Wonnerup North Project

Referral to the Environmental Protection Authority

April 2014





Environmental Protection Authority

Referral of a Proposal by the Proponent to the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

EPA REFERRAL FORM PROPONENT

PURPOSE OF THIS FORM

Section 38(1) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) provides that where a development proposal is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, a proponent may refer the proposal to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for a decision on whether or not it requires assessment under the EP Act. This form sets out the information requirements for the referral of a proposal by a proponent.

Proponents are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the EPA's *General Guide on Referral of Proposals* [see Environmental Impact Assessment/Referral of Proposals and Schemes] before completing this form.

A referral under section 38(1) of the EP Act by a proponent to the EPA must be made on this form. A request to the EPA for a declaration under section 39B (derived proposal) must be made on this form. This form will be treated as a referral provided all information required by Part A has been included and all information requested by Part B has been provided to the extent that it is pertinent to the proposal being referred. Referral documents are to be submitted in two formats – hard copy and electronic copy. The electronic copy of the referral will be provided for public comment for a period of 7 days, prior to the EPA making its decision on whether or not to assess the proposal.

CHECKLIST

Before you submit this form, please check that you have:

	Yes	No
Completed all the questions in Part A (essential).	V	
Completed all applicable questions in Part B.	V	
Included Attachment 1 – location maps.	V	
Included Attachment 2 – additional document(s) the proponent wishes to provide (if applicable).	V	
Included Attachment 3 – confidential information (if applicable).		
Enclosed an electronic copy of all referral information, including spatial data and contextual mapping but excluding confidential information.	V	

Following a review of the information presented in this form, please consider the following question (a response is optional).

Do you consider the proposal requires formal environmental impact assessment?						
Yes	☑ No	Not sure	Э			
If yes, what level of asse	essment?					
Assessment on Pro	oonent Information	P	ublic Environmental Review			
PROPONENT DECLAR I, LEN BOWN of CRISTAL M form and further declare	ent) clare that I am authorised on behalf onsible for the proposal) to submit this orm is true and not misleading.					
Signature // Ku	ut to	Name (print)	Ren Behl			
Position OPSAFTIM	8 Manysal-Wos	Company	Cristal Mining Australia Ltd			
Date 22/4/20.	14.					
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION						
Attachment 1	Figure 1-1: Regional Lo Figures 1-2a and 1-2b: Figure 1-3: Site Plan – Figure 1-4: Site Plan –	Locality Plan Project Details				
Attachment 2	Wonnerup North Miner	al Sands Proje	ct - Environmental Referral Document			

PART A - PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

(All fields of Part A must be completed for this document to be treated as a referral)

1 PROPONENT AND PROPOSAL INFORMATION

1.1 Proponent

Name	Cristal Australia Pty Ltd (Cristal)
Joint Venture parties (if applicable)	
Australian Company Number (if applicable)	ACN 009 247 858
Postal Address	PO Box 133, Bunbury WA 6231
(where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State)	
Key proponent contact for the proposal:	Phil Johnston
• name	Safety Health and Environment Manager
• address	Cristal Mining Australia
• phone	PO Box 133, Bunbury WA 6231
• email	08 9791 0200
	Phil.Johnston@cristal.com
Consultant for the proposal (if applicable):	Richard Kirwood
• name	Resource Strategies
• address	c/- PO Box 133, Bunbury WA 6231
• phone	0408 882 275
• email	rkirwood@resourcestrategies.com.au

1.2 Proposal

Title	Wonnerup North Mineral Sands Project (the Project)
Description	The Project would involve dry mining and processing of mineral sands ore from the Wonnerup North deposit at a rate of approximately 2.3 million tonnes (Mt) per annum over eight years.
	The Wonnerup North orebody is typically 1.5 to 3.5 metres (m) thick and has no overburden. In some areas it can extend as deep as 5 to 6 m from the surface.
	The natural groundwater table typically occurs within 3.5 m of the surface, therefore some interaction with the watertable is expected.
	Extracted mineral sands ore would be processed on-site using a wet separation plant to produce heavy mineral concentrate (HMC). The HMC would be transported off-site via the Bussell Highway to Cristal's Mineral Separation Plant (MSP) located in Bunbury.
	Please refer to Figures 1-1, 1-2, 1-3 and 1-4 in Attachment 1 and Section 2 in Attachment 2 for a more detailed description of the Project.

