	270	10.13	0.18	1.08%	3.92%	1827	2.7	1.26%	145
0015 GCA5031	250	386	7.40	0.01	9539	1.07%	155	6.4	5077	85
0016 GCA5032	88	860	2.06	0.03	2.89%	3898	98	3.2	1416	29
0017 GCA5033	324									
0018 GCA5046	5815	887	1.22	0.45	2.48%	2926	33	3.8	1534	18
CHECKS										
0001 GCA5017	824	218	7.44	0.05	1.12%	1.68%	258	2.4	9427	192
STANDARDS										
0001 AE11										
0002 HgSTD-3				0.31						
0003 SO-3		338								
0004 SY-4	8				1.37%	3149	798	1.3	5.09%	10
0005 WGB-1			4.81							
BLANKS										
0001 Control Blank	Х	Х	0.02	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.1	Х	2

			ANA	ALYS	S					
ELEMENTS	Р	Pb	S	Sb	Se	Sn	Sr	Th	TI	U
UNITS	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
DETECTION	20	2	10	0.05	0.01	0.1	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.01
DIGEST	A/	Α/	A/	A/	BP/	A/	A/	A/	A/	A/
ANALYTICAL FINISH	OES	MS	OES	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS	MS
SAMPLE NUMBERS										
0001 GCA5017	177	101	670	0.63	1.10	1.5	46.20	5.47	0.19	1.25
0002 GCA5018	427	3	111	0.43	0.04	1.1	62.05	4.16	0.13	1.24
0003 GCA5019		4								
0004 GCA5020		6								
0005 GCA5021		15								
0006 GCA5022		4								
0007 GCA5023		35								
0008 GCA5024		38								
0009 GCA5025	309	13	1.68%	0.31	0.70	9.1	53.47	1.89	0.18	0.96
0010 GCA5026		6								
0011 GCA5027		3								
0012 GCA5028	262	27	85	0.18	0.23	1.3	33.70	2.94	0.14	0.93
0013 GCA5029	111	25	2376	0.18	0.24	0.8	33.67	2.37	Х	0.95
0014 GCA5030	428	554	1.60%	0.33	0.33	1.8	17.01	3.14	0.40	1.51
0015 GCA5031	98	312	436	8.81	1.42	1.9	98.68	27.79	0.41	4.01
0016 GCA5032	97	216	2047	2.51	0.33	2.7	67.59	16.07	0.70	3.69
0017 GCA5033		2407								
0018 GCA5046	148	1.05%	4461	35.88	4.94	3.0	76.97	11.41	4.48	6.12
CHECKS										
0001 GCA5017	184	99	639	0.50	0.97	1.4	41.93	5.16	0.19	1.15
STANDARDS										
0001 AE11					0.54					
0002 HgSTD-3										
0003 SO-3										
0004 SY-4	609	14	146	0.10		8.0	1202.94	1.29	1.27	0.80
0005 WGB-1										
BLANKS										
0001 Control Blank	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.1	0.07	0.02	Х	Х

	ANALYSIS	
ELEMENTS V	n	
UNITS ppm	n	
DETECTION 2	1	
DIGEST A/	/	
ANALYTICAL FINISH OES	8	
SAMPLE NUMBERS		
0001 GCA5017 136	6	
0002 GCA5018 149	4	
0003 GCA5019	3	
0004 GCA5020	2	
0005 GCA5021	9	
0006 GCA5022	9	
0007 GCA5023	9	
0008 GCA5024	4	
0009 GCA5025 77	1	
0010 GCA5026	3	
0011 GCA5027	7	
0012 GCA5028 69	5	
0013 GCA5029 120	9	
0014 GCA5030 77	5	
0015 GCA5031 200	7	
0016 GCA5032 143	9	
0017 GCA5033	3	
0018 GCA5046 90	8	
CHECKS		
0001 GCA5017 137	5	
STANDARDS		
0001 AE11		
0002 HgSTD-3		
0003 SO-3		
0004 SY-4 6	5	
0005 WGB-1		
BLANKS		
0001 Control Blank X	2	

### **METHOD CODE DESCRIPTION**

### A/MS

Multi-acid digest including Hydrofluoric, Nitric, Perchloric and Hydrochloric acids in Teflon Beakers. Analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry.

#### A/OES

Multi-acid digest including Hydrofluoric, Nitric, Perchloric and Hydrochloric acids in Teflon Beakers. Analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical (Atomic) Emission Spectrometry.

#### **BP/MS**

Aqua-Regia digest followed by Precipitation and Concentration. Specific for Selenium. Analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry.

#### D/OES

Sodium peroxide fusion (Zirconium crucibles) and Hydrochloric acid to dissolve the melt. Analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical (Atomic) Emission Spectrometry.

### DH/SIE

Alkaline fusion (Nickel crucible) specific for Fluorine. Analysed by Specific Ion Electrode.

### CM/CVAP

Low temperature Perchloric acid digest specific for Mercury. Analysed by Cold Vapour Generation Atomic Absorption Spectrometry.