Referral of a Proposal by the Proponent to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.



PURPOSE OF THIS FORM

Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) provides that where a development proposal is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, a proponent may refer the proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for a decision on whether or not it requires assessment under the EP Act. This form sets out the information requirements for the referral of a proposal by a proponent.

Proponents are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the EPA's *General Guide* on *Referral of Proposals* [see Environmental Impact Assessment/Referral of Proposals and Schemes] before completing this form.

A referral under section 38(1) of the EP Act by a proponent to the EPA must be made on this form. A request to the EPA for a declaration under section 39B (derived proposal) must be made on this form. This form will be treated as a referral provided all information required by Part A has been included and all information requested by Part B has been provided to the extent that it is pertinent to the proposal being referred. Referral documents are to be submitted in two formats — hard copy and electronic copy. The electronic copy of the referral will be provided for public comment for a period of 7 days, prior to the EPA making its decision on whether or not to assess the proposal.

CHECKLIST

Before you submit this form, please check that you have:

	Yes	No
Completed all the questions in Part A (essential).	>	
Completed all applicable questions in Part B.	~	
Included Attachment 1 – location maps.	~	
Included Attachment 2 – additional document(s) the proponent wishes	~	
to provide (if applicable).		
Included Attachment 3 – confidential information (if applicable).		<
Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial	~	
data and contextual mapping but excluding confidential information.		

Following a review of the information presented in this form, please consider the following question (a response is optional).

Do you conside	r the proposal requires fo	ormal environmental impact assessment?
Yes	⊠ No	☐ Not sure
If yes, what leve	el of assessment?	
Assessment	t on Proponent Informatio	on Public Environmental Review
on behalf of The proposal) to sul	ETER MARTINO. HE PUBLIC TRANSPORT A	ompleted by the proponent) Configuration (full name) declare that I am authorised Configuration contained in this in declare that the information contained in this
Signature	John State of the	Name (print) MARKO PETER MARTINOVICH
Position	M Peter Martinovich Executive Director	Company PUBLIC TRANSPORT AUTHORN
Date	Infrastructure Planning And Land Services	
0	10000	1 0012

PART A - PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

(All fields of Part A must be completed for this document to be treated as a referral)

1 PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

1.1 Proponent

Name	Public Transport Authority of Western Australia (PTA)
Joint Venture parties (if applicable)	N/A
Australian Company Number (if applicable)	N/A
Postal Address (where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State)	PO Box 8125 Perth Business Centre WA 6849
Key proponent contact for the proposal:	Miranda Ludlow Environmental Manager Public Transport Authority PO Box 8125 Perth Business Centre WA 6849 Phone: 08 9326 3972 Mob: 0434 362 186 Email: miranda.ludlow@pta.wa.gov.au
Consultant for the proposal (if applicable):	N/A

1.2 Proposal

Title	The Swan River Pedestrian Bridge
Description	The Swan River Pedestrian Bridge Project (hereafter referred to as 'the Proposal') involves construction of a pedestrian bridge over the Swan River linking the East Perth foreshore to the Burswood Peninsula, and construction of a bus hub at East Perth. The Proposal forms the third component (Part 3) of the new Perth Stadium Project, Part 1 being the construction of the Stadium and associated Sports Precinct, and Part 2 being the construction of the Transport Corridor Infrastructure including rail works, upgrade to Belmont Park train station and Victoria Park Drive road bridge
	upgrades. The Proposal has the following key components:
	A bridge providing 8m clear width for pedestrians, plus additional width for other constrictions such as seating, with supporting piers in the Swan River and additional supporting piers on each bank. The bridge is an integral element of the multi-modal transport strategy for the new Perth Stadium. It is anticipated that 14,300 pedestrians will utilise the bridge after a 60,000 person capacity crowd leaves the new Perth Stadium. Construction of the bridge may require processes such as limited dredging of the Swan River to allow access for piling barges or a temporary hardstand area in the river to construct the bridge foundations.

- A bridge landing site on the Burswood Peninsula (within the footprint of the Sports Precinct). Minor ground disturbance and piling is required to facilitate construction of the landing site.
- A bridge landing site on the East Perth foreshore. Minor ground disturbance and piling is required to facilitate construction of the landing site.
- Provision of a bus hub in East Perth on Nelson Avenue, adjacent to Gloucester Park Trotting Ground. It is anticipated that 6,200 of the 14,300 people using the bridge after a capacity event will utilise shuttle buses to the Central Business District. The bus hub will serve 8 shuttle bus bays from a pedestrian hardstand area along a realigned Nelson Avenue.

Figure 1 shows the regional setting of the Proposal area and the three components (Parts) of the new Perth Stadium Project. Figure 2 provides the Master Plan drawing of the new Perth Stadium Project including the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge.

Construction and operation of the Stadium and Sports Precinct (including the bridge landing on the Burswood Peninsula) and the Transport Corridor Infrastructure Works have previously been referred to the Western Australian Environmental Protection Authority (EPA). The decision by the EPA for the Stadium and Sports Precinct was 'not assessed – public advice given' (Ref A555888). The decision by the EPA for the Transport Corridor Infrastructure Works was 'not assessed – no advice given' (Ref A601372).

All three components of the new Perth Stadium Project including the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge have been referred to and approved by the Commonwealth Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (SEWPaC). The decision by SEWPaC was that the proposed action was not a controlled action (Ref EPBC 2013/6740).

The Swan River Pedestrian Bridge will be procured under a Design and Construct Contract, with Contract Award anticipated in mid 2014. As such, the final design and construction methodology for the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge is not currently known. The bus hub is likely to be procured separately. Management measures will be defined in Construction Environmental Management Plan(s) to be prepared by the Contractor(s). The concept design drawing is illustrated in Figure 3.

The PTA's Project Definition Plan (PTA, 2012) outlines the concept designs and purposes of the bus hub and Swan River Pedestrian Bridge. This is attached as Attachment 1.

Extent (area) of proposed ground disturbance.

The Proposal occupies the following areas:

- Approximately 3.5 ha above the Swan River, assuming an approximate bridge width of 10 m and a 10 m wide buffer (5 m on each side);
- Approximately 0.02 ha of the Swan River for the bridge's supporting piers, assuming 7 piers each with 5 m width;
- Approximately 2.5 ha of the East Perth foreshore for the bridge landing and bus hub, of which approximately 1 ha is considered vegetated.

Timeframe in which the activity or development is proposed to occur (including start and finish dates where applicable).

