

Environmental Protection Authority

EPA REFERRAL FORM PROPONENT

Referral of a Proposal by the Proponent to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

PURPOSE OF THIS FORM

Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) provides that where a development proposal is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, a proponent may refer the proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for a decision on whether or not it requires assessment under the EP Act. This form sets out the information requirements for the referral of a proposal by a proponent.

Proponents are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the EPA's *General Guide* on *Referral of Proposals* [see Environmental Impact Assessment/Referral of Proposals and Schemes] before completing this form.

A referral under section 38(1) of the EP Act by a proponent to the EPA must be made on this form. A request to the EPA for a declaration under section 39B (derived proposal) must be made on this form. This form will be treated as a referral provided all information required by Part A has been included and all information requested by Part B has been provided to the extent that it is pertinent to the proposal being referred. Referral documents are to be submitted in two formats – hard copy and electronic copy. The electronic copy of the referral will be provided for public comment for a period of 7 days, prior to the EPA making its decision on whether or not to assess the proposal.

CHECKLIST

Before you submit this form, please check that you have:

	Yes	No
Completed all the questions in Part A (essential).	Χ	
Completed all applicable questions in Part B.	Χ	
Included Attachment 1 – location maps.	Χ	
Included Attachment 2 – additional document(s) the proponent wishes	Χ	
to provide (if applicable).		
Included Attachment 3 – confidential information (if applicable).	Χ	
Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial	Χ	
data and contextual mapping but excluding confidential information.		

Following a review of the information presented in this form, please consider the following question (a response is optional).

Do you consider the proposal requires formal environmental impact assessment?

Yes No Not sure

If yes, what level of assessment?

Assessment on Proponent Information Public Environmental Review

If yes, what level of assessment?						
Assessment on Proponent Information Public Environmental Review						
PROPONENT DECLARATION (to be co	ompleted by the proponent)					
I, NELL PARRY						
Signature lecclory	Name (print) NEIL PARRY					
Position MANAGER S LAND MANAGEMENT	Company DBP DEVELOPMENT GROUP NOMINEES					
Date 24 10 · 2013	PTY LITP					

PART A - PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

(All fields of Part A must be completed for this document to be treated as a referral)

1 PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

1.1 Proponent

Name	DBP Development Group Nominees Pty Ltd (DDG)
Joint Venture parties (if applicable)	N/A
Australian Company Number (if applicable)	153 397 632
Postal Address	Level 6, 12-14 The Esplanade, Perth WA 6000
(where the proponent is a corporation or an association	
of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal	
address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State)	
Key proponent contact for the proposal:	Louise Watson
• name	Senior Advisor – Environment and Heritage Level 6, 12-14 The Esplanade, Perth WA 6000
• address	(08) 9223 4937
• phone	louise.watson@dbp.net.au
• email	
Consultant for the proposal (if applicable):	Strategen Environmental Consultants Pty Ltd
• name	P.O. Box 243 Subiaco WA 6904
• address	08 9380 3100
• phone	
• email	

1.2 Proposal

Title	Wheatstone to DBNGP Gas Pipeline
Description	The Proposal involves construction of a 110 km long buried natural gas pipeline, connecting the Wheatstone Project near Onslow to the Dampier to Bunbury Natural Gas Pipeline (DBNGP), to enable the supply of gas into the Western Australian domestic market. The pipeline will be constructed adjacent to existing pipeline(s) for the majority of its length. Refer to Section 4 of the Referral Supporting Document for a detailed description of the Proposal.
Extent (area) of proposed ground disturbance.	The Proposal will require temporary disturbance of 358 ha., The permanent development footprint will be 55 ha after post-construction rehabilitation.
Timeframe in which the activity or development is proposed to occur (including start and finish dates where applicable).	The Proposal is planned for commencement in April or May 2014, with construction to be completed in Q4 2014.
Details of any staging of the proposal.	No staging of the project is proposed.
Is the proposal a strategic proposal?	No
Is the proponent requesting a declaration that the proposal is a derived proposal? If so, provide the following information on the strategic assessment within which the referred proposal was identified: • title of the strategic assessment; and • Ministerial Statement number.	No

Please indicate whether, and in what way, the proposal is related to other proposals in the region.