Extent (area) of proposed ground disturbance.	515 hectares (ha)
Timeframe in which the activity or development is proposed to occur (including start and finish dates where applicable).	Cristal plans to commence the initial construction period in 2018 subject to the grant of all required approvals. Construction would be approximately six to nine months in duration.
	The proposed life of the Project is approximately eight years, with mining assumed to commence in the fourth quarter (Q4) of 2018.
	Mine closure works would occur over the period from 2025 and 2027 based on the proposed mining rate and current heavy mineral reserve (refer to Section 2.8 in Attachment 2).
Details of any staging of the proposal.	N/A
Is the proposal a strategic proposal?	No
Is the proponent requesting a declaration that the proposal is a derived proposal?	No
If so, provide the following information on the strategic assessment within which the referred proposal was identified:	
title of the strategic assessment; and	
Ministerial Statement number.	
Please indicate whether, and in what way, the proposal is related to other proposals in the region.	Cristal's existing Wonnerup Mineral Sands Mine is located immediately to the west of the Project.
	The Project is scheduled to commence once operations at the Wonnerup Mineral Sands Mine cease (in approximately 2018). It is therefore expected that the majority of the mobile equipment, workforce, mineral separation plant and associated infrastructure would be transferred across and used at the Project.
	HMC would be transported by road to Cristal's MSP at Bunbury for further processing and dispatch to domestic and overseas customers. The Project would include back-loading of tailings generated during the processing of Project HMC at the Bunbury MSP to the Project site and burial of the tailings within the mined-out areas of the pit.
Does the proponent own the land on which the proposal is to be established? If not, what other arrangements have been established to access the land?	The Project involves activities within mining leases M70/360 and M70/569, which were granted in 1987 and 1989 respectively. The underlying land tenure in the Project area is predominantly privately-owned freehold land. Cristal (via its subsidiary Cable Sands [WA] Pty Ltd) currently owns Lot 6 on D88371, Lot 10 on DP37187, Lot 1124 on P81921 and Lot 850 on P134119 within the Project area, and has entered into access agreements with the owners of the remaining lots.
What is the current land use on the property, and the extent (area in hectares) of the property?	The maximum Project disturbance footprint is approximately 575 ha in size. The land use within the Project area is primarily cattle grazing on native and improved pastures.

1.3 Location

Name of the Shire in which the proposal is located.	City of Busselton		
For urban areas:	N/A		
street address;			
lot number;			
suburb; and			
nearest road intersection.			
For remote localities:	The Project is located approximately 44 kilometres (km) south		
 nearest town; and 	of Bunbury and 10 km east of Busselton. Relevant land tenure is shown on Figure 1-4 in Attachment 1.		
distance and direction from that town to the proposal site.	is snown on Figure 1-4 in Attachment 1.		
Electronic copy of spatial data - GIS or CAD, geo-referenced and conforming to the following parameters:	Enclosed.		
 GIS: polygons representing all activities and named; 			
 CAD: simple closed polygons representing all activities and named; 			
datum: GDA94;			
 projection: Geographic (latitude/longitude) or Map Grid of Australia (MGA); 			
 format: Arcview shapefile, Arcinfo coverages, Microstation or AutoCAD. 			

1.4 Confidential Information

Does the proponent wish to request the EPA to allow any part of the referral information to be treated as confidential?	No
If yes, is confidential information attached as a separate document in hard copy?	N/A

1.5 Government Approvals

Is rezoning of any land required before the proposal can be implemented? If yes, please provide details.	N/A
Is approval required from any Commonwealth or State Government agency or Local Authority for any part of the proposal?	Yes
If yes, please complete the table below.	