Approximate Duration – Two and a half years

Estimated Start Date - June 2014

	Estimated Completion Date – December 2016
Dataile of an all all all all	·
Details of any staging of the proposal.	Implementation of the Proposal will not be staged.
Is the proposal a strategic	No
proposal? Is the proponent requesting a	No
declaration that the proposal is a	
derived proposal? If so, provide the following	
information on the strategic	
assessment within which the referred proposal was identified:	
• title of the strategic	
assessment; andMinisterialStatement	
number.	
Please indicate whether, and in what way, the proposal is related to other proposals in the region.	The new Perth Stadium Project, which encompasses the pedestrian bridge is to be delivered in three parts (Figure 1):
other proposals in the region.	Part 1: construction of the Stadium and associated Sports Precinct.
	Part 2: construction of the Transport Corridor Infrastructure including rail works, upgrade of Belmont Park train station to the new sixplatform Stadium Station and Victoria Park Drive road bridge upgrades.
	Part 3: construction of the pedestrian bridge over the Swan River, including an 8 stand bus hub on Nelson Avenue.
	All parts of the new Perth Stadium Project have potential environmental and social impacts and will therefore be referred to the EPA in accordance with Section 38 of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> . As discussed in a previous section Parts 1 and 2 have already been referred to the EPA. This referral application is for Part 3 of the new Perth Stadium Project.
	Two other developments are proposed within the Burswood Peninsula region: the Golden Group's Belmont Park mixed use development and the Crown Perth Towers development. Both developments are in close proximity to the Project; however, neither is directly related to each other as they are being developed under different proponents and different timeframes.
Does the proponent own the land on which the proposal is to be established? If not, what other arrangements have been established to access the land?	The proposed Swan River Pedestrian Bridge extends over a number of Crown Land Titles which are vested in various authorities, including the City of Perth, the Swan River Trust and the Burswood Park Board.
cotabilished to access the fand.	Each of the agencies are members of a "Swan River Pedestrian Bridge" working group and will be requested to provide their support for access and construction of the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge. It is anticipated that the City of Perth and the Swan River Trust may sign the Development Applications as "landowners".
	At the time of bridge construction, it is anticipated that the portion of the Burswood Peninsula within which the bridge will land will be under the control and management of the Minister for Works, (rather than Burswood Park Board) to facilitate the Stadium and Sports Precinct development so no further approval is anticipated to access this portion of the site.
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	The majority of the Proposal area is the Swan River. The Swan River is managed by the Swan River Trust, a state government agency that protects, manages and enhances the Swan Canning

Riverpark. The bridge covers approximately 3.5 ha of the river, however the impact to the river from the bridge's supporting piers is anticipated to be less than 0.02 ha (assuming 7 piers approximately 5 m wide).

The area covered by the Proposal also includes a section of the East Perth foreshore (approximately 2.5 ha) which is currently used for recreational purposes.

The bridge landing site on the Burswood Peninsula foreshore is used for recreational purposes (pedestrian shared path) in the direct vicinity of the river bank and formerly as a golf course beyond the pedestrian shared path. The Burswood Park Golf Course has been closed to accommodate the construction of the Stadium and Sports Precinct. Once operational, this area will be reserved Parks and Recreation under the Metropolitan Region Scheme.

1.3 Location

Name of the Shire in which the proposal is located.	Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority Area within the City of Perth (East Perth Foreshore) and Town of Victoria Park (Burswood Peninsula foreshore). The Swan River is managed by the Swan River Trust.
For urban areas: •street address; •lot number; •suburb; and •nearest road intersection.	The Proposal is located in East Perth and Burswood. The street address is only applicable for the bus hub in East Perth which is located on Nelson Avenue. Land ownership information is presented below in Table 1.
For remote localities: •nearest town; and	N/A
 distance and direction from that town to the proposal site. 	
 Electronic copy of spatial data - GIS or CAD, georeferenced and conforming to the following parameters: GIS: polygons representing all activities and named; CAD: simple closed polygons representing all activities and named; datum: GDA94; projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude) or Map Grid of Australia (MGA); format: Arcview shapefile, Arcinfo coverages, Microstation or AutoCAD. 	The attached .zip file contains an mxd file to display the Proposal area. Please be aware that the links for the two layers in the mxd map need to be re-established once the attachment is downloaded.

Table 1: Lot Description for Project Area

Certificate of Title/Pin Number	Lot number	Plan	Ownership/Management							
LR3151/548	301	DP47451	Swan River Trust							
LR3151/549	302	DP47452	Swan River Trust							
LR3120/668	1175	DP26907	City of Perth							
PIN 1152916	N/A	Nelson Avenue	Main Roads WA							
PIN 11297384	N/A	Nile Street	Main Roads WA							
LR3119/97	1068	DP192606	City of Perth							

1.4 Confidential Information

Does the proponent wish to request the EPA to allow any part of the referral information to be	
treated as confidential?	No
If yes, is confidential information attached as a separate document in hard copy?	N/A

1.5 Government Approvals

Is rezoning of any land requimplemented? If yes, please provide details.	No					
Is approval required from any C agency or Local Authority for any pa If yes, please complete the table be	Yes					
Agency/Authority	Approval required	Application lodged Yes / No	Agency/Local Authority contact(s) for proposal			
Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Populations and Communities	Referral of Proposed Action under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)	Yes	Con Voutas			
Department of Aboriginal Affairs	Section 18 Notice under the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972	Yes	Christine Lewis			
The WAPC with binding advice from the Swan River Trust and referral to the City of Perth and Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority.	Development Approval under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) for that portion of bridge over the river.	No	Rob Farley (City of Perth) Paul Stephenson (SRT) Matt Selby (Department of Planning)			
The Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority	Development Approval under the Metropolitan Redevelopment Act for that portion of the pedestrian bridge which lands on the western banks of the river within the MRA jurisdiction. If any portion of the pedestrian bridge is to be constructed outside the MRA boundary then a Development Application under the MRS would be required.	No	Ryan Keys (MRA)			
Department of Water						
Department of Environment Regulation (DER) (formerly DEC)	DER Accredited Contaminated Site Auditor's Report under the Contaminated Sites Act 2003	No	Andrew Miller			
DER (Noise Branch)	Approval of any out of hours noise management plans under the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997, if required	No	Peter Popoff-Asotoff and Jingnan Guo			

PART B - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Describe the impacts of the proposal on the following elements of the environment, by answering the questions contained in Sections 2.1-2.11:

- 2.1 flora and vegetation;
- 2.2 fauna:
- 2.3 rivers, creeks, wetlands and estuaries;
- 2.4 significant areas and/ or land features;
- 2.5 coastal zone areas;
- 2.6 marine areas and biota:
- 2.7 water supply and drainage catchments;
- 2.8 pollution;
- 2.9 greenhouse gas emissions;
- 2.10 contamination; and
- 2.11 social surroundings.