Chevron Australia Pty Ltd (Chevron) has approval under the EP Act to implement the Wheatstone Development – Gas Processing, Export Facilities and Infrastructure project (the Wheatstone project) under Ministerial Statement 873 issued on 30 August 2011.

Included in Ministerial Statement 873 is the installation of up to two pipelines in a 60 m wide corridor approximately 75 km long, connecting the Wheatstone project to the DBNGP. In 2013, the alternative route (as presented in the Proposal) was agreed with by Chevron, providing a better use of existing infrastructure.

Does the proponent own the land on which the proposal is to be established? If not, what other arrangements have been established to access the land?

The pipeline will be constructed adjacent to an existing pipeline(s) within an easement secured for the purpose through Department of Lands. At the time of this application, DDG is seeking approval from DoL for a new easement to accommodate construction of the proposed pipeline. Land access approval is anticipated to be provided by the DoL in April 2014. Construction shall not commence until such access has been granted.

What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?

The current land use within the Proposal Corridor is primarily grazing and pastoral, with an existing gas pipeline(s) running immediately and parallel to the proposed pipeline alignment for the majority of its length. The initial 7 km of the Proposal Corridor lies within the government owned Multi User Infrastructure Corridor (MUIC), the current uses of which include water, waste water and fibre optic for Chevron's Wheatstone Project.

1.3 Location

Name of the Shire in which the proposal is located.	Shire of Ashburton
For urban areas: • street address; • lot number; • suburb; and	N/A
 nearest road intersection. For remote localities: nearest town; and distance and direction from that town to the proposal site. 	The nearest town is Onslow. The Proposal Corridor commences approximately 10 km west-southwest of Onslow within the Wheatstone Project area. The Proposal Corridor is wholly located within the Shire of Ashburton, Western Australia and traverses three pastoral stations: • Nanutarra Station – Crown Lease 155/1975 • Yanrey Station – Crown Lease 54/1967 • Minderoo Station – Crown Lease 56/1967 • Urala Station – Crown Lease
Electronic copy of spatial data - GIS or CAD,	330/1967. GIS data is provided on CD located inside the
geo-referenced and conforming to the following parameters: • GIS: polygons representing all activities and named; • CAD: simple closed polygons representing all activities and named; • datum: GDA94; • projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude) or Map Grid of Australia (MGA); • format: Arcview shapefile, Arcinfo coverages, Microstation or AutoCAD.	Referral Supporting Document.

1.4 Confidential Information

Does the proponent wish to request the EPA to allow any part of the referral information to be treated as confidential?	No
If yes, is confidential information attached as a separate document in hard copy?	No

1.5 Government Approvals

Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented?	No
If yes, please provide details.	
Is approval required from any Commonwealth or	
State Government agency or Local Authority for	Yes. Refer to Section 2 of the Referral
any part of the proposal?	Supporting Document for further details.

If yes, please complete	the table below.		
Agency/Authority	Approval required	Application lodged Yes / No	Agency/Local Authority contact(s) for proposal
Department of Environmental Regulation (DER)	Native Vegetation Clearing Permit (NVCP) under Part V of the EP Act.	No – pending the outcome of the EP Act Referral.	N/A
Department of the Environment (DotE)	Referral under the EPBC Act. Anticipated to be "not-assessed, particular manner".	Yes. Being lodged concurrently with the EP Act Referral.	Michael Ward
Department of Water (DoW)	Application for a s 5C licence to take groundwater.	No – will be lodged if and as required	N/A
	Bed and Banks Permit under the RIWI Act.	No. To be lodged when specifics of watercourse crossing confirmed.	N/A
Department of Mines and Petroleum (DMP)	Submission of environmental management plans to meet licence requirements under PP Act.	Yes. Being lodged concurrently with the EP Act Referral.	Laura McCarthy (08) 9222 3095
Department of Aboriginal Affairs (DAA)	Application under s 18 of the AH Act for disturbance to Aboriginal heritage sites.	No – will be lodged if and as required.	N/A