Agency/Authority	Approval required Application lodge Yes / No		Agency/Local Authority contact(s) for proposal
DMP	Mining Act, 1978: Mining Proposal	No	General Manager Environmental Approvals and Compliance 100 Plain Street East Perth WA 6004 (08) 9222 3142
DMP	Environmental Protection Act, 1986: Vegetation Clearing Permit	No	As above.
DER	Environmental Protection Act, 1986 – Part V: Works Approval	No	Neville Welsh / Daniel Hartnup (Greater Swan Region) PO Box 1693 Bunbury WA 6231 (08) 9725 4300
DoW	Rights in Water and Irrigation Act, 1914: Licence to Take Groundwater (Section 5C)	No	Richard Watson South-West Regional Office PO Box 261 Bunbury WA 6231 (08) 9726 4111
DAA	Aboriginal Heritage Act, 1972: Section 18 Consent to Certain Uses	No	To be advised PO Box 3153, East Perth, Western Australia 6892 1300 651 077
DotE	Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act, 1999 (EPBC Act): Referral of Proposed Action	No	Con Voutas Environmental Assessment Branch GPO Box 787 Canberra ACT 2601 Australia 1800 803 772

DMP – Department of Mines and Petroleum (WA)
DER – Department of Environment Regulation (WA)
DoW – Department of Water (WA)
DAA – Department of Aboriginal Affairs (WA)
DotE – Department of the Environment (Commonwealth)

PART B - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Describe	the	impacts	of	the	proposal	on	the	following	elements	of	the	environment,	by	answering	the
questions	con	tained in	Se	ction	s 2.1-2.1	1:									

	2.1	flora and vegetation;		
	2.2	fauna;		
	2.3	rivers, creeks, wetlan	ds and estuari	es;
	2.4	significant areas and/	or land feature	es;
	2.5	coastal zone areas;		
	2.6	marine areas and bio	ta;	
	2.7	water supply and drai	nage catchme	ents;
	2.8	pollution;		
	2.9	greenhouse gas emis	ssions;	
	2.10	contamination; and		
	2.11	social surroundings.		
The	se featu	res should be shown o	on the site plan	, where appropriate.
For	all inforr	mation, please indicate):	
	(a)	the source of the info	rmation; and	
	(b)	the currency of the inf	formation.	
2.1	Flora	and Vegetation		
2.1.	1 Do	ou propose to clear a	ny native flora	and vegetation as a part of this proposal?
	(En	vironmental Protection	(Clearing of	may require a clearing permit under Part V of the EP Act Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004)]. Please contact the vation (DEC) for more information.
		(please tick)	☑ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.
			☐ No	If no, go to the next section
2.1.2	2 Hov	much vegetation are	you proposing	to clear (in hectares)?
	App	roximately 45 hectares	s of native veg	etation communities will be cleared as a result of the Project.
2.1.3		e you submitted an an such a requirement)?		clear native vegetation to the DEC (unless you are exempt
		Yes	☑ No	If yes, on what date and to which office was the application submitted of the DEC?

An application to clear native vegetation would be prepared and submitted to the DMP if it is determined by the EPA that the Project does not require formal assessment.

	☑ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).				
			If no , please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.				
			nmental Services Pty Ltd (Astron) to conduct a two-phase the Project area, comprised of:				
	Phase 1: an initial field	eld survey was	s conducted in June 2013; and				
	Phase 2: a follow-up	survey was c	onducted in October 2013.				
		achment 2 for	ment 2 for a description of the survey findings and references, the proposed environmental management measures (relevant				
2.1.5	Has a search of DEC re communities been cond		vn occurrences of rare or priority flora or threatened ecological ite?				
	☑ Yes	☐ No	If you are proposing to clear native vegetation for any part of your proposal, a search of DEC records of known occurrences of rare or priority flora and threatened ecological communities will be required. Please contact DEC for more information.				
	DPaW) databases and potentially occurring the Wildlife Conservation A occurring and potentia	the Commonv reatened ecol ct, 1950 (WC Ily occurring	mpleted that included database searches of the DEC (now wealth Protected Matters search tool to identify occurring and ogical communities and flora species listed under the WA Act) and/or the EPBC Act. These searches also identified priority ecological communities and priority flora species he findings is provided in Section 3.2.2 in Attachment 2.				
2.1.6	Are there any known or the site?	ccurrences of	rare or priority flora or threatened ecological communities on				
	☑ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.				
	Wonnerup South Road	near to when	Flora species <i>Chamelaucium</i> sp. C Coastal Plain adjacent to re it crosses the Abba River. This species is also listed as Project does not involve disturbance to this area.				
	Three priority flora species were recorded within the Ruabon Road verges in the vicinity of the proposed section of road that would be widened. These included:						
	 Isopogon formosus subsp. dasylepis, which is listed as P3 by the DPaW; 						
	Jacksonia gracillima, which is listed as P3 by the DPaW; and						
	Verticordia attenuate	e, which is liste	ed as P3 by the DPaW.				
	A fourth priority species	s, <i>Tripterocod</i>	ccus paniculatus (listed as P4 by the DPaW) occurs in the				

2.1.4 Are you aware of any recent flora surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed by this proposal?

No priority or threatened ecological communities were recorded in the Project area.

northern extent of the Project mining area.