These features should be shown on the site plan, where appropriate.

For all information, please indicate:

- (a) the source of the information; and
- (b) the currency of the information.

2.1 Flora and Vegetation

2.1.1 Do you propose to clear any native flora and vegetation as a part of this proposal?

[A proposal to clear native vegetation may require a clearing permit under Part V of the EP Act (Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004)]. Please contact the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) for more information.

(please tick)	✓ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.
	☐ No	If no, go to the next section

Golder Associates (Golder) completed a Level 1 flora survey of the East Perth foreshore within the Proposal area in October 2012 (survey area shown in Figure 5). The East Perth foreshore consisted primarily of specimen plantings of introduced, mature trees including native Sheoaks (*Casuarina spp.*) and Paperbarks (*Melaleuca spp.*) on the river edge, with Eucalypts planted on the lawn areas.

The Golder flora study (Golder 2012c) concluded that the vegetation assemblages at the Proposal area demonstrated predominantly introduced or common floral species, and that the site is not likely to be of high conservation or ecological value. An additional survey of the Proposal area (Golder 2012b) concluded there were few associated environmental values outside of the low ecological value planted Sheoaks (*Casuarina spp.*).

Liaison with the DER (Formerly DEC) confirmed the vegetation required to be cleared by the Proposal is not considered to meet the definition of 'native vegetation' under the EP Act and Clearing Regulations.

2.1.2 How much vegetation are you proposing to clear (in hectares)?

No greater than 2.5 hectares of land on the East Perth foreshore, containing sparse parkland trees and foreshore vegetation, may be disturbed by the Proposal. Existing vegetation will be avoided, where possible.

application submitted of the DEC?

2.1.3	Have you from such			ation	to	clea	r nativ	e v	egetati	on to	the	DEC	(unless	you	are (exempt
		es	~	No		lf	yes,	on	what	date	and	d to	which	office	wa	s the

The Project area is understood to contain no remnant 'native vegetation' under the definition of the *Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004*; however, native vegetation derived from rehabilitation and landscaping is present within the Project area. In December 2012, the DER (formerly DEC) Native Vegetation Branch was consulted and confirmed that a clearing permit under Part V of the EP Act is not required in this instance. Once the exact footprint of vegetation clearing is known, further consultation will occur with DER to confirm the requirement, or otherwise, for a clearing permit.

	•	-	
2.1.4	Are you aware of any re	cent flora surv	reys carried out over the area to be disturbed by this proposal?
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).
			If no , please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.
			evel 1 flora survey within the Proposal area in October 2012 – una Surveys Report Addendum. This report is included as
2.1.5	Has a search of DEC recommunities been cond		wn occurrences of rare or priority flora or threatened ecological site?
	✓ Yes	□ No	If you are proposing to clear native vegetation for any part of your proposal, a search of DEC records of known occurrences of rare or priority flora and threatened ecological communities will be required. Please contact DEC for more information.
2.1.6	Are there any known o the site?	ccurrences of	rare or priority flora or threatened ecological communities on
	☐ Yes	∨ No	If yes , please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.
	20 October 2011. database and the 2011. There were	The results Declared Rare no occurrent	a and threatened ecological communities was undertaken on from the Threatened Flora Database, the WA Herbarium a and Priority Flora Species List were received on 25 October ces of declared rare or priority flora species or threatened within the Project area (Golder, 2012c).
2.1.7		e? (You will no	n Region, is the proposed development within or adjacent to a eed to contact the Bush Forever Office, at the Department for
	☐ Yes	✓ No	If yes , please indicate which Bush Forever Site is affected (site number and name of site where appropriate).
2.1.8	What is the condition of	the vegetation	at the site?
	introduced specime common species of	ens of eastern endemic flora edge are con	of the Proposal area consists primarily of lawn areas with state and non-Australian ornamental garden plants. Only a were observed; however, the Sheoaks and Melaleuca stributing to the stabilisation of the foreshore, which is currently condition.
	Foreshore rehability foreshore and Burs		cluding landscaping, will be implemented on the East Perth la foreshore.
2.2	Fauna		
2.2.1	Do you expect that any	fauna or fauna	habitat will be impacted by the proposal?
	(please tick)	✓ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.

2.2.2 Describe the nature and extent of the expected impact.

A Level 1 fauna survey of the East Perth foreshore was completed in October 2012. The Pacific Black Duck (*Anas superciliosa*) is common under shade trees along the East Perth foreshore reserve. Although endemic, the Pacific Black Duck are common species. No other environmentally significant fauna were observed at the site during the survey.

An avian fauna survey conducted in 2012 by Bamford Consulting, summarised in the flora and fauna survey report (Golder, 2012c), noted a number of avian species of conservation significance, including migratory bird species, to be present within the vicinity of the Proposal area.

The foreshore vegetation and mature trees on the lawn areas may provide habitat for avian and terrestrial fauna and the Swan River also provides habitat for a range of aquatic fauna.

A benthic habitat assessment was conducted by RPS Environment and Planning (RPS) in January 2013 between the Windan and Causeway Bridges on 7 January 2013 (RPS, 2013a). The investigation involved ten 100 m transects to determine the extent and nature of the benthic habitat within and adjacent to the proposed development footprint. The investigation recorded no significant benthic primary producers across the ten transects, suggesting the area is of low ecological value. Temporary increases in turbidity related to construction works are considered likely to have no detrimental impact on the benthic ecosystem in the area. An additional benthic habitat assessment targeting the proposed location of the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge is anticipated to be conducted in September 2013.

The potential environmental impacts to fauna is considered to be indirect and largely associated with the clearing of mature trees on the East Perth foreshore and through temporary turbidity, noise and vibration impacts on aquatic fauna associated with the construction piling works within the Swan River.

	noise and vibrati within the Swan		quatic fauna associated with the construction piling works
2.2.3	Are you aware of a proposal?	ıny recent fauna	a surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed by this
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please attach a copy of any related survey reports and provide the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).
			If no , please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.
			evel 1 fauna survey within the Proposal area in October 2012 Fauna Surveys Report Addendum. This report is included as
	Benthic Habitat,		assessment within the Proposal area in January 2013 – Vater Quality Summary Report, Proposed Burswood Stadium – s Attachment 3.
2.2.4	Has a search of DEC conducted for the site		wn occurrences of Specially Protected (threatened) fauna been
	✓ Yes	☐ No	(please tick)
2.2.5	Are there any known	occurrences of S	Specially Protected (threatened) fauna on the site?
	☐ Yes	✓ No	If yes , please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.
		tified no known o	search for threatened fauna was made on 20 October 2011. occurrences of threatened fauna recorded within the Proposal
	A search of DEF	R's NatureMap do	pes however identify a number of avian species of conservation

2.3 Rivers, Creeks, Wetlands and Estuaries

area.