PART B - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Describe	the	impacts	of	the	proposal	on	the	following	elements	of	the	environment,	by
answering	g the	question	าร	cont	ained in S	Sect	ions	2.1-2.11:					

a	99	and quodading com		O O .	0.10.10 = 11 = 11 11				
2	2.1	flora and vegetation	n;						
2	2.2	fauna;							
2	2.3	rivers, creeks, wetlands and estuaries;							
2	2.4	significant areas a	.nd/ oı	r land f	eatures;				
2	2.5	coastal zone area	s;						
2	2.6	marine areas and	biota;	;					
2	2.7	water supply and	draina	age cat	chments;				
2	2.8	pollution;							
2	2.9	greenhouse gas e	missi	ons;					
2	2.10	contamination; an	d						
2	2.11	social surrounding	IS.						
Thes	e fea	tures should be sh	own c	on the s	site plan, where appropriate.				
For a	ıll info	rmation, please in	dicate	e:					
(a	a)	the source of the i	nform	nation;	and				
(I	b)	the currency of the	e infor	rmation	1.				
		and Vegetation							
2.1.1			_		e flora and vegetation as a part of this proposal?				
	the 200	EP Act (Environm	ental	Protec	tion may require a clearing permit under Part V of ction (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations ment of Environment and Conservation (DEC) for				
		(please tick)		es	If yes, complete the rest of this section.				
			□ N	lo	If no, go to the next section				
2.1.2	Hov	v much vegetation	are y	ou prop	posing to clear (in hectares)?				
Corric	dor, to		on of p	pipeline,	o 358 ha of native vegetation within the Proposal turnarounds, construction camp, turkey nest water burse crossings.				
		ed breakdown of the .6 of the Referral Su			uirements and proposed mitigation measures, refer to ument.				
2.1.3		re you submitted a are exempt from s	•	•	on to clear native vegetation to the DEC (unless rement)?				
		☐ Yes	☑ N	0	If yes , on what date and to which office was the application submitted of the DEC?				

2.1	.4 Are you aware of by this proposal?	any recent flor	ra surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).
			If no , please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.
(Ma pipe Pric targ	ttiske 2013) within a 20 eline corridor. The survirity flora and Threaten eted ground study alor	00 m corridor (the vey included a proper and Priority Englished ed and Priority Eng the Survey Co	undertaken in April 2013 by Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd e Survey Corridor) along the length of the existing reliminary desktop search for Declared Threatened and Ecological Communities, with a follow up aerial and prridor. The report and mapping for the flora and 2 of the Referral Supporting Document.
2.1			for known occurrences of rare or priority flora or les been conducted for the site?
	☑ Yes	☐ No	If you are proposing to clear native vegetation for any part of your proposal, a search of DEC records of known occurrences of rare or priority flora and threatened ecological communities will be required. Please contact DEC for more information.
	er to Section 5.1.3 of the vegetation present with the contraction present with the contraction of the contr		oorting Document for the conservation significance flora Corridor.
2.1	.6 Are there any kn communities on t		es of rare or priority flora or threatened ecological
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.
	er to Section 5.1.3 of the vegetation present with		oorting Document for the conservation significance flora Corridor.
2.1	or adjacent to a	listed Bush F	politan Region, is the proposed development within forever Site? (You will need to contact the Bush of the Planning and Infrastructure)
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please indicate which Bush Forever Site is affected (site number and name of site where appropriate).

2.1.8 What is the condition of the vegetation at the site?

The vegetation within the Survey Corridor was generally found to be in Very Good to Excellent condition according to the 1994 Keighery vegetation condition scale (Mattiske 2013). Reduced vegetation condition within the Survey Corridor was primarily driven by weed density (particularly density of *Cenchrus ciliaris), existing clearing and vehicle movement and cattle movement and grazing. For further information refer to Section 5.1.5 of the Referral Supporting Document.