Please refer to Section 3.2.2 in Attachment 2 for further information, location figures and references.

2.1.7 If located within the Perth Metropolitan Region, is the proposed development within or ad listed Bush Forever Site? (You will need to contact the Bush Forever Office, at the Dep Planning and Infrastructure)						
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes , please indicate which Bush Forever Site is affected (site number and name of site where appropriate).			
2.1.8	What is the condition of	f the vegetatio	n at the site?			
	The Project area has been significantly altered from its pre-European condition through a lo history of agricultural practices. The understorey of most of the remnant vegetation that occu within the Project area was found by Astron to be in degraded or completely degraded condition Small areas of remnant vegetation that are less degraded (i.e. 'Degraded to Good' condition) occi in the northern part of M70/569 near Ruabon Road.					
	Please refer to Section	3.2.2 in Attach	nment 2 for further information.			
2.2	Fauna					
2.2.1	Do you expect that any	fauna or faun	a habitat will be impacted by the proposal?			
	(please tick)		If yes, complete the rest of this section.			
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.			
2.2.2	Project predominantly disturbance area). The following six broad (Biologic) within the Pro-	olve clearance occurs in alre I fauna habitats oject area:	of approximately 45 ha of native vegetation communities. The ady cleared pasture (approximately 87.5% of the total Project s were identified by Biologic Environmental Survey Pty Ltd			
	Marri – Jarrah – Pe					
	Flooded Gum – MeMelaleuca low ope		Marri open woodland;			
	 Marri – Jarrah – Ba 		nd			
	 non-native planted 					
	Please refer to Section	3.4.2 in Attach	nment 2 for further information, figures and references.			
2.2.3	Are you aware of an proposal?	y recent fauna	a surveys carried out over the area to be disturbed by this			
	☑ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please <u>attach</u> a copy of any related survey reports and <u>provide</u> the date and name of persons / companies involved in the survey(s).			
			If no , please do not arrange to have any biological surveys conducted prior to consulting with the DEC.			
	Cristal commissioned comprised of:	Biologic to co	nduct a two-phase Level 2 fauna survey of the Project area,			
	Phase 1: an autum	ın survey was	conducted in May 2013; and			

Phase 2: a spring survey was conducted in October 2013.

Please refer to Section 3.4.2 in Attachment 2 for further information.

2.2.4	Has a search of DEC records for known occurrences of Specially Protected (threatened) fauna been conducted for the site?				
	V	1 Yes	☐ No	(please tick)	
	The database searches and literature reviews conducted by Biologic indicated 230 vertebrate faun species have the potential to occur in the general locality. This list comprises 22 native mamma species, eight introduced mammal species, 147 bird species (excluding the strictly marine species 42 reptile species and 11 amphibian species. The database searches and previous survey record also indicates 35 of the 230 vertebrate species are of conservation significance (i.e. eight mammals 25 birds and three reptiles). However, due to a lack of suitable habitat in the Project area, only 2 are considered to possibly occur in the Project area.				
	Please	refer to Section 3.	4.2 in Attachr	ment 2 for further information and references.	
2.2.5	Are the	re any known occi	urrences of S	pecially Protected (threatened) fauna on the site?	
	✓	1 Yes	☐ No	If yes , please indicate which species or communities are involved and provide copies of any correspondence with DEC regarding these matters.	
				spring) surveys conducted by Biologic recorded the following cies (i.e. two mammals and three birds):	
 Southern Brush-tailed Phascogale (<i>Phascogale tapaotafa</i>): listed under Schedule 1 WC Act. Present in low numbers within Marri – Jarrah – Peppermint open forest in the area. Western Ringtail Possum (<i>Pseudocheirus occidentalis</i>): listed under Schedule 1 of the and Endangered under the EPBC Act. Present in low numbers within Marri – Japen Peppermint open forest in the Project area. 					
				3C Act. Present in low numbers within Marri - Jarrah -	
				torhynchus latirostris): listed under Schedule 1 of the WC Act Act. Observed foraging on-site during the survey period.	
				rhynchus baudinii): listed under Schedule 1 of the WC Act and Observed foraging within the survey period.	
	 Rainbow Bee-eater (Merops omatus): listed under Schedule 3 of the WC Act and Mi under the EPBC Act. Seasonal visitor, observed during the Spring 2013 survey, assumed woodland remnants for foraging and roosting. 				
	Please referen		s 3.4.2 and	3.4.4 in Attachment 2 for further information, figures and	
2.3	Rivers, C	reeks, Wetlands	and Estuarie	es	
2.3.1	Will the	development occu	ur within 200	metres of a river, creek, wetland or estuary?	
		(please tick)	✓ Yes ✓ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.	
			☐ No	If no, go to the next section.	
	_	•		ed on either side of the Abba River, which is an ephemeral	