2.3.1 Will the development occur within 200 metres of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?

significance, including migratory bird species, to be present within the vicinity of the Proposal

	(please tick)	✓ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.
	The Swan River is lo	cated within the P	roposal area.
2.3.2	Will the development resu	It in the clearing o	f vegetation within the 200 metre zone?
	✓ Yes	□ No If yo	es, please describe the extent of the expected impact.
	require the removal o	f some vegetation he exact number (oreshore and development of the bus hub facilities may within the 200 metre zone. Vegetation clearing will be of mature trees and area of vegetation clearing will be
2.3.3	Will the development resu	It in the filling or e	xcavation of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?
	✓ Yes	☐ No If yo	es, please describe the extent of the expected impact.
	a shallow depth to navigational channel	the river bed, pa . The construction	ded by the Department of Water (Figure 4) has identified rticularly in the portion of the river to the west of the on of the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge may require targe access to piling locations within this area of shallow
		in the Proposal a	eted in September 2013 to confirm the bathymetry of the area. The requirement to dredge will be confirmed once as are finalised.
	necessary. Manager	nent measures to	epth and area of dredging will be kept to the minimum or mitigate any adverse impacts from dredging will be ronmental Management Plan(s) to be prepared by the
2.3.4	Will the development resu	It in the impoundn	nent of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?
	☐ Yes	✓ No If yo	es, please describe the extent of the expected impact.

	✓ Yes ☐ No	if yes, please desc	ribe	tne ex	tent of	tne ex	pecte	ed impact	•
	It is currently assumed that the exist River and that the existing outfalls or regarding outlet pollution control are connections will be investigated in the	can be utilised with e unknown at this s	only tage	minor	modifi	cations	s. Det	ails	an
2.3.6	Are you aware if the proposal will impa one of the following categories? (please		k, w	etland	or esti	uary (d	or its	buffer) w	ithin
	Conservation Category Wetland		~	Yes		No		Unsure	
	Environmental Protection (South West Wetlands) Policy 1998	Agricultural Zone		Yes	~	No		Unsure	
	Perth's Bush Forever site			Yes	~	No		Unsure	
	Environmental Protection (Swan & Canr 1998	ning Rivers) Policy	~	Yes		No		Unsure	
	The management area as defined in s River Trust Act 1988	s4(1) of the Swan	~	Yes		No		Unsure	
	Which is subject to an international ag of the importance of the wetland fo waterbird habitats (e.g. Ramsar, JAMBA	r waterbirds and	~	Yes		No		Unsure	
2.4 2.4.1	Significant Areas and/ or Land Feature Is the proposed development located w Nature Reserve?		an	existinç	g or pr	opose	d Nat	ional Par	k or
	✓ Yes	If yes, please prov	ide c	details.					
	The Proposal area is within and ac Canning Riverpark.	djacent to the Swa	n Ri	ver, wh	nich is	locate	d with	nin the S	wan
2.4.2	Are you aware of any Environmentally 51B of the EP Act) that will be impacted					e Mini	ster u	ınder sed	tion
	✓ Yes	If yes, please prov	ide c	details.					
	The Proposal area is within and Sensitive Area.	adjacent to the S	wan	River	, whic	h is a	n En	vironmen	tally
	An analysis of the effect of piers in Main Roads Western Australia in impact of 7, 6 or 5 piers. The analy Bridge will have only minor effects piers were used. The effects of the considered not significant.	June 2013 (Attac sis shows that the on water levels and	chmo cons I velo	ent 4). struction ocities,	The n of the regard	model e Swa dless o	ling a n Rive of whe	ssessed er Pedest ther 7, 6	the trian or 5
	The construction of the bridge struction Swan River, including turbic disturbance of sediments during p will be appropriately managed thrimplementation of Construction E Contractor(s).	dity, noise and vi ile installation and ough use of best	brati pos prac	ion im sible d tice co	pacts Iredgin onstruc	on a g. Any ction n	quation adverse quality advers	: fauna erse imp dologies	and acts and
	The construction methodology ma launching from a compound facility the river. The final construction me	located on the Bu	rswo	ood Pe	ninsula	a, or te	empoi	rary bund	ds in

2.3.5 Will the development result in draining to a river, creek, wetland or estuary?

in East Perth and Burswood, the Swan River sediments, water levels and velocities, river

foreshore load bearing capacities, recreational use of the Swan River and flood events.

	Are you aware of any significant natural land features (e.g. caves, ranges etc) that will be impacted by the proposed development?				
	☐ Yes	✓ No	If yes, please provide details.		
2.5	Coastal Zone Areas (Coa	istal Dunes a	nd Beaches)		
2.5.1	Will the development occ	cur within 300i	metres of a coastal area?		
	(please tick)	☐ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.		
		✓ No	If no, go to the next section.		
2.5.2	What is the expected s dune?	etback of the	development from the high tide level and from the primary		
2.5.3	Will the development im cuspate headland, coast		al areas with significant landforms including beach ridge plain, arst?		
	☐ Yes	✓ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.		
2.5.4	Is the development likely	to impact on	mangroves?		
2.5.4		•	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.		
	☐ Yes	✓ No	in yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.		
2.6	Marine Areas and Biota				
		-l. 4- !	A constant of cons		
2.6.1	seagrasses, coral reefs of		t on an area of sensitive benthic communities, such as		
	55 ag. a55 55, 55. a. 155.5 3	or mangroves			
	Yes	v No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.		
2.6.2	Yes Is the development like	✓ No ly to impact of	on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for entative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia, CALM,		
2.6.2	Yes Is the development like reservation (as described	✓ No ly to impact of	on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for		
2.6.2	☐ Yes Is the development like reservation (as described 1994)? ☐ Yes	✓ No No impact of in <i>A Repres</i> ✓ No ely to impac	on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for entative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia, CALM,		
	☐ Yes Is the development like reservation (as described 1994)? ☐ Yes Is the development like	✓ No No impact of in <i>A Repres</i> ✓ No ely to impac	on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for entative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia, CALM, If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.		
	☐ Yes Is the development like reservation (as described 1994)? ☐ Yes Is the development like commercial fishing activity	✓ No ly to impact of in <i>A Repres</i> ✓ No ely to impact of interest in the impact of impact ites? ✓ No	on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for entative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia, CALM, If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact. If on marine areas used extensively for recreation or for If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).		
2.6.3	☐ Yes Is the development like reservation (as described 1994)? ☐ Yes Is the development like commercial fishing activities ☐ Yes Water Supply and Draina	✓ No ly to impact of in <i>A Repres</i> ✓ No ely to impacties? ✓ No	on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for entative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia, CALM, If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact. If on marine areas used extensively for recreation or for If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).		
2.6.3	☐ Yes Is the development like reservation (as described 1994)? ☐ Yes Is the development like commercial fishing activities ☐ Yes Water Supply and Drainal Are you in a proclaimed of (You may need to contact.)	No No No No No No Repres No No Repres No No No No No No No No No N	on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for entative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia, CALM, If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact. If yes, please describe the extensively for recreation or for lf yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).		