2.2	Fauna		
2.2.1	Do you expect that ar	ny fauna or	fauna habitat will be impacted by the proposal?
	(please tick)	✓ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.
2.2.2	Describe the nature a	nd extent c	of the expected impact.
• (spects of the Proposal the clearing of vegetation materials open pipeline trench can wehicle collisions can res	y remove fa potentially to	una habitat rap terrestrial fauna resulting in stress or death
	detailed assessment of the normal State of the Referral State of t		impacts and proposed mitigation measures, refer to ocument.
2.2.3	Are you aware of a disturbed by this prop	-	fauna surveys carried out over the area to be
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).
			If no, please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.
within focus	the Survey Corridor (Nin on habitat assessment a	ox 2013). T gainst the po	ance survey has been conducted for vertebrate fauna the field survey was conducted in April 2013, with a potential fauna identified through the literature review. 3 of the Referral Supporting Document.
2.2.4	Has a search of D (threatened) fauna be		s for known occurrences of Specially Protected ted for the site?
	✓ Yes	☐ No	(please tick)
2.2.5	Are there any known site?	occurrence	es of Specially Protected (threatened) fauna on the
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.
Refer	to Section 5.2 of the Ref	erral Suppor	ting Document for a detailed description of the

9

conservation significant species potentially occurring within the Proposal Corridor.

2.3.1	Will the development	occur withir	n 200 metres of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?
	(please tick)	✓ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.
2.3.2	Will the development	result in the	e clearing of vegetation within the 200 metre zone?
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please describe the extent of the expected impact.
the Re		nent for detai	ciated with the Ashburton River. Refer to Section 5.3 of ls on the potential impacts of the Proposal as a result mitigation measures.
2.3.3	Will the developmen estuary?	t result in th	e filling or excavation of a river, creek, wetland or
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please describe the extent of the expected impact.
for det		acts, of the P	ciated with the Ashburton River. Refer to Section 5.3 Proposal as a result of the watercourse crossings and
2.3.4	Will the development estuary?	nt result in	the impoundment of a river, creek, wetland or
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.

2.3 Rivers, Creeks, Wetlands and Estuaries

2.3.5	will the development	resuit	ın ara	ining to a river,	cree	k, weti	ana (or esti	uary	?
	☐ Yes	☑ N	-	f yes , please d mpact.	escri	be the	exte	nt of t	he e	expected
2.3.6	Are you aware if the proposal will impact on a river, creek, wetland or estuary (or its buffer) within one of the following categories? (please tick)									
	Conservation Catego	ry Wet	and			Yes	V	Vo		Jnsure
	Environmental Pro Agricultural Zone We	otectior tlands)	,	South West v 1998		Yes	V	No		Jnsure
-	Perth's Bush Forever	site				Yes	✓	Vo		Jnsure
	Environmental Prote Rivers) Policy 1998	ection	(Swar	n & Canning		Yes	☑ 1	No		Jnsure
	The management are Swan River Trust Act		efined	in s4(1) of the		Yes	☑ 1	No		Jnsure
	Which is subject to a because of the important waterbirds and water JAMBA, CAMBA)	ortance	of th	ne wetland for		Yes	V	No		Jnsure
2.4	Significant Areas and	d/ or La	and Fe	eatures						
2.4.1	Is the proposed development located within or adjacent to an existing or proposed National Park or Nature Reserve?									
	☐ Yes	☑ N	o I	f yes , please p	rovic	le deta	ils.			
2.4.2	Are you aware of an under section 51B development?									
	☐ Yes	☑ N	o I	f yes, please p	rovic	le deta	ils.			
2.4.3	Are you aware of an will be impacted by the				ature	s (e.g.	cave	es, rar	nges	s etc) that
	☐ Yes	☑ N	o I	f yes, please p	rovic	le deta	ils.			