Mining operations would be conducted on either side of the Abba River, which is an ephemeral watercourse that enters through the south-east corner and flows in a westerly direction through the majority of the Project area. Mining operations would be set back from the Abba River so that it could flow interrupted through the mine site. The undisturbed corridor would be approximately 40 to 175 m wide (refer to Figure 1-3 in Attachment 1). Three internal haul/access road crossings of the Abba River would be constructed during the mine life, designed with the consideration of minimising potential disturbance and maintaining water flows.

	☑ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please desc	ribe the extent	of the ex	pected impact.
	Vegetation would be cleaved vegetation to be cleared cleared pasture, with ocwithin 40 m of the Abba I works in and adjacent to disturbed by the Project.	d within 200 casional indiv River but wou	m of the Abba Rive ridual paddock trees. Ild not be disturbed.	r for the Proje Remnant ripa Cristal would al	ect would arian vege Iso undert	be predominately etation does occur take enhancement
2.3.3	Will the development res	ult in the fillin	g or excavation of a r	iver, creek, we	etland or e	stuary?
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please desc	ribe the extent	of the ex	pected impact.
	The Project would, howe the Abba River (refer consideration of minimis are expected to be const	to Figure 1 ing potential	-3 in Attachment 1 disturbance and ma). These wou intaining water	uld be d	esigned with the
2.3.4	Will the development res	ult in the impo	oundment of a river, o	creek, wetland	or estuar	y?
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please desc	ribe the extent	of the ex	pected impact.
2.3.5	Will the development res	ult in draining	to a river, creek, we	tland or estuar	y?	
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please desc	ribe the extent	of the ex	pected impact.
2.3.6	Are you aware if the proone of the following cate			k, wetland or e	estuary (o	r its buffer) within
	Conservation Category W	/etland		☐ Yes	☑ No	Unsure
	Environmental Protection Wetlands) Policy 1998	(South Wes	st Agricultural Zone	☐ Yes	☑ No	Unsure
	Perth's Bush Forever site			☐ Yes	☑ No	Unsure
	Environmental Protection 1998	(Swan & Ca	nning Rivers) Policy	☐ Yes	☑ No	☐ Unsure
	The management area a River Trust Act 1988	as defined in	s4(1) of the Swan	☐ Yes	☑ No	☐ Unsure
	Which is subject to an in of the importance of t waterbird habitats (e.g. R	he wetland	for waterbirds and	☐ Yes	☑ No	☐ Unsure
2.4	Significant Areas and/ or	Land Featur	res			
2.4.1	Is the proposed develope Nature Reserve?	ment located	within or adjacent to	an existing or	proposed	d National Park or
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please provi	ide details.		
2.4.2	Are you aware of any E 51B of the EP Act) that w				the Minis	ster under section
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please prov	ide details.		