2.7.2 Are you in an existing or proposed Underground Water Supply and Pollution Control area? (You may need to contact the DoW for more information on the requirements for your location, including the requirement for licences for water abstraction. Also, refer to the DoW website) If yes, please describe what category of area. ☐ Yes ✓ No 2.7.3 Are you in a Public Drinking Water Supply Area (PDWSA)? (You may need to contact the DoW for more information or refer to the DoW website. A proposal to clear vegetation within a PDWSA requires approval from DoW.) If yes, please describe what category of area. ☐ Yes ✓ No 2.7.4 Is there sufficient water available for the proposal? (Please consult with the DoW as to whether approvals are required to source water as you propose. Where necessary, please provide a letter of intent from the DoW) (please tick) Yes □ No The PTA (and its Contractors) will be responsible for obtaining appropriate licences and/or approvals for sourcing water required during the Proposal works. 2.7.5 Will the proposal require drainage of the land? If yes, how is the site to be drained and will the ☐ Yes ✓ No drainage be connected to an existing Local Authority or Water Corporation drainage system? Please provide details. 2.7.6 Is there a water requirement for the construction and/or operation of this proposal? (please tick) If yes, complete the rest of this section. Yes If no, go to the next section. □ No During the Proposal works, water may be required for dust suppression and drilling/installation works. Exact volumes will need to be determined and stipulated by the relevant Contractor. 2.7.7 What is the water requirement for the construction and operation of this proposal, in kilolitres per year? Construction requirement is currently unknown. There is currently no water requirement for the operational phase of this proposal, other than that required during routine maintenance. 2.7.8 What is the proposed source of water for the proposal? (e.g. dam, bore, surface water etc.) Construction water will be brought to site. Source of water currently unknown.

The Proposal area is located within the Perth Proclaimed Groundwater Area.

2.8 Pollution

(please tick)		
	Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.
	☐ No	If no, go to the next section.
Is the proposal a prescri	bed premise, u	nder the Environmental Protection Regulations 1987?
		Referral of Proposals to the EPA under section 38(1) of the
☐ Yes	∨ No	If yes , please describe what category of prescribed premise.
Will the proposal result i	n gaseous emi	ssions to air?
✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please briefly describe.
		the operation of vehicles and machinery during construction anticipated.
		alysis to demonstrate that air quality standards will be met, npacts from other emission sources?
☐ Yes	✓ No	If yes, please briefly describe.
Will the proposal result i	n liquid effluent	discharge?
☐ Yes	✓ No	If yes , please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and receiving environment.
done to demonstrate t	hat the State	watercourse or marine environment, has any analysis been Water Quality Management Strategy or other appropriate
☐ Yes	✓ No	If yes, please describe.
Will the proposal produc	e or result in so	olid wastes?
✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and disposal location/ method.
Project solid waste	will include, bu	t may not be limited to:
		e Proposal area, which will be disposed to an appropriate cycled; and
		e material excavated during construction will be collected and treatment and/or landfill facility.
	(Refer to the EPA's GeEP Act 1986 for more in Yes Will the proposal result if Yes Gaseous exhaust eand from the operated Have you done any maincluding consideration of Yes Will the proposal result if Yes If there is likely to be done to demonstrate to standards will be able to Yes Will the proposal productory Yes Project solid waste Vegetation landfill facilities Any soil, see	Is the proposal a prescribed premise, u (Refer to the EPA's General Guide for EP Act 1986 for more information) Yes No Will the proposal result in gaseous emissions from and from the operational buses are thave you done any modelling or analytical including consideration of cumulative in Yes No Will the proposal result in liquid effluent Yes No Will there is likely to be discharges to a done to demonstrate that the State standards will be able to be met? Yes No Will the proposal produce or result in so Yes No Project solid waste will include, but Vegetation cleared from the landfill facility where not result in solid to the solid part of the landfill facility where not result in soli

Operational phase waste will be comparable to the waste produced by any other public facility. Waste will be collected and disposed of accordingly and recycling schemes will also be implemented where applicable to reduce the volume of waste requiring disposal.

Waste material particularly that produced during the construction phase will be managed through implementation of Construction Environmental Management Plan(s) to be developed and implemented by the Contractor(s).

2.8.8	Will the proposal	result in significant o	ff-site noise emissions?
	☐ Yes	✓ No	If yes, please briefly describe.
	exempt from exempt, prel Project, base	the Environmental F iminary noise and viked on the preliminary report is a working d	vice and crowd noise from sporting event spectators are Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997 (WA EPNR). Although pration assessments have been completed by AECOM for the rail designs and early concepts of the Swan River Pedestrian locument that will be updated as the new Perth Stadium Project
	applicable to Project is no source of no cleared the I Premiership	this development to t anticipated to result ise with pedestrian no oridge within 30 minu Season Fixtures at t eptors are also locat	e WA EPNR, there are no legislated noise criteria directly enable an accurate assessment of noise impact. However, the tin significant off-site noise emissions, as it is not a continuous nodelling demonstrating that sporting event spectators will have ites following an AFL game (of which, there will be 22 AFL he new Perth Stadium each season). The closest noise ed more than 100m from the bridge landing site on the East
		eveloped considerate	igns for the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge and bus hub on e of the potential for noise and other impacts on the nearby
2.8.9	Will the developm	nent be subject to the	e Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997?
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , has any analysis been carried out to demonstrate that the proposal will comply with the Regulations?
			Please attach the analysis.
	will require L		ection 13 of the noise regulations for construction noise, and all of noise management plans to be prepared for any out of occur.
	from the nois and Dr. Jing	se regulations; howe nan Guo of the DER	service and noise from sporting event spectators are exempt ver, consultation has commenced with Peter Popoff-Asotoff Noise Branch, who have agreed to review and provide the Project's noise and vibration assessment.
2.8.10	pollutant that m	ay affect the amenit proposals in this cate	al to generate off-site, air quality impacts, dust, odour or another by of residents and other "sensitive premises" such as schools egory may include intensive agriculture, aquaculture, marinas,
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please describe and provide the distance to residences and other "sensitive premises".
	in East Perth these premis construction quality impa disturbance	n and Trinity College ses and other surrour activities if dust is no cts will be appropriate	nclude the residents located along Nile Street and Arden Street located south of the Project area. During construction works nding areas could be subject to increased levels of dust from ot appropriately managed. Dust and any other potential air ely managed through the requirement for minimal ground entation of the Construction Environmental Management intractor(s).
		ipated that there will ring the Operational	be any air quality, dust or odour impacts on nearby sensitive Phase.
2.8.11		has a residential co ay discharge a pollut	mponent or involves "sensitive premises", is it located near a ant?
	☐ Yes	☐ No	✓ Not Applicable
			If yes , please describe and provide the distance to the potential pollution source