2.5	Coastal Zone Areas (Coastal Du	ines and Beaches)
2.5.1	Will the development	occur withi	n 300metres of a coastal area?
	(please tick)	If yes, complete the rest of this section.	
		☑ No	If no, go to the next section.
2.5.2	What is the expected the primary dune?	setback o	f the development from the high tide level and from
Not ap	oplicable to the Proposal.		
2.5.3	•	<u>-</u>	n coastal areas with significant landforms including land, coastal dunes or karst?
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.
2.5.4	Is the development lik	kely to impa	act on mangroves?
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please describe the extent of the expected impact.
and tic (Avice	dal creeks landforms, wh ennia marina) vegetation sal and proposed mitigat	ich are asso units. For d	n section of the Survey Corridor contains tidal mudflats ociated with samphire (<i>Tecticornia</i> spp.) and Mangrove etails on these units and the potential impacts from the es, refer to Section 5.2 of the Referral Supporting
2.6 I	Marine Areas and Bio	ta	
2.6.1	Is the development I such as seagrasses,		pact on an area of sensitive benthic communities or mangroves?
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.
2.6.2	-	servation (mpact on marine conservation reserves or areas as described in <i>A Representative Marine Reserve</i> ALM, 1994)?
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes , please describe the extent of the expected impact.
2.6.3	Is the development li	•	act on marine areas used extensively for recreationes?
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes , please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).

2.7 Water Supply and Drainage Catchments 2.7.1 Are you in a proclaimed or proposed groundwater or surface water protection area? (You may need to contact the Department of Water (DoW) for more information on the requirements for your location, including the requirement for licences for water abstraction. Also, refer to the DoW website) **If yes**, please describe what category of area. ✓ Yes □ No The Proposal Corridor lies within the Pilbara Groundwater Area and the Pilbara Surface Water Area as proclaimed under the Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (RWI Act), in which groundwater abstractions and interference with watercourses requires licences and permits respectively. The Proposal may require groundwater abstraction to supply water for construction, as well as minor dewatering to facilitate trenching at the watercourse crossings or where areas of shallow watertable are encountered. Bed and banks permits will be required for the two watercourse crossings. 2.7.2 Are you in an existing or proposed Underground Water Supply and Pollution Control area? (You may need to contact the DoW for more information on the requirements for your location, including the requirement for licences for water abstraction. Also, refer to the DoW website) ✓ No If yes, please describe what category of ☐ Yes area. 2.7.3 Are you in a Public Drinking Water Supply Area (PDWSA)? (You may need to contact the DoW for more information or refer to the DoW website. A proposal to clear vegetation within a PDWSA requires approval from DoW.) If yes, please describe what category of ☐ Yes ✓ No area. 2.7.4 Is there sufficient water available for the proposal? (Please consult with the DoW as to whether approvals are required to source water as you propose. Where necessary, please provide a letter of intent from the DoW) (please tick) ✓ Yes ΓNο 2.7.5 Will the proposal require drainage of the land? ⊠No If yes, how is the site to be drained and will ☐ Yes the drainage be connected to an existing Local Authority or Water Corporation drainage system? Please provide details. 2.7.6 Is there a water requirement for the construction and/or operation of this proposal? (please tick) **If yes**, complete the rest of this section. ✓ Yes **If no**, go to the next section.

□No

2.7.7 What is the water requirement for the construction and operation of this proposal, in kilolitres per year?

The approximate water requirement for the Proposal is 108 ML and comprises the following usage:

- potable allocation of 60 000 L/day for the camp anticipate camp to be active for 150 days (9 000 kL)
- road application for dust suppression 5 trucks using 15 000 L/day for 120 days (90 000 kL)
- usage in hydrotesting requiring approximately 8 700 kL.
- 2.7.8 What is the proposed source of water for the proposal? (e.g. dam, bore, surface water etc.)

DDG is still finalising its water supply strategy for the project. Should water resourcing require groundwater abstraction, RWI Act licence(s) will be required.

			e Water Licence (SWL 166 334-02) with a 3000 KL e previous operator of the AWF.			
2.8 I	Pollution					
2.8.1	rge of pollutants from this development, such as sions, dust, liquid effluent, solid waste or other					
	(please tick)	✓ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.			
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.			
2.8.2	Is the proposal a Regulations 1987?	prescribed	premise, under the Environmental Protection			
	(Refer to the EPA's General Guide for Referral of Proposals to the EPA under section 38(1) of the EP Act 1986 for more information)					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe what category of prescribed premise.			
2.8.3	Will the proposal res	ult in gaseou	s emissions to air?			
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please briefly describe.			
2.8.4	•		analysis to demonstrate that air quality standards ation of cumulative impacts from other emission			
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please briefly describe.			
2.8.5	Will the proposal result in liquid effluent discharge?					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes , please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and receiving environment.			