2.3.2 Will the development result in the clearing of vegetation within the 200 metre zone?

2.4.3	Are you aware of any significant natural land features (e.g. caves, ranges etc) that will be impacted by the proposed development?					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please provide details.			
2.5	Coastal Zone Areas (Coastal Dunes and Beaches)					
2.5.1	Will the development oc	cur within 300i	metres of a coastal area?			
	(please tick)	☐ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.			
		☑ No	If no, go to the next section.			
2.5.2	What is the expected s dune?	etback of the	development from the high tide level and from the primary			
	N/A					
2.5.3	Will the development im cuspate headland, coast		al areas with significant landforms including beach ridge plain, arst?			
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
2.5.4	Is the development likely	to impact on	mangroves?			
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
2.6	Marine Areas and Biota					
2.6.1	Is the development likely to impact on an area of sensitive benthic communities, such as seagrasses, coral reefs or mangroves?					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
2.6.2			on marine conservation reserves or areas recommended for entative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia, CALM,			
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact.			
2.6.3	_	ely to impac	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact. It on marine areas used extensively for recreation or for			
2.6.3	Is the development lik	ely to impac				
2.6.3 2.7	Is the development lik	ely to impac ities? ☑ No	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).			
	Is the development lik commercial fishing activity. Yes Water Supply and Draina	ely to impac ities? ☑ No age Catchme	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).			
2.7	Is the development like commercial fishing activity. Yes Water Supply and Drains Are you in a proclaimed (You may need to contains)	tely to impactities? No Rage Catchment or proposed good the Departn	If yes, please describe the extent of the expected impact, and provide any written advice from relevant agencies (e.g. Fisheries WA).			

2.7.2	Are you in an existing or proposed Underground Water Supply and Pollution Control area?					
			for more information on the requirements for your location, or water abstraction. Also, refer to the DoW website)			
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe what category of area.			
2.7.3	Are you in a Public Dri	nking Water Sup	pply Area (PDWSA)?			
			r more information or refer to the DoW website. A proposal to res approval from DoW.)			
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe what category of area.			
2.7.4	Is there sufficient water	er available for th	e proposal?			
			nether approvals are required to source water as you propose. ter of intent from the DoW)			
	☑ Yes	☐ No	(please tick)			
	Cristal would extract Cristal intends to obta	make-up water in a licence to fin Attachment 1	mine water generated is less than the Project water demand, from a licensed bore within the deeper Yaragadee aquifer. t-out one of two existing bores located within the Project area). The DoW has been consulted with regard to a licence			
2.7.5	Will the proposal requi	ire drainage of th	ne land?			
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, how is the site to be drained and will the drainage be connected to an existing Local Authority or Water Corporation drainage system? Please provide details.			
2.7.6	Is there a water require	ement for the co	nstruction and/ or operation of this proposal?			
	(please tick)		If yes, complete the rest of this section.			
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.			
2.7.7	What is the water recyear?	quirement for the	e construction and operation of this proposal, in kilolitres per			
	Up to approximately make-up water deman		er annum is predicted to be extracted to meet the Project			
2.7.8	What is the proposed	source of water f	or the proposal? (e.g. dam, bore, surface water etc.)			
	Groundwater inflows	and incident rair nd would be pre	mining area is expected to be required for the Project. If all that collects in the open pits (i.e. mine water) would be ferentially used to meet the Project water demand (i.e. use in uppression).			

During periods where the amount of mine water generated is less than the Project water demand, Cristal would extract make-up water from a licensed bore within the deeper Yaragadee aquifer. Cristal intends to obtain a licence to fit-out one of two existing bores located within the Project area (refer Section 2.7.4 and 2.7.7 above).

Water extracted from the Yarragadee aquifer would be transferred to the process water dam (located in the mine infrastructure area) and/or reticulated by pump and pipeline systems via service corridors to the dry mining units and wet separation plant. Pump and pipeline systems to convey the make-up water would be installed and then progressively extended/relocated as the mine advances.

2.8	Pollution				
2.8.1	Is there likely to be any discharge of pollutants from this development, such as noise, vibration gaseous emissions, dust, liquid effluent, solid waste or other pollutants?				
	(please tick)	☑ Yes	If yes, complete the rest of this section.		
		☐ No	If no, go to the next section.		
2.8.2	Is the proposal a pre	escribed premise, u	under the Environmental Protection Regulations 1987?		
	(Refer to the EPA's EP Act 1986 for mor		r Referral of Proposals to the EPA under section 38(1) of the		
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please describe what category of prescribed premise.		
	Category 6 Mi	ne dewatering			
	Category 8 Mi	neral sands mining	or processing		
2.8.3	Will the proposal res	ult in gaseous emi	ssions to air?		
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please briefly describe.		
2.8.4	including considerat Yes Notwithstanding, the emissions:	ion of cumulative in No e following dust ma	alysis to demonstrate that air quality standards will be met, mpacts from other emission sources? If yes, please briefly describe. anagement measures would be implemented to minimise dust pression on haul roads;		
			stockpiles subject to wind erosion using clay fines or other		
	 progressive reha 	abilitation of mine v	voids.		
2.8.5	Will the proposal result in liquid effluent discharge?				
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and receiving environment.		
			nical spills and leaks, and truck wash down areas would be eparator systems which would be inspected and maintained		
2.8.6		te that the State	watercourse or marine environment, has any analysis been Water Quality Management Strategy or other appropriate		
	☑ Yes	□No	If yes, please describe.		