2.9 **Greenhouse Gas Emissions** 2.9.1 Is this proposal likely to result in substantial greenhouse gas emissions (greater than 100 000 tonnes per annum of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions)? If yes, please provide an estimate of the annual gross ☐ Yes ✓ No emissions in absolute and in carbon dioxide equivalent figures. 2.9.2 Further, if yes, please describe proposed measures to minimise emissions, and any sink enhancement actions proposed to offset emissions. 2.10 Contamination 2.10.1 Has the property on which the proposal is to be located been used in the past for activities which may have caused soil or groundwater contamination? ☐ No If ves, please describe. Unsure The Proposal area, including both the East Perth foreshore and Burswood Peninsula, has historically been a location for polluting industries, such as landfill facilities for disposal of commercial, industrial and domestic waste and historical sewage treatment and pumping facilities. The nature of the historical land uses highlight the potential for soil and groundwater contamination to exist within the new Perth Stadium Project area, which was separated into the following stages for further investigation: Stage 1 – the northern nine holes of the Burswood Park Golf Course Stage 2 – The Transport Corridor Stage 3 - The East Perth foreshore and the Swan River Stage 4 - the State Tennis Centre and Burswood Park Board Clubhouse To gain an understanding of the type, concentration and extent of contamination within the new Perth Stadium Project area, a number of desktop reviews and investigations have been undertaken, including the following: Desktop Study and Review of Previous Environmental Reports – Proposed Perth Major Stadium (Golder, 2012a) • Preliminary Site Investigation – Proposed Perth Major Stadium (Golder, 2012e) Sampling and Analysis Plan and Data Quality Objectives (Golder, 2012f) New Perth Stadium Sampling and Analysis Plan for Stage 2 and Stage 3 (Golder, 2012d) • Sediment and Water Quality Sampling and Analysis Plan - Proposed Burswood Stadium (RPS, 2012b) New Perth Stadium Stage 1 Detailed Site Investigation (Golder, 2013a) • New Perth Stadium Stage 2 and Stage 3 Site Investigation (Golder, 2013b) Benthic Habitat, Sediment and Water Quality Summary Report – Proposed Burswood Stadium – Stage 3 (RPS, 2013a) The desktop study (Golder, 2012a) and Preliminary Site Investigation (Golder, 2012e) conducted for the new Perth Stadium Project area identified that: • The landscape and topography of the Project area has been altered dramatically due to historic industries and the use of the site for disposal of waste; • Industrial activity and waste disposal has created a legacy of contamination, potential contamination and data gaps of unknown contamination issues across the Project area; A number of limited investigations had been undertaken across the Project area; and

If yes, please describe.

• Data gaps related to assessment of contaminated soil, sediment, groundwater and ground

As a result, further assessment of soil, sediment, groundwater and ground gas contamination

Has any assessment been done for soil or groundwater contamination on the site?

was recommended and implemented.

☐ No

Yes

2.10.2

Detailed Site Investigations have recently been completed for the Stage 1, Stage 2 and Stage 3 areas. The Proposal area for the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge is located within the Stage 3 investigation area.

Golder conducted a site investigation of the East Perth foreshore in early 2013 (Golder, 2013b) including an assessment of soil, groundwater and ground gas samples. The investigation identified the following areas of potential environmental concern:

- Uncontrolled fill across the entire site;
- The presence of Acid Sulfate Soils;
- Potential for ground gas; and
- Groundwater with elevated contaminant concentrations.

Contaminant concentration exceedances in soil are considered to be limited and will be managed during construction through minimal ground disturbance, appropriate management of any waste material disturbed and capping areas of disturbance with a minimum of 0.3 m of Clean Fill. Acid Sulfate Soil risks can also be managed during construction. No dewatering or abstraction of groundwater at the site is required. If the groundwater is considered as a source for construction water, further assessment of the water quality will be required. Ground gas concentrations were detected at very low concentrations with little to no flow recorded. Ground gases are potentially derived from the landfill waste as well as the underlying organic-rich Swan River Alluvium. Regardless of source and low risk category, Contractors will be cognisant of the potential for risks from ground gas build up in excavations (confined spaces) and other potential areas for ground gas accumulation when finalising detailed designs and during construction.

RPS conducted an assessment of sediment, pore water and surface water quality within the Swan River portion of the Proposal area in January 2013 (RPS, 2013a). Contaminants, particularly metals and nutrients, were detected at concentrations above respective guideline values; however, the source and receptor linkages are such that they can be appropriately managed during construction. Due to the presence of elevated contaminant concentrations, the excavation and disturbance of sediments during the construction phase will be minimised. An additional estuarine assessment targeting the proposed location of the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge is anticipated to be conducted in September 2013.

Given the heterogeneity of the Fill and sediments across the site and lack of final detailed designs for the development, the investigations have involved broad grid sampling to evaluate for possible widespread contamination concerns. The information collected to date is intended to provide guidance for the preparation of the site specific Construction Environmental Management Plan(s) to be prepared by the Contractor(s).

These environmental and contamination assessments have been undertaken in close consultation with a number of regulatory agencies (DER, Department of Water and Swan River Trust) and have been reported to the satisfaction of an independent DER Accredited Contaminated Sites Auditor appointed to the Project.

2.10.3	Has the site been registered as a contaminated site under the Contaminated Sites Act 2003? (on
	finalisation of the CS Regulations and proclamation of the CS Act)

_	Yes	☐ No	If yes, please desc	ribe.

Of the land included in the Proposal area, only Lot 1068 has been classified by the DER as 'Possibly Contaminated – Investigation Required' under the *Contaminated Sites Act 2003*. The basic summary of records notes Lot 1068 to have been historically used as a landfill for the disposal of sanitary and building wastes. Asbestos has been found to be present in subsurface soils. Groundwater quality beneath the site was unknown at the time of classification.