2.8.6	If there is likely to be discharges to a watercourse or marine environment, has any analysis been done to demonstrate that the State Water Quality Management Strategy or other appropriate standards will be able to be met?							
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe.					
2.8.7	7 Will the proposal produce or result in solid wastes?							
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and disposal location/ method.					
produc			be off-cuts will be produced, as well as domestic waste o Section 5.4.3 for further details on the wastes					
2.8.8	Will the proposal re	sult in significa	ant off-site noise emissions?					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please briefly describe.					
2.8.9	Will the developr Regulations 1997?	ment be sub	pject to the Environmental Protection (Noise)					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes , has any analysis been carried out to demonstrate that the proposal will comply with the Regulations?					
			Please attach the analysis.					
2.8.10	odour or another "sensitive premise	pollutant thates" such as s sive agriculture	ential to generate off-site, air quality impacts, dust, t may affect the amenity of residents and other schools and hospitals (proposals in this category e, aquaculture, marinas, mines and quarries etc.)?					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe and provide the distance to residences and other "sensitive premises".					
2.8.11			I component or involves "sensitive premises", is it y discharge a pollutant?					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	□ Not Applicable					
			If yes, please describe and provide the distance to the potential pollution source					

2.9 G	Greenhouse Gas Emissions								
	Is this proposal likely to result in substantial greenhouse gas emissions (greater than 100 000 tonnes per annum of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions)?								
	☐ Yes	☑ No		ride an estimate of the annual absolute and in carbon figures.					
			proposed measures to osed to offset emission	to minimise emissions, and any ons.					
2.10 C	ontamination								
2.10.1			e proposal is to be loo sed soil or groundwat	cated been used in the past for er contamination?					
	✓ Yes	☐ No	☐ Unsure	If yes, please describe.					
	/F has been classific on 5.4.2 for further c			ed - investigation required'. Refer					
2.10.2	Has any assessi site?	ment been o	lone for soil or grou	ndwater contamination on the					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please	describe.					
2.10.3		-		e under the <i>Contaminated Sites</i> d proclamation of the CS Act)					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please	describe.					
2.11 S	ocial Surrounding	gs							
2.11.1			ty which contains o Il significance that ma	r is near a site of Aboriginal by be disturbed?					
	✓ Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No	Unsure	If yes, please describe.					
within th		posal Corridor		identified 12 Aboriginal sites of the Referral Supporting					
2.11.2			which contains or is n or natural scenic featu	ear a site of high public interest					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please desc	cribe.					
2.11.3	Will the proposa affect the amenity			ransport of goods, which may					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please desc	cribe.					

3. PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

3.1 Principles of Environmental Protection

3.1.1	as set out i	onsidered how you in section 4A of th tal Protection, plea bsite)	ne EP Act?	(For inform	ation o	on the Pri	nciples of
	1. The precau	utionary principle.			☑ \	⁄es	☐ No
	2. The princip	ole of intergeneration	onal equity.		☑ \	⁄es	☐ No
		iple of the control		biological	☑ \	⁄es	☐ No
	<u>.</u>	relating to improvenechanisms.	d valuation, _l	oricing and	Ø Y	/es	☐ No
	5. The princip	ole of waste minimi	sation.		☑ Y	⁄es	☐ No
EPA E	Bulletins/Pos Guidelines/C ✓ Yes	Guidance Statemer No the Proposal has be ssessment Guideline	ents and nts (available en undertaken	Environthe EPA	onment website	al As e)?	
3.2	Consultation						
3.2.1	•	consultation taken groups or neighbo	•		_		•
		☐ No	If yes, plea comments separate she	or summa		sulted and response	d attach on a
	rting Document	ation program has be during the planning	een implement	ed (refer to S			