For the majority of the mine life there would be a water deficit, which would be met through extraction from the Yarragadee production bore(s). However, two peaks in groundwater inflow to the open pit have been predicted in Q1 2019 and Q3 2022. If these peaks eventuate, the amount of water that drains to the open pit may exceed the Project demand, in which case controlled discharge

to the environment would be required. The neighbouring Wonnerup Mineral Sands Mine has a similar situation, with the controlled discharge being conducted in accordance with Cristal's existing Part V EP Act licence (L8739/2013/1).

Cristal anticipates that the Part V EP Act licence issued for the Project would include specific conditions pertaining to controlled discharge (i.e. approved release point location and target and maximum criteria for key water quality parameters). Cristal would manage its mining operations during the predicted mine inflow peak periods in Q1 2019 and Q3 2022 to minimise the potential for excess water discharge being required.

2.8.7 Will the proposal produce or result in solid wastes?			olid wastes?
	☑ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please briefly describe the nature, concentrations and disposal location/ method.
	Waste materials ger	nerated by the Proj	ect would include:
	 sand residues g Bunbury MSP; 	enerated on-site fr	rom the wet processing plant and tailings back-loaded from the
	 other wastes fr 	non-recyclable gen rom mining operat pons and oil filters)	tions and workshop activities (e.g. used tyres, scrap metal,
	With the exception of	of the sand residue	s and MSP tailings, no waste would be buried on-site.
	All general domestic an appropriately lice		ral recyclable products would be collected from the Project by
	contractors and ren	noval from site. S	nd/or re-used as delineators on-site), prior to collection by Scrap metal produced at the workshops during the life of the etal merchant for recycling.
	hydrocarbon spills	and leaks, and tr	would be collected by licensed contractors. Workshop ruck washdown areas would be contained by purpose-built lid be inspected and maintained regularly.
2.8.8	Will the proposal res	sult in significant of	f-site noise emissions?
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please briefly describe.
			ations and implement appropriate noise management controls prescribed noise criteria.
	Please refer to Sect	ion 3.5.4 in Attachr	ment 2 for further information.
2.8.9	Will the developmen	nt be subject to the	Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997?
	✓ Yes	☐ No	If yes , has any analysis been carried out to demonstrate that the proposal will comply with the Regulations?
			Please attach the analysis.
			ken by SVT Engineering Consultants Pty Ltd and a discussion n Section 3.5.4 in Attachment 2.
2.8.10	pollutant that may	affect the amenity posals in this cate	to generate off-site, air quality impacts, dust, odour or another of residents and other "sensitive premises" such as schools gory may include intensive agriculture, aquaculture, marinas,
	☑ Yes	☐ No	If yes , please describe and provide the distance to residences and other "sensitive premises".

The Project has the potential to generate dust. However this potential would be managed through measures outlined in Section 2.7 in Attachment 2.

SVT Engineering Consultants Pty Ltd has considered the closest residences to the Project boundary as "sensitive premises" in relation to noise. Please refer to Section 3.5.4 in Attachment 2 for further information. 2.8.11 If the proposal has a residential component or involves "sensitive premises", is it located near a land use that may discharge a pollutant? ☑ No ☐ Yes ☐ Not Applicable If yes, please describe and provide the distance to the potential pollution source

2.9 **Greenhouse Gas Emissions** 2.9.1 Is this proposal likely to result in substantial greenhouse gas emissions (greater than 100 000 tonnes per annum of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions)? If yes, please provide an estimate of the annual gross ☐ Yes ☑ No emissions in absolute and in carbon dioxide equivalent figures. Further, if yes, please describe proposed measures to minimise emissions, and any sink 2.9.2 enhancement actions proposed to offset emissions. 2.10 Contamination

2.10.1 Has the property on which the proposal is to be located been used in the past for activities which may have caused soil or groundwater contamination? ☑ No ☐ Yes Unsure If ves, please describe.