Due to the historical uses of the area, proximity to other registered contaminated sites and results of recent investigations and analysis completed for the new Perth Stadium Project, all parcels of land and sediments within the Proposal area are to be managed appropriately to mitigate risks posed by known and potential contamination.

2.11 Social Surroundings

2.11.1 Is the proposal on a property which contains or is near a site of Aboriginal ethnographic or archaeological significance that may be disturbed?

	A number of registered Aboriginal Heritage Sites are located within the new Perth Stadium Project area (Figure 5). The Swan River Pedestrian Bridge is located within the registered Swan River mythological site (ID 3536).					
	Department of Indige and considered by the 2013 the Minister for including for the put Sports Precinct, Transports Aboriginal Heritage Madoriginal Engagem Whadjuk Working implemented during	enous Affairs (r the Aboriginal r Aboriginal Af rpose of cons ansport Infrast Management P rent Strategy h Party to ident the development	the Aboriginal Heritage Ac now Department of Aborigina Cultural Materials Committ fairs granted the State con truction, maintenance and ructure and the Swan Ri lan (incorporating a Monitor has been prepared by the tify the engagement and ent of the new Perth Stadiu ance with the draft Aborigin	al Affai ee on Iditiona monito ver Pe ing Ma State mana Im Pro	rs) on 19 Nove 8 May 2013. (I consent to use pring of the Signature Bridgen agement Plare, in consultations on the subsect of	mber 2012, On 30 May se the Site, tadium and ye. A Draft n) and Draft on with the ices to be on with the
2.11.2	Is the proposal on a pro		ontains or is near a site of re)?	high p	ublic interest (e	e.g. a major
	✓ Yes [☐ No	If yes, please describe.			
	The Proposal is loca public for recreational		adjacent to the Swan Rive	r, whic	h is valued by	the general
	River navigational of	hannel will be	e Swan River foreshore and maintained open during be temporarily closed, suf	the ma	ajority of the o	construction
2.11.3	Will the proposal result the local area?	in or require su	bstantial transport of goods	, which	may affect the	amenity of
	✓ Yes [☐ No	If yes, please describe.			
	transport routes and will be obtained by	laydown facili the Contractor(ts to the amen	rials will require transport ty locations are currently u s) and the materials transp ity of the residential and rec River.	nknow ort an	n. The relevan d storage plan	t approvals ning will be
	3. PROPOSED	MANAGEMEN ⁻	г			
3.1 P	rinciples of Environmen	tal Protection				
3.1.1	section 4A of the EP A	ct? (For inforn	ct gives attention to the fonation on the Principles of I			
	1. The precautionary prir	iciple.		•	Yes	☐ No
	2. The principle of interge	enerational equ	ity.	•	Yes	☐ No
	3. The principle of the ecological integrity.	conservation	of biological diversity and	•	Yes	☐ No
	4. Principles relating to mechanisms.	improved valua	ation, pricing and incentive	•	Yes	☐ No
	5. The principle of waste	minimisation.		•	Yes	☐ No
3.1.2	Is the proposal consiste	ent with the EF	PA's Environmental Protecti	on Bul	letins/Position	Statements

Unsure If yes, please describe.

✓ Yes

☐ No

19

and Environmental Assessment Guidelines/Guidance Statements (available on the EPA website)?

•	Yes	Γ	☐ No

The key potential environmental and social issues identified for the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge Project are associated with the disturbance of contaminated soils and sediments, indirect impacts of turbidity, noise and vibration on aquatic fauna and impacts on the recreational users and nearby residents. All of these issues can be effectively managed to minimise the risks and timeframes of adverse impacts. The PTA has commenced consultation with the DER, Swan River Trust and other planning authorities with regard to the management of these issues.

Construction Environmental Management Plan(s) will be prepared and implemented. These plans will outline the construction methodology, environmental management practices and procedures to be followed during site preparation and construction. The plans will cover the environmental protection practices, resources and sequence of activities required to comply with relevant environmental legislation and conditions of any applicable licences, Development Approval(s) and permits.

3.2 Consultation

3.2.1	•	•	e (such as with other government agencies, community groups consultation shall take place?
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please list those consulted and attach comments or summarise response on a separate sheet.

The stakeholder engagement strategy developed during the initial planning phase of the Project identified two main groups of stakeholders: technical stakeholders and community stakeholders, as outlined below. Following the State Government's formal adoption of the Project Definition Plan, a series of community engagement sessions within the Perth Metropolitan area were undertaken in October and November 2012.

Technical Stakeholders

The need to consider complex legal, site and transport matters in the Project Definition Plan and Master Plan to facilitate the development of the new Perth Stadium Project required extensive input and technical advice from a large number of stakeholders including technical consultants and local and State Government agencies.

The State established a number of technical working groups to investigate and make recommendations with regard to technical reporting inputs to the new Perth Stadium Project. Their purpose and composition is outlined in Table 2 below.

In addition to the technical working groups, meetings were also held with the following key stakeholders to provide an overview of the Project, and for discussion and input on key items:

- Office of the Environmental Protection Authority (OEPA).
- Department of Aboriginal Affairs (formerly Department of Indigenous Affairs).
- Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities for discussion on the impacts of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*.
- Elected members and officers of the City of Perth. Several formal presentations have been delivered on the progress of the Stadium's Project Definition Plan, as well as other briefings on specific elements of the Project. The main issue of concern identified by the City of Perth is the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge; its location and its impact on the residents of East Perth.
- Elected members and officers of the Town of Victoria Park. Several formal presentations have been delivered on the progress of the Stadium's Project Definition Plan, as well as other verbal and written updates on issues including community engagement.
- Belmont Park Racecourse development representatives. Liaison with the development representatives of Belmont Park Racecourse is ongoing and includes discussion on the environmental investigations undertaken for the Belmont Park Racecourse development site
- Crown Perth Complex operators.

Table 2: Project Technical Working Groups

Technical Working Group	Purpose	Composition
Site Conditions Working Group	To examine environmental, hydrological, geotechnical and servicing matters relating to the site.	 Public Transport Authority (PTA) Water Corporation Swan River Trust (SRT) Western Power Department of Planning (DoP) Department of Environment Regulation (DER) The Burswood Park Board Department of Water(DoW) Golder Associates and Arup (expert geotechnical, environmental and engineering Project consultants).
Transport Planning Working Group	To investigate the rail, bus, road and pedestrian transport infrastructure required to support the Stadium and the integration of this with the Stadium land use planning.	 PTA DoP Main Roads Western Australia (MRWA) Town of Victoria Park Golder and Arup.
Legal and Land Tenure Working Group	To understand the current land ownership, title, legislative and statutory planning framework and the options to progress the Stadium development to meet critical timeframes.	 State Solicitors Office Department of Regional Development and Lands DoP The Burswood Park Board Golder and Arup.
Land Use Planning Working Group	To advance the Master Planning, synthesising the information arising from the other working groups to develop a comprehensive understanding of site considerations and to review and discuss Master Plan scenarios.	 PTA DoP MRWA City of Perth Town of Victoria Park SRT Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority Burswood Park Board Golder and Arup.
Swan River Pedestrian Bridge Working Group	To understand the current land ownership, title, legislative and statutory planning framework and site considerations to progress the environmental and planning approvals for the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge.	 PTA MRWA City of Perth SRT Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority

Community Stakeholders

Community engagement has been undertaken and will continue through both direct engagement and via electronic and published media, as follows:

• Direct engagement with local residents. East Perth and the Burswood Peninsula residents have been informed through letters, meetings and presentations. Town of Victoria Park and East Perth/Claisebrook ratepayers owning property within the development area on the Burswood Peninsula/East Perth have been provided with a number of letters advising of the Project's progress and detailing ways in which they will be kept informed on an ongoing basis. Two groups representing residents on the Burswood Peninsula: the Burswood Residents Association (BRA) and the Burswood Residents Action Group (BRAG) were provided direct briefings about the Project in

2012. The Arden Street Residents Group were also provided with direct briefings on the bridge location and car parking in East Perth. The Swan River Pedestrian Bridge Development Application process will involve a public advertising period. East Perth residents will be advised of the Development Application prior to lodgement;

- Project Website: The new Perth Stadium Project has a dedicated website
 (http://www.perthstadium.com.au). The website provides an extensive amount of
 information across the whole project including regular updates on works progress,
 media releases and other relevant details. Additionally, project contact details are
 provided on the website including phone and email. Project e-newsletter: A new Perth
 Stadium e-newsletter is published regularly, emailed direct to subscribers and posted
 on the website;
- Email and telephone enquiries: From the Project's commencement date, in July 2011, a
 dedicated email address and phone contact have been available to the wider public.
 The line is staffed daily for extended hours and has an after-hours message. Every
 effort is made to respond to inquiries within 24 hours;
- Media Statements: The State Government has issued a number of media statements on the new Perth Stadium Project. All the statements have received widespread media coverage within Western Australia and are available on the Stadium Project website;
 and
- Social Media: The Project Team is also currently investigating ways in which Social Media can assist to effectively communicate project information and assist to engage the community. Details on how this may be utilised in the future to further are being developed.

EPA Advice

The EPA advice provided with the decision to 'not assess' Part 1 of the new Perth Stadium Project, recommended the Site Conditions Working Group continue its oversight throughout the Construction and Operational phases of the new Perth Stadium Project.

These recommendations will be implemented and will also be applicable to Part 2 and Part 3 of the new Perth Stadium Project, being the Transport Corridor Infrastructure Works and Swan River Pedestrian Bridge, respectively.

DER Contaminated Sites Guidelines

Consistent with the intent of the Contaminated Sites Management Series Community Consultation Guideline (DEC, 2006) the Environmental Management Frameworks and Project Environmental Management Plan for the Stadium and Sports Precinct are publicly available on the Project specific Perth Stadium website. Further information regarding the Swan River Pedestrian Bridge will also be made publicly available on the website.

References:

AECOM, 2013 Swan River Pedestrian Bridge Noise Assessment – Technical Memorandum. AECOM Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

DEC, 2006 Contaminated Sites Management Series Community Consultation Guideline. Department of Environment and Conservation. Perth, WA.

Golder, 2012a Desktop Study and Review of Previous Environmental Reports – Proposed Perth Major Stadium. Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

Golder, 2012b New Perth Stadium Flora and Fauna Survey Addendum. Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

Golder, 2012c New Perth Stadium Flora and Fauna Surveys Report, Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

Golder, 2012d New Perth Stadium Sampling and Analysis Plan for Stage 2 and Stage 3. Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

Golder, 2012e *Preliminary Site Investigation – Proposed Perth Major Stadium*. Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

Golder, 2012f Sampling and Analysis Plan and Data Quality Objectives. Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

Golder, 2013a New Perth Stadium Stage 1 Detailed Site Investigation. Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

Golder, 2013b New Perth Stadium Stage 2 and Stage 3 Site Investigation. Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

Main Roads WA, 2012 Pier Effects East Perth to Burswood Footbridge over Swan River. Main Roads WA, Perth, WA.

Public Transport Authority, 2012. New Perth Stadium Transport, Project Definition Plan, December 2012.

RPS, 2013a Benthic Habitat, Sediment and Water Quality Summary Report – Proposed New Perth Stadium, Burswood – Stage 3

RPS, 2013b Sediment and Water Quality Sampling and Analysis Plan - Proposed Burswood Stadium

Figures:

Figure 1 – Regional Location and Project Parts

Figure 2 - New Perth Stadium Master Plan

Figure 3 - Swan River Pedestrian Bridge Concept Design

Figure 4 – Swan and Canning River Pedestrian Bridge Bathymetry Contours

Figure 5 - Indigenous Heritage Sites and Flora and Fauna Study Area

Attachments:

Attachment 1 – Public Transport Authority, 2012. *New Perth Stadium Transport, Project Definition Plan*, December 2012.

Attachment 2 – Golder, 2012b *New Perth Stadium Flora and Fauna Survey Addendum*. Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

Attachment 3 – RPS, 2013a Benthic Habitat, Sediment and Water Quality Summary Report – Proposed New Perth Stadium, Burswood – Stage 3, RPS Environment and Planning Pty Ltd, Subiaco, WA.

Attachment 4 – Main Roads WA, 2012 *Pier Effects East Perth to Burswood Footbridge over Swan River.* Main Roads WA, Perth, WA.

Public Transport Authority, 2012.	New Perth Stadium Transport	r, Project Definition Plan, December 2012.

Golder, 2012b New Perth Stadium Flora and Fauna Survey Addendum. Golder Associates Pty Ltd. Perth, WA.

RPS, 2013a Benthic Habitat, Sediment and Water Quality Summary Report – Proposed New Perth Stadium, Burswood – Stage 3, RPS Environment and Planning Pty Ltd, Subiaco, WA.

*Note: due to the size of Tables, Figures, Plates and Appendices these have been omitted from the hardcopy versions of this Referral package. Please see digital version for these components of the RPS Report.

Main Roads WA, 2012 *Pier Effects East Perth to Burswood Footbridge over Swan River.* Main Roads WA, Perth, WA.