Simto Resources Limited was the former owner of the tenements M70/360 and M70/569, who commenced construction of an administrative facilities area, wet processing plant area, mine access road and the sinking of a production bore to the Yaragadee aguifer within the northern portion of M70/360 in the 1990s. However, mining operations were never commenced in the

Project area due to unfavourable market conditions at the time. 2.10.2 Has any assessment been done for soil or groundwater contamination on the site?

,		3
☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please describe.

However, Aurora Environmental has been engaged by Cristal to conduct an acid sulphate soil (ASS) investigation for the Project, in accordance with the DER ASS guideline for mineral sands mining viz. Investigation and Management of Acid Sulphate Soils Hazards Associated with Silica and Heavy Mineral Sands Operations (DEC, 2012). Please refer to Section 3.3.2 in Attachment 2 for further information.

2.10.3 Has the site been registered as a contaminated site under the Contaminated Sites Act 2003? (on finalisation of the CS Regulations and proclamation of the CS Act)

2.11 Sc	ocial Surroundings					
2.11.1	Is the proposal on a property which contains or is near a site of Aboriginal ethnographic or archaeological significance that may be disturbed?					
	✓ Yes	☐ No	Unsure	If yes, please describe.		
	The Abba River is a registered Aboriginal site (DAA Site ID 17354) and occurs within the Project Area (refer Figure 3-8 in Attachment 2). Mining operations and vegetation clearing would be avoided within the undisturbed corridor along the Abba River, with the exception of three internal haul/access roads which would be designed and constructed to minimise disturbance and maintain water flows.					
	Cristal would lodge an application under Section 18 of the AH Act for approval to undertake Project-related activities within the registered Abba River site (DAA Site ID 17534). No indigenous cultural material was recorded in the Project area during the archaeological survey conducted by Snappy Gum Heritage Services.					
	Please refer to Sect	tion 3.6 in Attach	ment 2 for further inforr	nation, figures and references.		
2.11.2	Is the proposal on a property which contains or is near a site of high public interest (e.g. a major recreation area or natural scenic feature)?					
	☐ Yes	☑ No	If yes, please descri	ibe.		
	The Vasse-Wonnerup Wetland System is located approximately 2 km downstream of the wes edge of M70/360. The Project is also located approximately 7 km from the Whicher Scarp adjoining Blackwood Plateau (refer Figure 1-2 in Attachment 1). The Tuart Forest National Par (at its closest point to the Project) located on the western side of the Bussell Highway opposite junction of Ruabon Road (refer Figure 1-2 in Attachment 1). None of these areas would be directly disturbed or otherwise impacted by the Project.					
2.11.3	Will the proposal re the local area?	sult in or require	substantial transport of	goods, which may affect the amenity of		
	☑ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please descri	ibe.		

Approximately 683,000 t of HMC would be produced over the life of the mine. The Project would require road transportation of the HMC to the Bunbury MSP via the Bussell Highway. The road haulage operation would be similar to the existing Wonnerup Mineral Sands Mine and would be conducted using 60 t trucks operating between the hours of 7.00 am and 7.00 pm.

3. PROPOSED MANAGEMENT

3.1 **Principles of Environmental Protection**

3.1.1	Have you considered how your project gives attention to the following Principles, as set out in section 4A of the EP Act? (For information on the Principles of Environmental Protection, please see EPA Position Statement No. 7, available on the EPA website)					
	1. The precautionary	principle.		☑ Yes	☐ No	
	2. The principle of int	ergenerational e	equity.	☑ Yes	☐ No	
	The principle of ecological integrity		n of biological diversity and	☑ Yes	☐ No	
	Principles relating to improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms.			☑ Yes	☐ No	
	5. The principle of wa	aste minimisatio	n.	☑ Yes	☐ No	
3.1.2			EPA's Environmental Protectio			
	☑ Yes	☐ No				
3.2	Consultation					
3.2.1	Has public consulta or neighbours), or is	nt agencies, com	munity groups			
	☑ Yes	☐ No	If yes, please list those const summarise response on a se		omments or	
	Please refer to Sec	tion 1.5 in Attac	chment 2 for a summary of con-	sultation activities	conducted to	